

Appellate Court
of the
State of Connecticut

AC 45401

Erica Lafferty et al.
v.
Alex Emric Jones et al.

Clerk Appendix

Table of Contents

1.	Case Detail – UWY CV18-6046436-S	3
2.	Complaint	50
3.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate Cases	89
4.	Defendants' Limited Opposition to Motion to Consolidate Cases	91
5.	Order Granting Motion to Consolidate Cases	93
6.	Case Detail – UWY CV18-6046437-S	94
7.	Amended Complaint ¹	130
8.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate Cases	177
9.	Defendants' Limited Opposition to Motion to Consolidate Cases	179
10.	Order Granting Motion to Consolidate Cases	181
11.	Case Detail – UWY CV18-6046438-S	182
12.	Complaint	217
13.	Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate Cases	264
14.	Defendants' Limited Opposition to Motion to Consolidate Cases	266
15.	Order Granting Motion to Consolidate Cases	268
16.	Plaintiffs' Motion for Contempt	269
17.	Defendants' Objection to Motion for Contempt	282
18.	Order on Motion for Contempt	292
19.	Appeal Form	302
20.	Docketing Statement	308
21.	Dismissal of Appeal by Certain Defendants	311

¹ Plaintiff-appellees indicate in their designation of the proposed contents of the clerk appendix that this amended complaint is the operative complaint for the three consolidated cases.



State of Connecticut Judicial Branch Superior Court Case Look-up



Superior Court Case Look-up
Civil/Family
Housing
Small Claims

UWY-CV18-
6046436-S

LAFFERTY, ERICA Et Al v. JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et Al

Prefix: X06

Case Type: T50

File Date: 05/23/2018

Return Date: 06/26/2018

Case Detail

Notices

History

Scheduled Court Dates

E-Services Login

Screen Section Help

Exhibits

To receive an email when there is activity on this case, [click here](#).

Attorney/Firm Juris Number Look-up

Case Look-up

By Party Name

By Docket Number

By Attorney/Firm Juris Number

By Property Address

[This case is consolidated with one or more cases](#)

Information Updated as of: 06/24/2022

Case Information

Case Type: T50 - Torts - Defamation

Court Location: WATERBURY JD

List Type: No List Type

Trial List Claim:

Last Action Date: 06/23/2022 (The "last action date" is the date the information was entered in the system)

Disposition Information

Disposition Date:

Disposition:

Judge or Magistrate:












Party & Appearance Information

Party	No Fee Party	Category
P-01 ERICA LAFFERTY REMOVED		Plaintiff
P-02 DAVID WHEELER Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-03 FRANCINE WHEELER Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-04 JACQUELINE BARDEN Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-05 MARK BARDEN Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-06 NICOLE HOCKLEY Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-07 IAN HOCKLEY Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-08 JENNIFER HENSEL Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-09 JEREMY RICHMAN REMOVED		Plaintiff
P-10 DONNA SOTO Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-11 CARLEE SOTO-PARISI Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250)	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff




Comments

350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604


P-12 CARLOS M. SOTO Attorney:  KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-13 JILLIAN SOTO Attorney:  KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-14 WILLIAM ALDENBERG Attorney:  KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 05/23/2018	Plaintiff
P-15 JENNIFER HENSEL EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF JEREMY RICHMAN <i>REMOVED</i>		Plaintiff - Substituted
P-16 RICHARD M COAN TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF ERICA GARBATINI Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 10/20/2021	Plaintiff - Substituted
D-01 ALEX EMRIC JONES Attorney:  NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS (408681) 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney:  PATTIS & SMITH LLC (423934) 383 ORANGE STREET 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney:  CAMERON L ATKINSON (442289) 383 ORANGE ST 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511	File Date: 02/17/2022 File Date: 03/08/2022 File Date: 03/08/2022	Defendant
D-02 INFOWARS, LLC <i>REMOVED</i>		Defendant
D-03 FREE SPEECH SYSTEMS, LLC Attorney:  PATTIS & SMITH LLC (423934) 383 ORANGE STREET 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney:  NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS (408681) 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney:  CAMERON L ATKINSON (442289) 383 ORANGE ST 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511	File Date: 06/28/2021 File Date: 02/17/2022 File Date: 03/08/2022	Defendant
D-04 INFOWARS HEALTH LLC <i>REMOVED</i>		Defendant
D-05 PRISON PLANET TV LLC <i>REMOVED</i>		Defendant
D-06 WOLFGANG HALBIG <i>REMOVED</i>		Defendant
D-07 CORY T. SKLANKA <i>REMOVED</i>		Defendant
D-08 GENESIS COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK, INC. Attorney:  BRIGNOLE BUSH & LEWIS (419073) 73 WADSWORTH STREET HARTFORD, CT 06106	File Date: 04/28/2021	Defendant
D-09 MIDAS RESOURCES, INC. <i>REMOVED</i>		Defendant
D-10 MIDAS RESOURCES, INC. <i>REMOVED</i>		Defendant
O-01 RICHARD M COAN TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF ERICA GARBATINI Attorney:  ZEISLER & ZEISLER P.C. (069625) 10 MIDDLE STREET 15TH FLOOR BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 07/22/2021	Trustee
O-02 JAMES H. FETZER PHD <i>REMOVED</i>		Intervening Entity
O-03 JAY WOLMAN, ESQ. Attorney: HORTON DOWD BARTSCHI & LEVESQUE PC (038478) 90 GILLET STREET	File Date: 10/18/2021	Intervening Entity

O-04 OFFICE OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Attorney:  OFFICE OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL (422382) File Date: 11/19/2021
100 WASHINGTON STREET
HARTFORD, CT 06106



















Intervening
Entity

Viewing Documents on Civil, Housing and Small Claims Cases:

If there is an  in front of the docket number at the top of this page, then the file is electronic (paperless).

- Documents, court orders and judicial notices in electronic (paperless) civil, housing and small claims cases with a return date on or after January 1, 2014 are available publicly over the internet.* For more information on what you can view in all cases, view the [Electronic Access to Court Documents Quick Card](#).
- For civil cases filed prior to 2014, court orders and judicial notices that are electronic are available publicly over the internet. Orders can be viewed by selecting the link to the order from the list below. Notices can be viewed by clicking the **Notices** tab above and selecting the link.*
- Documents, court orders and judicial notices in an electronic (paperless) file can be viewed at any judicial district courthouse during normal business hours.*
- Pleadings or other documents that are not electronic (paperless) can be viewed only during normal business hours at the Clerk's Office in the Judicial District where the case is located.*
- An Affidavit of Debt is not available publicly over the internet on small claims cases filed before October 16, 2017.*


























*Any documents protected by law Or by court order that are Not open to the public cannot be viewed by the public online And can only be viewed in person at the clerk's office where the file is located by those authorized by law or court order to see them.

Motions / Pleadings / Documents / Case Status				
<u>Entry No</u>	<u>File Date</u>	<u>Filed By</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Arguable</u>
	05/23/2018	P	<u>SUMMONS</u> 	
	05/23/2018	P	<u>COMPLAINT</u> 	
	05/23/2018	P	<u>ADDITIONAL PARTIES PAGE</u> 	
	06/18/2018		<u>APPEARANCE</u> 	
	06/22/2018	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	06/28/2018	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	06/29/2018	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	07/06/2018		<u>APPEARANCE</u> 	
	03/01/2019	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	11/04/2019	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	02/24/2020	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	03/18/2020	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	07/07/2020	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	07/07/2020	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	10/19/2020		<u>APPEARANCE</u> 	
	04/28/2021	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	06/28/2021	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	07/21/2021		<u>APPEARANCE</u> 	
	07/22/2021	O		



























			APPEARANCE	
			Appearance	
	10/18/2021		APPEARANCE	
			W. Horton	
	10/26/2021		APPEARANCE	
			J. Fetzer PHD	
	11/19/2021	O	APPEARANCE	
			Appearance	
	02/17/2022	D	APPEARANCE	
			Appearance	
	03/08/2022	D	APPEARANCE	
			Appearance	
	03/08/2022	D	APPEARANCE	
			Appearance	
100.30	05/23/2018	P	RETURN OF SERVICE	No
101.00	06/11/2018	P	SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN	No
			Midas - Genesis - Halbig	
102.00	06/14/2018	P	SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN	No
			as to Alex Emric Jones	
103.00	06/29/2018	D	WITHDRAWAL IN PART	No
104.00	07/12/2018	D	MOTION TO DISMISS PB 10-30	Yes
			RESULT: Denied 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
104.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Denied 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
105.00	07/13/2018	P	SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN	No
106.00	07/13/2018	D	NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
107.00	07/13/2018	D	REMOVED TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
108.00	07/18/2018	D	MOTION TO DISMISS PB 10-30	Yes
109.00	07/18/2018	C	ENTRY ERASED TO CORRECT ERROR	No
			Last Updated: Party Type - 07/18/2018	
110.00	07/31/2018	D	NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
111.00	11/09/2018	P	CLAIM FOR JURY OF 6	No
112.00	11/21/2018	C	REMANDED FROM FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
113.00	11/21/2018	D	SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS / COUNTERCLAIM / CROSS CLAIM	Yes
113.10	11/23/2018	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Order 11/23/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
114.00	11/21/2018	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION	No
			Re: Special Motion to Dismiss (113.00)	
115.00	11/29/2018	P	SCHEDULING ORDER	No
			RESULT: Order 11/30/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
115.10	11/30/2018	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Order 11/30/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
116.00	11/29/2018	P	CLAIM FOR JURY OF 6	No
117.00	11/29/2018	P	MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE	No
			RESULT: Granted 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
117.10	12/17/2018	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Granted 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
118.00	12/04/2018	D	SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS / COUNTERCLAIM / CROSS CLAIM	Yes
118.10	12/05/2018	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Order 12/5/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
119.00	12/04/2018	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION	No
			Objection to Defendant Halbig's Motion to Dismiss	
			RESULT: Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
119.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
120.00	12/04/2018	P	MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS PB 10-	No






























			31	Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendant Halbig's Motion to Dismiss	
121.00	12/04/2018	D	OBJECTION	<i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
121.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
122.00	12/05/2018	P	CASEFLOW REQUEST (JD-CV-116) re MET to file initial motions re targeted discovery <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/7/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
122.10	12/07/2018	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/7/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
123.00	12/10/2018	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Motion for Limited Discovery Pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. Section 52-196a(d) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
123.10	12/17/2018	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
124.00	12/12/2018	D	MOTION TO DISQUALIFY <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 4/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
124.10	04/08/2019	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT:</i> Denied 4/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
125.00	12/12/2018	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION OR REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY PB CH13 <i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
125.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
126.00	12/14/2018	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Limited Discovery (Entry No. 123.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
126.10	12/17/2018	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
127.00	12/14/2018	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Limited Opposition to Motion to Consolidate Cases (Entry No. 117.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
127.10	12/17/2018	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
128.00	12/14/2018	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION in Opposition to Defendant Wolfgang Halbig's Motion to Recuse (Entry No. 124.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
128.10	04/08/2019	C	ORDER See order #124.10 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
129.00	12/14/2018	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Limited Discovery <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
129.10	12/17/2018	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
130.00	12/17/2018	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM Reply in Support of Motion for Limited Discovery		No
131.00	12/18/2018	D	REPLY <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
131.10	04/08/2019	C	ORDER See order #124.10 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
132.00	12/24/2018	D	REPLY <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
132.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
133.00	12/24/2018	D	REPLY <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS		No
133.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No


























134.00	12/24/2018	D	EXHIBITS	No
			RESULT: Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
134.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
135.00	12/27/2018	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10	No
			and to Depositions, in Exhibits to Entry No. 123.00	
136.00	12/28/2018	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10	No
137.00	12/31/2018	P	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION	No
			Plaintiffs' Affidavit of Attempt to Resolve Discovery Objections	
138.00	01/03/2019	D	NOTICE	No
			Notice of Filing Objections to Plaintiffs Interrogatories and Requests for Productions	
139.00	01/03/2019	D	OBJECTION	No
			Defendant Midas Resources Inc.'s Objections to Plaintiffs Discovery Requests	
140.00	01/07/2019	D	WAIVER - GENERAL	No
141.00	01/07/2019	C	ENTRY ERASED TO CORRECT ERROR	No
			Last Updated: Party Type - 01/07/2019	
142.00	01/08/2019	D	SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS / COUNTERCLAIM / CROSS CLAIM	Yes
			Special Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint	
142.10	01/09/2019	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Order 1/9/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
143.00	01/08/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION	No
			Defendant Midas Resources Inc Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Dismiss	
144.00	01/09/2019	D	OBJECTION	No
			TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES & REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION	
145.00	01/09/2019	P	MEMORANDUM	No
			Memo of Law & Fact Concern, Ds' Objs to Ps' Req for Limited Disc w Attached Meet-&-Confer Affidavit	
146.00	01/09/2019	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION OR REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY PB CH13	No
			to Plaintiffs' Revised Discovery Requests re: Motion of Limited Discovery (Entry No. 123.00)	
147.00	01/10/2019	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION OR REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY PB CH13	No
			Defendant, Cory Sklanka's Objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Special Int. & Req. for Production	
148.00	01/10/2019	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Order 1/10/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
149.00	01/11/2019	P	MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION-COURT ORDER	No
			Motion for Clarification Re: Order #148.00	
150.00	01/11/2019	D	AFFIDAVIT	No
			RESULT: Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
150.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
151.00	01/15/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER	No
			Motion to Clarify Compliance Deadlines	
152.00	01/16/2019	P	CASEFLOW REQUEST (JD-CV-116)	No
			Status conference to address deposition scheduling	
153.00	01/17/2019	D	NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES	No
			of Filing of Petition for Certification to Appeal (PET SC 180321)	
154.00	01/22/2019	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE PB 2-16	No
			for Attorney Marc Randazza RESULT: Denied 1/30/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
154.10	01/30/2019	C	ORDER	No
			RESULT: Denied 1/30/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
155.00	01/22/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION	No
			to Motion to Clarify Compliance Deadlines (Entry No. 151.00)	
156.00	01/22/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION	No
			to Motion for Clarification re: Order #148.00 (Entry No. 149.00)	
157.00	01/22/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION	No


























Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion for Clarification re: Order #148.00				
158.00	01/24/2019	D	EXHIBITS  Supplemental Exhibits Regarding Application for Pro Hac Vice Admission (Entry No. 154.00)	No
159.00	01/24/2019	P	NOTICE  Notice of Service of Ps' First Set of Special Interrogatories & Requests for Production	No
160.00	01/30/2019	D	MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE 	No
161.00	01/30/2019	P	NOTICE  Notice of Filing Ruling of the Chief Justice	No
162.00	01/30/2019	P	MEMORANDUM  ON DEFENDANTS' APPLICATION FOR APPEARANCE PRO HAC VICE	No
163.00	01/30/2019	P	EXHIBITS  Exhibit A to Plaintiffs' Memorandum re: Defendants' Application for Appearance Pro Hac Vice	No
164.00	01/31/2019	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  in support of Application for Pro Hac Vice Appearance of Marc Randazza (Entry No. 154.00)	No
165.00	01/28/2019	C	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL  Statement in Opposition	No
166.00	01/31/2019	C	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL  Letter denying application for cert	No
167.00	02/01/2019	D	MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE  <i>RESULT: Denied 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
167.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Denied 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
168.00	02/05/2019	P	MEMORANDUM  Supplemental Memorandum on the Scope of Individual Depositions	No
169.00	02/07/2019	D	MOTION TO TRANSFER  Motion to Transfer Venue	No
170.00	02/11/2019	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendant, Cory Sklanka's, Opposition to Plaintiffs' Supplementary Memo on Scope of Ind Depositions	No
171.00	02/13/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Supplemental Memorandum Regarding Depositions (Entry No. 168.00)	No
172.00	02/13/2019	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Def. Halbig's Motion for Venue Change & Sanctions <i>RESULT: Sustained 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
172.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Sustained 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
173.00	02/13/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Re: Defendants' Response to the Plaintiffs' Supplementary Memorandum on the Scope of Individual Depo <i>RESULT: Granted 2/13/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
173.10	02/13/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 2/13/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
174.00	02/13/2019	P	REPLY  in support of supp memo on the scope of individual depositions	No
175.00	02/13/2019	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Pl. Objection to Halbig Venue Motion & Req. for Sanctions (Entry No. 172.00).	No
176.00	02/13/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 2/13/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
177.00	02/15/2019	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5 	No
178.00	02/19/2019	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Motion for Protective Order	No
179.00	02/19/2019	C	PRESIDING JUDGE REFERRAL TO COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET <i>RESULT: Order 3/8/2019 HON JAMES ABRAMS</i>	No
179.10	03/08/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 3/8/2019 HON JAMES ABRAMS</i>	No


180.00	02/19/2019	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5	No
			Defendant, Cory Sklanka's, Motion for Entry of Protective Order joining Jones' Motion #177.00	
181.00	02/20/2019	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM	No
			In Support of Motion for Protective Order (Entry No. 177.00)	
182.00	02/20/2019	D	CASEFLOW REQUEST (JD-CV-116)	No
			re Motion for Protective Order (Entry No. 177.00)	
183.00	02/21/2019	D	PROPOSED ORDER	No
			Protective Order, PB 13-5, per Court Revisions	
184.00	02/22/2019	P	REPLY	No
			Ps' Response Concernng Proposed Protective Order	
185.00	02/22/2019	D	PROPOSED ORDER	No
			Protective Order, PB 13-5, per Court Revisions, with edit per Plaintiffs' Response <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 2/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
185.10	02/22/2019	C	ORDER	No
			<i>RESULT:</i> Granted 2/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
186.00	02/22/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13	No
187.00	02/22/2019	D	OBJECTION	No
			<i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
187.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER	No
			<i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
188.00	02/25/2019	D	REPLY	No
189.00	02/25/2019	D	RECORD CORRECTION	No
			Last Updated: Multiple Field Correction - 02/25/2019	
190.00	02/25/2019	P	CASEFLOW REQUEST (JD-CV-116)	No
			re: marking off status conference scheduled for 2.26.19 <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 2/25/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
190.10	02/25/2019	C	ORDER	No
			<i>RESULT:</i> Granted 2/25/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
191.00	02/25/2019	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE	No
			Notice of Compliance with Plaintiff's First Set of Special D&P's	
192.00	03/01/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER OF COMPLIANCE – PB SEC 13-14 (INTERR/PROD – 13-6/13-9)	No
			Motion to Compel <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/7/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
192.10	03/07/2019	C	ORDER	No
			<i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/7/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
193.00	03/04/2019	P	CASEFLOW REQUEST (JD-CV-116)	No
			requesting status conf on 3/7/19 at 2pm	
194.00	03/04/2019	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION	No
			160	
195.00	03/05/2019	P	NOTICE	No
			of Consent to Referral to Complex Litigation Docket	
196.00	03/06/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME	No
			TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/7/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
196.10	03/07/2019	C	ORDER	No
			<i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/7/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
197.00	03/07/2019	P	MEMORANDUM	No
			in Response to Jones Defendants' MET to Comply with Discovery of 3.6.19	
198.00	03/08/2019	C	ORDER	No
			<i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
199.00	03/08/2019	C	ORDER	No
			<i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
200.33	03/08/2019	C	TRANSFERRED FROM SUPERIOR COURT JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FAIRFIELD	No
201.33	03/08/2019	C	TRANSFERRED TO SUPERIOR COURT JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF WATERBURY	No
202.00	03/11/2019	O	LETTER	No


























Atty Randazza letter (only 2 of 3 pages received)				
203.00	03/18/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
203.10	03/20/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
204.00	03/19/2019	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Objection to Jones Ds' Third Motion for Extension of Time <i>RESULT:</i> Sustained 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
204.10	03/20/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Sustained 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
205.00	03/19/2019	P	CASEFLOW REQUEST (JD-CV-116)  Re: #203.00 and #204.00	No
206.00	03/20/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  for sanctions against the Jones defendants	No
207.00	03/21/2019	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) 	Yes
208.00	03/21/2019	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Corrected version of #207 (which referenced incorrect Motion) - requesting adjudication of #206	Yes
209.00	03/21/2019	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Updated Corrected Version of 207 and 208 noting Defense objection	Yes
210.00	03/21/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13 	No
211.00	03/22/2019	D	AFFIDAVIT  3/20/19 affidavit of M Zimmerman	No
212.00	03/22/2019	D	AFFIDAVIT  3/22/19 affidavit of A. Jones	No
213.00	03/25/2019	P	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Suppl Memo in Support of Motion for Sanctions Against the Jones Defendants	No
214.00	03/29/2019	P	AFFIDAVIT  Declaration of David R. Jones 2/22/19 Last Updated: Multiple Field Correction - 04/01/2019	No
215.00	04/02/2019	P	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Second Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Motion for Sanctions	No
216.00	04/08/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Halbig -- motion to recuse #124	Yes
217.00	04/10/2019	P	NOTICE  Notice of Filing Served Requests for Production	No
218.00	04/11/2019	D	NOTICE  OF FILING OF RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS? SPECIAL REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION	No
219.00	04/11/2019	D	NOTICE  OF FILING OF RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS? SPECIAL REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION	No
220.00	04/11/2019	D	NOTICE  OF FILING OF RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS? SPECIAL REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION	No
221.00	04/11/2019	D	NOTICE  OF FILING OF RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS? SPECIAL REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION	No
222.00	04/11/2019	D	NOTICE  OF FILING OF RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS? SPECIAL REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION	No
223.00	04/11/2019	D	MOTION FOR ORDER OF COMPLIANCE – PB SEC 13-14 (INTERR/PROD – 13-6/13-9)  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/30/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
223.10	04/30/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/30/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
224.00	04/16/2019	D	AFFIDAVIT 	No
225.00	04/16/2019	D	MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDICIAL AUTHORITY PB 1-23  Halbig's renewed and supplemental motion to recuse	No

225.10	05/08/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Denied 5/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
226.00	04/18/2019	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Compliance (#223.00) <i>RESULT: Order 4/30/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
226.10	04/30/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 4/30/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
227.00	04/22/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Compliance	No
228.00	04/18/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Halbig's motion to recuse & supplemental	Yes
229.00	04/18/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Halbig's objection to "limited Discovery"	Yes
230.00	04/18/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Halbig's objection to order re: Halbig Deposition	Yes
231.00	04/18/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Halbig's objection to exparte communications	Yes
232.00	04/18/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Halbig's motion sanctions for pretrial publicity	Yes
233.00	04/18/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Halbig's dismiss lack personal & subject matter	Yes
234.00	04/22/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  for discovery regarding compliance <i>RESULT: Order 4/30/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
234.10	04/30/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 4/30/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
235.00	04/25/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Re: Additional Motion to Compel Jones Defendants' Responses	No
236.00	04/29/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion for Relief Concerning the Alex Jones False Affidavit	No
237.00	04/29/2019	P	NOTICE  Notice of Matters Ready for Argument	No
238.00	04/30/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  For Further Discovery Proceedings <i>RESULT: Order 4/30/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
238.10	04/30/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 4/30/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
239.00	05/07/2019	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION OR REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY PB CH13 	No
240.00	05/14/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER 	No
241.00	05/15/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  FOR REQUEST FOR RELIEF FILED BY PLAINTIFFS	No
242.00	05/15/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  FOR REQUEST FOR RELIEF FILED BY PLAINTIFFS - REVISED	No
243.00	05/15/2019	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Special Requests for Production Provided to Plaintiffs on May 14, 2019	No
244.00	05/17/2019	P	NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22  to Alex Jones	No
245.00	05/17/2019	P	NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22  FSS	No
246.00	05/17/2019	P	NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22  IWH LLC	No
247.00	05/17/2019	P	NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22  IWH LLC	No
248.00	05/17/2019	P	NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22  PPTV	No
249.00	05/20/2019	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  Re: Motion #244, #245, #246, #247, #248	No
250.00	05/20/2019	P	NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22 	No


























			to Alex Jones	
251.00	05/20/2019	P	NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22  to Free Speech Systems	No
252.00	05/20/2019	P	NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22  to Infowars	No
253.00	05/20/2019	P	NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22  to Infowars Health	No
254.00	05/20/2019	P	NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22  to Prison Planet	No
255.00	05/29/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion to Compel Adequate Responses to Ps' Limited, Court-Ordered Requests for Production <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/10/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
255.10	06/10/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/10/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
256.00	05/30/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion to Compel Production of Alex Jones' Personal Email Metadata	No
257.00	06/04/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/10/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
257.10	06/10/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/10/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
258.00	06/10/2019	D	OBJECTION  Jones Defendants' Supplemental Memorandum in Objection to Additional Discovery <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/10/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
258.10	06/10/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/10/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
259.00	06/10/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Supplemental Memo ISO Motion to Compel Adequate Responses to Pltfs Limited Court Ordered RFPs	No
260.00	06/12/2019	D	REQUEST  Clarification of the Court's order entered June 10, 2019 <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 6/18/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
260.10	06/18/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 6/18/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
261.00	06/14/2019	P	CASEFLOW REQUEST (JD-CV-116)  requesting status conf on 6/18/19	No
262.00	06/14/2019	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Jones Defendants' Request for Clarification <i>RESULT:</i> Sustained 6/18/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
262.10	06/18/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Sustained 6/18/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
263.00	06/14/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion for Ruling on Other Outstanding Discovery Issues	No
264.00	06/17/2019	P	NOTICE  Plaintiffs Motion for Review of Broadcast by Alex Jones Threatening Plaintiffs' Counsel	No
265.00	06/17/2019	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  for immediate review of #264 - Notice - P's Motion for Review of Broadcast of Alex Jones <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/17/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
265.10	06/17/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/17/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
266.00	06/17/2019	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  TO COMPEL (DOCKET NOS. 255 AND 259)	No
267.00	06/17/2019	D	MOTION FOR STAY  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 6/18/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
267.10	06/18/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 6/18/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
268.00	06/18/2019	P	NOTICE  of filing transcript of Alex Jones' broadcasts of 6/14/19 and 6/15/19 per the	No

























Court's request				
269.00	06/19/2019	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 6.18.19 hearing	No
270.00	06/19/2019	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  with Requests to Admit dated May 20, 2019	No
271.00	06/21/2019	C	ORDER  Disclosure <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/21/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
272.00	06/24/2019	D	MOTION TO CORRECT  transcripts error	No
273.00	06/24/2019	D	APPLICATION  APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION TO APPEAL PURSUANT TO C.G.S. §52-265a	No
274.00	06/24/2019	D	APPLICATION  APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION TO APPEAL PURSUANT TO C.G.S. §52-265a AND ATTACHED APPENDIX	No
275.00	07/01/2019	C	SCHEDULING ORDER  Agreed to by counsel on 6/18/19 status conference	No
276.00	07/10/2019	D	MOTION FOR STAY  PROCEEDINGS PENDING DECISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF CONNECTICUT <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 8/16/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
276.10	08/16/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 8/16/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
277.00	07/10/2019	D	SUPREME COURT ORDER TRANSFERRING APPEAL FROM APPELLATE COURT  incorrect legend code Last Updated: Legend Code - 07/31/2019	No
278.00	07/18/2019	P	NOTICE  Notice of Filing Motion for Rectification <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/31/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
278.05	07/25/2019	D	APPELLATE COURT APPEAL WITHDRAWN 	No
278.10	07/31/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/31/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
279.00	08/16/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) 	Yes
280.00	05/04/2020	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/5/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
280.10	05/05/2020	C	ORDER  order scheduling 6/9/2020 telephone hearing <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/5/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
281.00	05/04/2020	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/5/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
281.10	05/05/2020	C	ORDER  order scheduling 6/9/2020 telephone hearing <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/5/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
282.00	05/18/2020	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL	No
283.00	05/28/2020	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  05/04/2020 281.00 MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE	No
284.00	05/29/2020	C	ORDER  6/9/2020 hearing is off <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/29/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
285.00	06/24/2020	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE  AS COUNSEL <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/24/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
285.10	06/24/2020	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/24/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
286.00	07/07/2020	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE PB 2-16  for Attorney Marc J. Randazza <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/7/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
286.10	07/07/2020	C	ORDER 	No


























RESULT: Order 7/7/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
287.00	07/07/2020	D	AFFIDAVIT  of Alex Emric Jones in support of Motion for Pro Hac Vice (286.00)	No
288.00	07/07/2020	D	AFFIDAVIT  of Marc J Randazza in support of Motion for Pro Hac Vice (286.00)	No
289.00	07/07/2020	D	REQUEST FOR ARGUMENT - NON-ARG MATTER (JD-CV-128)  Re Motion for Marc Randazza to Appear Pro Hac Vice (286.00) RESULT: Denied 7/7/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
289.10	07/07/2020	C	ORDER  RESULT: Denied 7/7/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
290.00	07/07/2020	C	ORDER  order marking off 7/9/20 hearing RESULT: Order 7/7/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
290.50	07/23/2020	C	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL  Sanctions orders affirmed	No
291.00	07/29/2020	C	ORDER  order postponing the 7/30 status conference RESULT: Order 7/29/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
292.00	08/14/2020	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE  as counsel for Cory T. Sklanka RESULT: Granted 9/8/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
292.10	08/17/2020	C	ORDER  Order scheduling 9/8/20 remote hearing RESULT: Order 8/17/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
292.20	09/08/2020	C	ORDER  RESULT: Granted 9/8/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
293.00	09/15/2020	C	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL  stay denied	No
294.00	09/15/2020	D	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL  Stay pending USSP denied Last Updated: Party Type - 10/02/2020	No
295.00	09/15/2020	C	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL  reconsideration denied	No
296.00	10/02/2020	C	ORDER  Order re: Motion to dismiss #108 RESULT: Order 10/2/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
297.00	10/09/2020	D	MOTION TO STRIKE  Plaintiffs' Complaint RESULT: Order 11/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
297.10	11/18/2021	C	ORDER  See memorandum of decision #580 RESULT: Order 11/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
298.00	10/09/2020	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  in support of Motion to Strike (Entry No. 297)	No
299.00	10/11/2020	C	ORDER  order re: Halbig dismiss waived RESULT: Order 10/11/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
300.00	10/23/2020	D	BRIEF  Defendants' Brief in Support of Continued Stay (see Entry No. 296.00)	No
301.00	10/23/2020	P	WITHDRAWAL OF ACTION AGAINST PARTICULAR DEFENDANT(S) – CASE REMAINS PENDING  Withdrawing as to Cory Sklanka	No
302.00	10/23/2020	P	MEMORANDUM  Concerning the Status of Discovery	No
303.00	10/26/2020	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  without prejudice subject to the finalization of the settlement	No
304.00	10/27/2020	C	ORDER  Order: Strike filing dates/ denial request stay RESULT: Order 10/27/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
305.00	11/06/2020	D	OBJECTION TO DEPOSITION  Melinda Flores RESULT: Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

























305.10	04/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
306.00	11/06/2020	D	OBJECTION TO DEPOSITION  Daria Karpova <i>RESULT: Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
306.10	04/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
307.00	11/09/2020	P	REPLY  to Jones Defs Objections to Depo Notices of Karpova and Flores <i>RESULT: Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
307.10	04/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
308.00	11/12/2020	P	SCHEDULING ORDER  proposed scheduling order	No
309.00	11/12/2020	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion to Re-Compel Compliance <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
309.10	05/14/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
310.00	11/16/2020	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  re: Procedures for Noticing and Taking Depositions <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
310.10	05/14/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
311.00	11/16/2020	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Supplement in Support of Reply to Jones Ds' Objections to Notices of Deposition	No
312.00	11/18/2020	D	NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT 	No
313.00	11/18/2020	P	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION 	No
314.00	11/18/2020	D	REMOVED TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
315.00	04/05/2021	C	RECORD CORRECTION  Last Updated: Legend Code - 04/07/2021	No
316.00	03/05/2021	C	REMANDED FROM FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT 	No
317.00	04/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF ACTION AGAINST PARTICULAR DEFENDANT(S) – CASE REMAINS PENDING  As to Wolfgang Halbig	No
318.00	04/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF ACTION AGAINST PARTICULAR DEFENDANT(S) – CASE REMAINS PENDING  As to Midas Resources, Inc.	No
319.00	04/14/2021	C	ORDER  Order re: status conferences and strike deadlines <i>RESULT: Order 4/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
320.00	04/15/2021	C	ORDER  Scheduling order by Court <i>RESULT: Order 4/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
321.00	04/16/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  4/14/21 on the record status conference Last Updated: Additional Description - 04/16/2021	No
322.00	04/21/2021	P	MOTION FOR DEFAULT -FAILURE TO APPEAR PB 17-20  as to Genesis Communications Network, Inc. <i>RESULT: Granted 4/26/2021 BY THE CLERK</i>	No
322.10	04/26/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 4/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
322.20	04/27/2021	C	ORDER  Court vacates order as done too early <i>RESULT: Order 4/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
323.00	04/23/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE BRIEF  re respond to Jones Ds' Motion to Strike <i>RESULT: Order 4/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
323.10	04/26/2021	C	ORDER  order re: objection due today or motion is granted	No























<p><i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 04/26/2021</p>				
324.00	04/28/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM Reply in Support of Objections (Entry Nos. 305.00 & 306.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
324.10	04/29/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
325.00	04/29/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION Plaintiffs' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Motion to Strike	No
326.00	05/05/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5 Emergency Motion re May 6 & 7 Depositions	No
326.10	05/06/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
327.00	05/05/2021	D	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION Re: Emergency Motion 326.00	No
328.00	05/05/2021	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) Re: Emergency Motion for Protective Order 326.00	Yes
329.00	05/05/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Memorandum in Response to the Jones Defendants' Emergency Motion for Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
329.10	05/06/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
330.00	05/05/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM Reply in Support of Emergency Motion for Protective Order	No
330.10	05/06/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
331.00	05/05/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Objection to Motion to Re-Compel Compliance, Entry No. 309.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
331.10	05/14/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
332.00	05/05/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Limited Opposition to Motion re Deposition Procedure, Entry No. 310.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
332.10	05/12/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
333.00	05/05/2021	C	ORDER Order re: 5/6/21 11:00 am hearing <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
334.00	05/05/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Motion to Compel Compliance with Plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests for Production	No
335.00	05/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION #334.00-Ps' Mot to Compel Compliance With Ps' Second Set of Reqs for Production	No
336.00	05/07/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript of 5/6/21 status conference	No
337.00	05/11/2021	D	MOTION FOR STAY AND NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF DUTY OF CANDOR <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
337.10	05/19/2021	C	ORDER briefing schedule <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
337.20	06/07/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
338.00	05/12/2021	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM Reply Memo in Support of Their Motion for Order Re Procedures for Noticing and Taking Depositions <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
338.10	05/12/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

























339.00	05/14/2021	P	REPLY  Reply in Support of DN 309, Motion to Re-Compel Compliance <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
339.10	05/14/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
340.00	05/19/2021	C	ORDER  filing deadlines from 5/19/21 ststus confernece <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
341.00	05/20/2021	D	OBJECTION RE DISCOVERY OR DISCLOSURE  OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS? SECOND SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
341.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
341.20	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
342.00	05/21/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendants' Supplement to Motion for Stay (Entry No. 337.00)	No
343.00	05/21/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  And Objections to Haarmann & Riley Deposition Notices <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
343.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
344.00	05/27/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion for Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
344.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
345.00	05/28/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion for Stay and Claim of Candor Violation <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
345.10	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
346.00	05/28/2021	P	MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY  Motion to Substitute Estate of Jeremy Richman for Individual Plaintiff Jeremy Richman <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
346.10	05/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
346.20	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
347.00	05/28/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Memo of Law in Supp. of Mot. to Substitute Estate of Jeremy Richman for Indiv. Pl. Jeremy Richman	No
348.00	06/01/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Emergency Motion for Protective Order re 6-3-21 production <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
348.10	06/02/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
349.00	06/01/2021	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re Emergency Motion 348.00	Yes
350.00	06/01/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Re Motion for Protective Order/Objections Entry No. 343.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
350.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
351.00	06/01/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Jones Defendants' Emergency Motion for Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
351.10	06/02/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
352.00	06/02/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Supplement to Objection to Emergency Motion for Protective Order	No
























353.00	06/04/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  In Support of Motion to Strike, Entry 297.00	No
354.00	06/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Substitute (346.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
354.10	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
355.00	06/07/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Re Motion for Stay/Notice of Lack of Candor Entry 337.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
355.10	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
356.00	06/08/2021	P	MOTION TO MODIFY - GENERAL  Motion to Modify Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
356.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
357.00	06/08/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL IN PART  Pl Jennifer Hensel Executrix of Estate of Jeremy Richman withdraws its claims against all defendants	No
358.00	06/08/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re Corporate Representative Depositions 6/23-24 <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 6/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
358.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
358.20	06/18/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 6/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
359.00	06/09/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Mot for Ext of Time to Object or Otherwise Respond to Re-Notice of Deposition of Richard Coan <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/11/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
359.10	06/11/2021	C	ORDER  granted through 7/6/21 motions to be timely filed <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/11/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
360.00	06/09/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re Motion for Extension of Time #359.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/10/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
360.10	06/10/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/10/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
361.00	06/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Mot for Ext of Time to Obj or Otherwise Resp to Re-ND of J. Hensel as Executrix of Est of J. Richman <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
361.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
362.00	06/11/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion for Extension 359.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/11/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
362.10	06/11/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/11/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
363.00	06/11/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re Motion for Extension of Time #361.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
363.10	06/14/2021	C	ORDER  order re: 6/16/21 due date objection to ext time <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
364.00	06/11/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/28/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
364.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
364.20	06/28/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/28/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No























365.00	06/15/2021	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Motion re Corp Rep Depositions Entry 358.00	Yes
366.00	06/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re: Defendants' Notice of Deposition of Jennifer Hensel as Executrix of Estate of Jeremy Richman <i>RESULT: Denied 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
366.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
366.20	06/30/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Denied 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
367.00	06/16/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Re Executrix Motion for Extension Entry No. 361.00 <i>RESULT: Overruled 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
367.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Overruled 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
368.00	06/16/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Modify Entry No. 356.00 <i>RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
368.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
369.00	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  due dates for filing protective order <i>RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
369.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  order correcting the reply due date <i>RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
370.00	06/16/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion for Protective Order Regarding Corporate Designee Deposition Notices re #358.00 <i>RESULT: Sustained 6/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
370.10	06/18/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Sustained 6/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
371.00	06/17/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Motion for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition of Daniel J. Bidondi <i>RESULT: Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
371.10	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
372.00	06/17/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 6/16/21 status conference	No
373.00	06/18/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Re Corporate Representative Depositions Entry No. 358.00	No
374.00	06/18/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  <i>RESULT: Order 7/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
374.10	07/01/2021	C	ORDER  Granted in part and denied in part <i>RESULT: Order 7/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
375.00	06/23/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion for Commissions Entry 364.00 <i>RESULT: Order 6/28/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
375.10	06/28/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 6/28/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
376.00	06/25/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Executrix MPO Entry No. 366.00 <i>RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
376.10	06/30/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
377.00	06/28/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Compliance with First Special Discovery Requests Entry 159.00	No
378.00	06/28/2021	D	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  <i>RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
378.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No

379.00	06/28/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion re Plaintiff Deposition Entry No 374.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
379.10	06/30/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 06/30/2021	No
380.00	06/28/2021	P	REPLY  Reply In Supp of Ps' Mots for Comm to Take Out of State Depos	No
381.00	06/29/2021	P	REPLY  ISO Ps Mot for Prot Ord Against Jones Ds ND J. Hensel as Exec of W/D P Estate of Jeremy Richman	No
382.00	06/30/2021	P	REPLY  ISO Motion for Protective Order Regarding Ps' Depositions	No
383.00	06/30/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13  Answer and Respond to Jones Defendants' ROGS and RFPS dated May 14, 2021 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
383.10	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 7/9/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
383.20	07/09/2021	C	ORDER  Discovery deadlines <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
384.00	07/01/2021	D	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  OUT OF STATE	No
385.00	07/01/2021	D	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
385.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
385.20	08/04/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 8/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
386.00	07/02/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion for Commission re Bidondi Entry No. 371.00	No
387.00	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  Genesis strik filing dates <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
388.00	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  Plaintiffs' sanctions filing due dates <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
389.00	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  Court's ruling on deposition settlement questions <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
390.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Motion for Commission to Take Out-of-State Deposition (Kurt Nimmo) <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
390.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
391.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Motion for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition of Timothy Fruge	No
392.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION FOR SANCTIONS – PB SEC 13-4 (EXPERT)  Motion for Sanctions re Confidential Disclosure-07-06-2021	No
393.00	07/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  Motion to Withdraw Motion No. 392.00 - Inadvertently filed under the wrong heading	No
394.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  for Sanctions Based on the Jones Defendants' Violation of the Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
394.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
395.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion for Sanctions re Flores Production (Redacted) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
























395.10	07/22/2021	C	ORDER  opposition due 7/27/21, reply due 8/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 07/29/2021	No
395.20	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on #428. <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
396.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
396.10	07/08/2021	C	ORDER  Hearing on 7/21/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
396.20	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
397.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION TO QUASH  Motion to Quash and/or for Protective Order re Richard Coan Subpoena Duces Tecum <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
397.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
397.20	08/20/2021	C	ORDER  subject matter jurisdiction issue <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
397.30	11/05/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
398.00	07/07/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 7/2/21 status conference	No
399.00	07/07/2021	P	MEMORANDUM  Pls.' Response to Jones Defs.' Motion for Commission for Deposition of Wolfgang Halbig <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
399.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
400.00	07/09/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Limited Objection to Motion for Extension Entry No. 383.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
400.10	07/09/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
401.00	07/12/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Motion for Commission (Hillary Clinton)	No
401.10	08/04/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Sustained 8/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
402.00	07/13/2021	P	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Plaintiffs' Omnibus Objections to the Infowars Defendants' Interrogatories to Each Plaintiff <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
402.10	08/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
403.00	07/13/2021	P	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Plaintiffs' Omnibus Objections to the Infowars Defendants' Requests for Production to Each Plaintiff <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
403.10	08/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
404.00	07/13/2021	P	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Plaintiff's Supplemental Objection to Infowar Defendants' RFPs (Marital Privilege Objection)	No
405.00	07/13/2021	P	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Plaintiffs' Objection to Infowars Defendants' RFPs for Jennifer Hensel (as individual and executrix) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

























405.10	08/22/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 8/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
406.00	07/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Supplemental Motion for Commission for OOS Depo. of Timothy Fruge 7-16-21 <i>RESULT: Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
406.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
407.00	07/16/2021	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  LLC Defendant Objections to 2nd Interrogatories and 3rd RPDs <i>RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
407.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
408.00	07/16/2021	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Alex Jones Objections to 2nd Interrogatories and 3rd RPDs <i>RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
408.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
409.00	07/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Limiting Jones Defendants' Deposition Examinations <i>RESULT: Order 7/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
409.10	07/19/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 7/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
409.15	07/23/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 7/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i> Last Updated: Party Type - 07/23/2021	No
410.00	07/19/2021	D	REPLY  TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS BASED ON THE JONES DEFEDANTS' VIOLATION OF PROTECTIVE ORDER <i>RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
410.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
411.00	07/20/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Suppl. Mot. for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition (Robert Jacobson) <i>RESULT: Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
411.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
412.00	07/20/2021	P	REPLY  Reply ISO Mot for Sanctions Based on the Jones Ds' Violation of the Protective Order	No
413.00	07/20/2021	P	MEMORANDUM  Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum re: Plaintiffs' Motion to File Unredacted Pleading Under Seal	No
414.00	07/20/2021	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Plaintiffs' MET to Complete Fact Discovery and Disclose Plaintiffs' Experts <i>RESULT: Granted 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
414.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
414.20	07/30/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
415.00	07/21/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Seal Entry No. 396.00	No
416.00	07/21/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motions for Commissions Entry No. 390, 391, 406 & 411 <i>RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
416.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
417.00	07/21/2021	D	MOTION TO STRIKE  m/strike by defendant Genesis Communications Network <i>RESULT: Denied 11/24/2021 BY THE CLERK</i>	Yes
417.10	11/24/2021	C	ORDER  See memorandum of decision #593 <i>RESULT: Denied 11/24/2021 BY THE CLERK</i>	No
























418.00	07/21/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  mem/support m/strike by defendant Genesis Communications Network, Entry 417.00	No
419.00	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
420.00	07/21/2021	P	DOCUMENT SEALED <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 07/29/2021	No
420.10	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on #428. <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
421.00	07/21/2021	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT 	Yes
422.00	07/22/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  July 21, 2021 Hearing	No
423.00	07/22/2021	O	MOTION TO QUASH  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
423.10	07/23/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 8/6/21 and reply due 8/20/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
423.20	08/20/2021	C	ORDER  subject matter jurisdiction issue <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
424.00	07/23/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion to Limit Deposition Examination Entry 409.00	No
424.10	07/23/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
425.00	07/23/2021	O	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
425.10	07/23/2021	C	ORDER  Objection due 8/6/21 and reply due 8/20/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 07/26/2021	No
426.00	07/26/2021	P	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION  Affidavit Re Attempts to Resolve the Jones Ds' Objs to Ps' Second Reqs for Production	No
427.00	07/27/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Plaintiffs Motion for Sanction Entry No. 395.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
427.10	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on #428. <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
428.00	07/27/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Amended Motion for Sanctions re Flores Production (Partially Redacted DN 395.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
428.10	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  part 1 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 08/06/2021	No
428.11	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  part 2 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
429.00	07/28/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Motion for Extension of Time to File Objection to D Genesis' Motion to Strike <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 8/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
429.10	08/17/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 8/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
430.00	07/30/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion for Extension of Time (Entry No. 414.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
430.10	07/30/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
431.00	08/03/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply re Motion for Commission (Clinton) Entry No 384.00 & 385.00	No
























431.10	08/04/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 8/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
432.00	08/03/2021	P	DOCUMENT SEALED RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
432.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  See 7/21/21 court order RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
433.00	08/03/2021	P	REPLY  ISO Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions (DN 395.00) (corrected redaction) RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
433.10	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
434.00	08/04/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  Withdrawal of DN 432.00 Reply ISO Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions (due to redaction error)	No
435.00	08/04/2021	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
435.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
436.00	08/04/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13  re: Service of Compliance to Jones Defts. Rogs.and Non ESI and Non Medical Record Disc. RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
436.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on motion to modify RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
437.00	08/04/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION OF DISCOVERY OR DEPOSITION DISPUTE (JD-CV-119)  re: Motion for Extension of Time Motion No. 436.00	No
438.00	08/06/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Partial Opposition to Motion for Extension (Entry No. 436.00)	No
439.00	08/06/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motions to Quash Subpoena to Trustee (397.00 & 423.00)	No
439.10	11/05/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 11/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
440.00	08/09/2021	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  For Leave to File Surreply re Motion for Sanction (395.00) RESULT: Denied 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
440.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  Moot RESULT: Denied 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
441.00	08/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION-COURT ORDER  	No
442.00	08/10/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Limited Opposition to the Jones Ds' Mot for Leave to File Surreply in Opp to Motion for Sanctions RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
442.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
443.00	08/12/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  PB Sec 13-10 Notice of Claim of Objections for Adjudication with Attached Affidavit	Yes
444.00	08/13/2021	D	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION  Re Plaintiffs Objections (Entry Nos. 397, 402, 403, 404, 405)	No
445.00	08/17/2021	D	MOTION TO DISMISS PB 10-30  Dismiss Lafferty for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction RESULT: Denied 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
445.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  objection 9/17, reply 10/1, argued 10/20 RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
445.20	10/20/2021	C		No

			ORDER	
			Denied with explanation <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
446.00	08/17/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION In Support of Motion to Dismiss (Entry No. 445.00)	No
447.00	08/17/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication re: DN 429.00 (MET to file Objection to Genesis Mot to Strike)	Yes
448.00	08/20/2021	P	NOTICE Pls? Resp. to Defs. Notice of Filing Claim of Obj. for Adjudication	No
449.00	08/20/2021	P	NOTICE Notice of Filing Corrected Affidavit for DN 448.00	No
450.00	08/24/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER For Sanctions RE: Google Analytics & Social Medial Data <i>RESULT:</i> Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
450.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER objection 9/14, reply 9/23, surreply 9/25 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
450.20	09/30/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
450.21	09/30/2021	C	ORDER order 450.20 continued <i>RESULT:</i> Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
451.00	08/24/2021	C	ORDER D brief 9/9, P brief 9/24, reply 10/1 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
452.00	08/24/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (450.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Withdrawn 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
452.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Withdrawn 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
453.00	08/25/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION Plaintiffs' Objection to Genesis Defendant's Motion to Strike (DN 417.00)	No
454.00	09/02/2021	D	NOTICE Notice of Receipt of Settlement Documents	No
455.00	09/07/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT Motion for Order Regarding and to Seal the Jones Defendants' Notice of Possession of Documents <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 09/08/2021	Yes
455.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER objection due 10/7, reply due 10/12 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
455.20	10/20/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
456.00	09/09/2021	D	MOTION FOR ORDER Motion for Order to Overrule Objections to RPD 2 and 3 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
456.10	10/01/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
457.00	09/09/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions re Manufactured Trial Balances <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
457.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER objection due 10/7, reply due 10/15 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
457.20	11/15/2021	C	ORDER See ruling entered on the record on today's date <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
458.00	09/14/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Opposition to Motion for Sanctions re Analytics Entry No. 450.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
458.10	09/30/2021	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion	No

RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
459.00	09/16/2021	P	MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY  PI E. Lafferty's Mot to Subst R. Coan, Trustee of the Bkrptcy Est for Indiv PI E. Lafferty RESULT: Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
459.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 10/7, reply due 10/15 RESULT: Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
459.20	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
460.00	09/16/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Me in Sup of PI E. Lafferty's Mot to Sub R. Coan, Trust. of the Bkrpt. Est for Indiv PI E. Lafferty	No
461.00	09/17/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to Jones Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (DN 445)	No
462.00	09/17/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to Jones Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (DN 445) (corrected caption) RESULT: Sustained 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
462.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Sustained 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
463.00	09/17/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF OBJECTION  Withdrawal of DN 461.00 (due to incorrect caption)	No
464.00	09/20/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 9/17/21 telephonic conference call	No
465.00	09/22/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Defendant Genesis's reply to entry 453.00, objection to m/strike	No
466.00	09/22/2021	P	MOTION TO STRIKE  Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Genesis's Late Reply Brief (DN 465.00) RESULT: Denied 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
466.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Denied 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
467.00	09/22/2021	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  objection to entry 466.00, m/strike reply RESULT: Sustained 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
467.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Sustained 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
468.00	09/23/2021	P	REPLY  Plaintiffs' Reply ISO Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions re Google Analytics (450.00)	No
469.00	09/23/2021	D	NOTICE  Notice of Filing Trial Court Decision re Entry 456.00	No
470.00	09/23/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Seal Entry No. 452.00	No
471.00	09/23/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13  PLAINTIFFS? MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE PRODUCTION OF ESI AND MEDICAL RECORDS RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
471.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 10/1, reply due 10/5 RESULT: Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
471.20	10/05/2021	C	ORDER  granted as to supp. compliance/ PB13-15 cont duty RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
472.00	09/24/2021	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  Surreply to Motion for Sanctions Entry 450.00 RESULT: Granted 9/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
472.10	09/25/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Granted 9/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
473.00	09/24/2021	P	OBJECTION RE DISCOVERY OR DISCLOSURE  Plaintiffs' Objection to Jones Defendants' Motion to Overrule Objection to RFPs 2 & 3 (DN 456) RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
























473.10	10/01/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion. <i>RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
474.00	09/27/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 8/24/21 status conference	No
475.00	09/30/2021	P	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  To file objections to Reiland Affidavit	No
476.00	10/01/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply re Motion to Overrule - Entry 458.00 <i>RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
476.10	10/01/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion. <i>RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
477.00	10/01/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply re Motion to Dismiss Lafferty - Entry 445.00	No
478.00	10/01/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion for Extension--Entry 471.00 <i>RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
478.10	10/05/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
479.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Erica Lafferty's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
480.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Aldenberg's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
481.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  David Wheeler's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
482.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jillian Soto-Marino's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
483.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlos M. Soto's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
484.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlos Soto-Parisi's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
485.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Francine Wheeler's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
486.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Donna Soto's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
487.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jennifer Hensel's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
488.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jacqueline Bardens's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
489.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Ian Hockley's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
490.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Nicole Hockley's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
491.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Mark Bardens's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
492.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlee Soto-Parisi's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
493.00	10/01/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL IN PART  Withdrawal of DN 484.00 (due to typo in docket description)	No
494.00	10/05/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Motion for Additional Protective Measures to Protect Plaintiffs' Disclosures <i>RESULT: Order 10/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
























494.10	10/05/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 10/8/21 by noon, no reply <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 10/05/2021	No
494.20	10/08/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
495.00	10/05/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Request for Adjudication re DN 494.00	Yes
496.00	10/05/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 9/23/21 hearing / status conference	No
497.00	10/05/2021	P	REPLY  Reply In Support Of Ps' Motion for Ext of Time to Complete Production of ESI and Medical Records	No
498.00	10/07/2021	P	MEMORANDUM  Memorandum in Support of Default Based on the Jones Defendants' Litigation Misconduct	No
499.00	10/07/2021	C	ORDER  re: 10/20/21 hearing on seal/dismiss/sanctions <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
500.00	09/29/2021	O	DEPOSIT RECEIVED 	No
501.00	10/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion to Substitute Trustee - Entry 459.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
501.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion. <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
502.00	10/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion for Sanctions re Trial Balances - Entry 457.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
502.10	11/15/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling entered on the record on today's date <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
503.00	10/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion to Seal Notice - Entry 455.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
503.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion. <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
504.00	10/08/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion for Protective Measures - Entry 494.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
504.10	10/08/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
505.00	10/12/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jones Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests	No
506.00	10/12/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  David Wheeler's Notice of Compliance Dated 10/8/21 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
507.00	10/12/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Compliance Dated 10/8/21 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
508.00	10/12/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Aldenberg's Notice of Compliance Dated 10/8/21 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
509.00	10/12/2021	P	REPLY  Re: Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal (455.00) the Jones Defendants' Notice of Possession of Documents	No
510.00	10/14/2021	D	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  and Notice of Lodging Jacobson Deposition Transcript <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/14/2021	Yes
510.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  Any objection must be filed on or before 11/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

























510.20	11/17/2021	C	ORDER  Granted in part; denied in part <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
510.30	11/29/2021	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  sealing #595.50 unredacted Jacobson deposition	Yes
511.00	10/15/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Plaintiffs' Motion for One-Day Extension Of Time to file Reply to DN 502.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
511.10	10/15/2021	C	ORDER  One day extension granted over objection. <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
511.11	10/15/2021	C	ORDER  Supp. order 10/20/21 due date <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
512.00	10/15/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication re: DN 511.00 (One-day MET for Reply re Trial Balances)	Yes
513.00	10/15/2021	P	REPLY  Plaintiffs' Reply ISO Motion to Substitute R. Coan, Trustee for Plaintiff Erica Lafferty (DN 459.00)	No
514.00	10/18/2021	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Plaintiffs' Reply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (DN 457.00 & DN 502.00)	No
514.10	01/20/2022	C	DOCUMENT SEALED Last Updated: Additional Description - 01/20/2022	No
515.00	10/18/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (DN 514.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/19/2021	Yes
515.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  Any objection must be filed on or before 11/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
515.20	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Entry Number - 01/19/2022	No
515.30	01/20/2022	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  order sealing #514.10	Yes
516.00	10/19/2021	C	ORDER  subpoena due date 5:00 pm 10/19/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
517.00	10/19/2021	P	NOTICE  Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Copy of R. Jacobson's Subpoena (pursuant to DN 516.00)	No
518.00	10/20/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to request for entry of default (Entry No. 498.00)	No
519.00	10/20/2021	D	MOTION FOR ORDER  TO RECUSE JUDGE BELLIS <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
519.10	10/21/2021	C	ORDER  Response due 10/27/21, reply due 11/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
519.20	11/04/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
520.00	10/20/2021	D	AFFIDAVIT  IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RECUSE JUDGE BELLIS	No
521.00	10/20/2021	D	AFFIDAVIT 	No
522.00	10/20/2021	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  For Leave to File Surreply re Trial Balances (Entry No. 457.00) -Redacted <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
522.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
522.20	01/20/2022	C	DOCUMENT SEALED	No
523.00	10/21/2021	D	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Re Unredacted Affidavit of Robert Roe (Entry 522.00)	Yes
























RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/21/2021				
523.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
523.20	01/20/2022	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT Order sealing #522.20	Yes
524.00	10/21/2021	C	ORDER Show cause hearing notification RESULT: Order 10/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
525.00	10/22/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT 10/20/21 hearing transcript	No
526.00	10/22/2021	C	ORDER order re: 11/5/21 and 11/17/21 hearings RESULT: Order 10/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
527.00	10/22/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER For Sanctions RE: Google Analytics & Social Media Data (Unredacted Version of DN 450.00)	No
528.00	10/22/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5 Re Questions to Joshua Owens RESULT: Denied 10/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
528.10	10/22/2021	C	ORDER Denied RESULT: Denied 10/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
529.00	10/22/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER To Allow Deposition Questioning (and Objection to Defendants' Motion for Protective Order) RESULT: Granted 10/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
529.10	10/22/2021	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Granted 10/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
530.00	10/22/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (DN 529.00) Last Updated: Party Type - 10/25/2021	Yes
530.10	11/17/2021	C	ORDER privacy interest overridden by public's interest RESULT: Denied 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 11/17/2021	No
531.00	10/22/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Mark Barden's Notice of Compliance Dated 10/22/21	No
532.00	10/22/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Francine Wheeler's Notice of Compliance Dated 10/22/21 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
533.00	10/25/2021	P	NOTICE Plaintiffs' Notice of Violation of the Protective Order	No
534.00	10/26/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Response to purported Notice of Violation (Entry 533.00)	No
535.00	10/26/2021	C	ORDER R. Jacobson depo to Chief Disciplinary Counsel RESULT: Order 10/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
536.00	10/26/2021	O	MOTION TO INTERVENE motion intervene & motion recuse Judge Bellis RESULT: Rejected 10/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 10/26/2021	No
536.10	10/27/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Rejected 10/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
537.00	10/26/2021	P	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF For Leave to File Attached Surreply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (DN 457.00) RESULT: Granted 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
537.10	10/29/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Granted 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
537.20	01/20/2022	C	DOCUMENT SEALED	No
538.00	10/26/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (DN 537.00)	Yes























RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/27/2021				
538.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
538.20	01/20/2022	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT order sealing #537.20	Yes
539.00	10/27/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Defendant Genesis Communication's response concerning motion to intervene, entry 536.00	No
540.00	10/27/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Defendant Genesis Communications's response to codefendants m/recuse, entry 519.00	No
541.00	10/27/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Recuse Judge Bellis (DN 519) RESULT: Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
541.10	11/04/2021	C	ORDER See ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 11/04/2021	No
542.00	10/27/2021	D	EXHIBITS Statewide Grievance Decision	No
543.00	10/28/2021	D	NOTICE Notice of Filing Grievance Decision re Entry Nos. 519.10 & 542.00	No
544.00	10/28/2021	D	EXHIBITS A-P AS AN ATTACHMENT TO #521 AFFIDAVIT	No
545.00	10/29/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Sur-Surreply - Entry 537.00 RESULT: Overruled 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
545.10	10/29/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Overruled 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
546.00	10/29/2021	P	NOTICE Notice of Plaintiff R, Coan's Partial Withdrawal of DN 397 & DN 423	No
547.00	11/01/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission (Youtube) RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
547.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER court will do in camera review if necessary RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
548.00	11/01/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission (Facebook, Inc.) RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
548.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER court will do in camera review if necessary RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 12/14/2021	No
549.00	11/01/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION ? Plaintiffs? Motion for Commission (Twitter, Inc.) RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
549.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER court will do in camera review if necessary RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 12/14/2021	No
550.00	11/01/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Motion to Compel Consent to Disclosure of Social Media Data and Analytics RESULT: Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
550.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER order to attach to subpoena RESULT: Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
551.00	11/03/2021	P	NOTICE Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Supplemental Exhibit F (Proposed Subpoena) to DN 547.00 (Youtube, LLC)	No
552.00	11/03/2021	P	NOTICE Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Supplemental Exhibit E (Proposed Subpoena) to DN 548.00 (Facebook, Inc)	No

























553.00	11/03/2021	P	NOTICE  Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Supplemental Exhibit E (Proposed Subpoena) to DN 549.00 (Twitter, Inc)	No
554.00	11/03/2021	D	REPLY  IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RECUSE JUDGE BELLIS	No
555.00	11/03/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Seal - Entry 515.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
555.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
556.00	11/04/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Compliance with document requests to Owen Shroyer	No
557.00	11/04/2021	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Objections to document requests to Owen Shroyer	No
558.00	11/05/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Ian Hockley's Notice of Compliance Dated 11/5/21 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
559.00	11/05/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlee Soto Parisi's Notice of Compliance Dated 11/5/21 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
560.00	11/05/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Donna Soto's Notice of Compliance Dated 11/5/21 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
561.00	11/05/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  David Wheeler's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 11/5/21 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
562.00	11/05/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 11/5/21 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
563.00	11/05/2021	C	ORDER  due dates re: #547-553 obj due 11/15, reply 11/19 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
564.00	11/09/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript 11/5/21 hearing and status conference	No
565.00	11/09/2021	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Objections to document requests to Christopher Daniels	No
566.00	11/09/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Compliance with document requests to Christopher Daniels	No
567.00	11/10/2021	O	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Motion to Seal Response to Order to Show Cause <i>RESULT:</i> Withdrawn 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
567.10	11/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Withdrawn 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
568.00	11/10/2021	O	REPLY  Response to 10/20/2021 Order to Show Cause, Entry Nos. 525, 526 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/11/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
568.10	11/11/2021	C	ORDER  Show caused continued to 12/15/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/11/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
569.00	11/12/2021	D	DOCUMENT SEALED <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 11/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
569.10	11/12/2021	C	ORDER  Sealed by court PB 4-7 personal identifying info <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
569.11	11/15/2021	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
569.20	11/14/2021	C	ORDER  depo questioning stayed for 30 days <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 11/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
570.00	11/12/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion to Allow Questioning of Kit Daniels and Objection to Defs.' Mot. for Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

























570.10	11/14/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on motion for protective order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
571.00	11/15/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Redacted Entry No. 569.00 - Re: Questions to Christopher ?Kit? Daniels	No
572.00	11/15/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Re: Plaintiffs' Motions for Commission and Order (Entry Nos. 547.00, 548.00, 549.00, and 550.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
572.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
573.00	11/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order re: Halbig Deposition <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
573.10	11/17/2021	C	ORDER  obj due 11/29/21; reply due 12/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
573.20	12/03/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
574.00	11/15/2021	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION  Court's ruling on the motion for sanctions	No
575.00	11/16/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Re: Motion to Seal (Entry No. 530.00 and 538.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
575.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
576.00	11/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  For Additional Protection to Protect Plaintiffs' Disclosures (Expedited Adjudication Requested) <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
576.10	11/17/2021	C	ORDER  obj due 11/29/21; reply due 12/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
576.20	12/03/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
577.00	11/17/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Supp. Memorandum in Opposition to Motions to Seal (515.00, 523.00, 538.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
577.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
578.00	11/17/2021	C	ORDER  brief re: seal due D 11/29/21, P 12/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
579.00	11/18/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript 11/15/21 hearing	No
580.00	11/18/2021	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION  Memorandum of decision re: Strike #297	No
581.00	11/19/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 11/17/21 hearing	No
582.00	11/19/2021	P	REPLY  Pl. Omnibus Reply to Ds' Obj. to Mtns. for Commission and to Mtn to Compel Consent - Social Media	No
583.00	11/19/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlos M. Soto's Notice of Compliance Dated 11/19/2021 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
584.00	11/19/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Erica Lafferty's Notice of Compliance Dated 11/19/2021 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
585.00	11/19/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jennifer Hensel's Notice of Compliance Dated 11/19/2021 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
























586.00	11/19/2021	P	<u>NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE</u>  Nicole Hockley's Notice of Compliance Dated 11/19/2021 (RE: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
587.00	11/19/2021	P	<u>NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE</u>  Jillian Soto-Marino's Notice of Compliance Dated 11/19/2021 (RE Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
588.00	11/19/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  motions #547-550 surreply due 12/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
589.00	11/19/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5</u>  Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order to Limit Prior Discovery Orders	No
589.10	11/29/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Reply due 12/13/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
590.00	11/19/2021	P	<u>REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)</u>  Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication of DN 589.00	Yes
591.00	11/22/2021	P	<u>REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)</u>  Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication of DN 589.00 (re-filed due to error in response to Question #5) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
591.10	11/22/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Objection due by 9:30 am 11/29/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
592.00	11/22/2021	P	<u>WITHDRAWAL IN PART</u>  Withdrawal of DN 590.00 (due to error in response to Question #5)	No
592.50	11/23/2021	C	<u>PETITION FOR CERTIFICATION</u>  SC DENIAL of petition for certification	No
593.00	11/24/2021	C	<u>MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION</u>  Decision on motion to strike #417	No
594.00	11/24/2021	D	<u>NOTICE OF DEFENSE PB 17-34</u> 	No
595.00	11/26/2021	D	<u>NOTICE</u>  Notice of Filing minimally redacted Jacobson Transcript	No
595.50	11/29/2021	C	<u>DOCUMENT SEALED</u>	No
596.00	11/29/2021	D	<u>MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION</u>  Opposition to MPO Entry Nos. 573.00, 576.00 & 589.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
596.10	12/03/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  see ruling on underlying motion as to #576 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 12/03/2021	No
597.00	11/29/2021	D	<u>OBJECTION TO MOTION</u>  objection to m/ for protective order, entry 589	No
598.00	11/29/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Brief due 12/13/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
599.00	11/29/2021	O	<u>REPLY</u>  Response to 10/20/2021 Order to Show Cause, Entry Nos. 525, 526	No
600.00	11/29/2021	D	<u>MEMORANDUM</u>  Defendants? Supp. Brief in Support of Motions to Seal (Nos. 515.00, 523.00, 538.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
600.10	01/19/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
601.00	11/30/2021	D	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Objections to document requests to Blake Roddy	No
602.00	11/30/2021	D	<u>NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE</u>  Compliance with document requests to Blake Roddy	No
603.00	12/01/2021	P	<u>MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER</u>  Joint Motion for Limited Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
603.10	12/01/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  approved	No
























RESULT: Order 12/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
604.00	12/03/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 11/29/21 hearing	No	
605.00	12/03/2021	P	REQUEST  Request Pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. Sec. 52-220 that Hearing in Damages be to a Jury	No	
606.00	12/03/2021	P	REPLY  Reply ISO Motion for Additional Protective Measures to Protect Plaintiffs' Disclosures (DN 576.00)	No	
607.00	12/03/2021	P	AFFIDAVIT  Atty. Mattei's Affidavit Re: Parties' Conference to Resolve Objections to Social Media Subpoenas	No	
608.00	12/03/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Surreply in Opposition to Motions for Commission (Entry Nos. 547, 548, 549)	No	
609.00	12/03/2021	P	REPLY  Reply to the Jones Defendants? Opposition to Pls? Mot for Protective Order RE Halbig Depo	No	
610.00	12/03/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  David Wheeler's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/3/21 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No	
611.00	12/03/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Erica Lafferty's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/3/2021 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No	
612.00	12/03/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Ian Hockley's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/3/2021 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No	
613.00	12/03/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Nicole Hockley's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/3/2021 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No	
614.00	12/03/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/3/2021 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No	
615.00	12/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  To File Reply In Support Of Motion for Protective Order to Limit Prior Discovery Orders (DN 589.00) RESULT: Granted 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
615.10	12/13/2021	C	ORDER  deadlines discussed at 12/15/21 status conference RESULT: Order 12/13/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
615.20	12/15/2021	C	ORDER  surreply one week after reply RESULT: Granted 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
616.00	12/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion to Compel Compliance Directed to Genesis RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
616.10	12/15/2021	C	ORDER  obj by 12:00 12/29 RESULT: Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
616.20	12/29/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
617.00	12/10/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication re: DN 615.00	Yes	
618.00	12/13/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendants' Brief on Contesting Liability Post-Default	No	
619.00	12/13/2021	P	BRIEF  Pl. Memorandum of Law Re: Notice of Defenses	No	
620.00	12/13/2021	P	OBJECTION  Objection to the Jones Defendants' Notice of Defenses RESULT: Order 12/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
620.10	12/15/2021	C	ORDER  memorandum by 12/22, response by 12/24 RESULT: Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
620.20	12/24/2021	C	ORDER  notice of defense is stricken	No	























RESULT: Order 12/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
621.00	12/15/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission for Deposition of David Jones RESULT: Granted 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
621.10	12/15/2021	C	ORDER  objection by 12/22, reply by 12/29 RESULT: Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
621.20	12/29/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Granted 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
622.00	12/15/2021	C	ORDER  Sanctions briefs due 12/31/21 and 1/14/22 RESULT: Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
623.00	12/20/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Francine Wheeler's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/17/2021 (Re: Defendant's RPDs)	No
624.00	12/20/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/17/2021 (Re: Defendant's RPDs)	No
625.00	12/20/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Donna Soto's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/17/2021 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
626.00	12/20/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  David Wheeler's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/17/2021 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
627.00	12/20/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Aldenberg's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/17/2021 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
628.00	12/20/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Mark Barden's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/17/2021 (Re: Defendant's RPDs)	No
629.00	12/20/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Nicole Hockley's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/17/2021 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
630.00	12/20/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlos M. Soto's Notice of Amended & Supplemental Compliance dated 12/17/21 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
631.00	12/20/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlee Soto Parisi's Notice of Amended Compliance dated 12/17/21 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
632.00	12/22/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission re David Jones (Entry No. 621.00) RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
632.10	12/29/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
633.00	12/22/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Objection to Notice of Defenses (Entry Nos. 594.00, 619.00) RESULT: Order 12/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
633.10	12/24/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 12/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
634.00	12/23/2021	P	REPLY  Pls' Reply in Support of Pls' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Notice of §17-34(a) Defenses	No
635.00	12/29/2021	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Genesis's objection to motion to compel, entry 616.00 RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
635.10	12/29/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
636.00	12/29/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Infowars Defendants Opposition to Motion to Compel Genesis Entry 616.00	No
637.00	12/29/2021	O	MEMORANDUM  DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL'S POST HEARING MEMORANDUM IN AID OF	No

DISPOSITION				
638.00	12/30/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  Withdrawal of DN 589.00 Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order to Limit Prior Discovery Orders	No
639.00	12/30/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 12/15/21 hearing - morning	No
640.00	12/30/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 12/15/21 hearing - afternoon	No
641.00	12/30/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/17/2021 (Re: Defendant's RFPs)	No
642.00	12/30/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Erica Lafferty's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/30/21 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
643.00	12/30/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Donna Soto's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/30/21 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
644.00	12/30/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  David Wheeler's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/30/21 (RE: Defendants' R)	No
645.00	12/30/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Francine Wheeler's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/30/2021 (Re: Defendant's RFPs)	No
646.00	01/05/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion Concerning Subpoena to Facebook, Inc. <i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
646.10	01/12/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
647.00	01/05/2022	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Plaintiffs' Request for Expedited Adjudication of DN 646.00 <i>RESULT: Order 1/5/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
647.10	01/05/2022	C	ORDER  Any objection must be filed on or before 1/12/22. <i>RESULT: Order 1/5/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
648.00	01/06/2022	D	OBJECTION RE DISCOVERY OR DISCLOSURE  Response to Re-Notice of Deposition of Blake Roddy	No
649.00	01/06/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Re-Notice of Deposition of Blake Roddy	No
650.00	01/06/2022	C	LIST OF EXHIBITS (JD-CL-28/JD-CL-28a)  Show cause hearing 10/20/21 & 12/25/21	No
651.00	01/12/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for the Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
651.10	01/12/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
652.00	01/12/2022	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion Concerning Subpoena to Facebook, Inc. (Entry No. 646.00) <i>RESULT: Order 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
652.10	01/12/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
653.00	01/13/2022	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Objections to document requests to Timothy Fruge	No
654.00	01/13/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Compliance with document requests to Timothy Fruge	No
655.00	01/14/2022	O	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Defendant's Response to Disciplinary Counsel's Post Hearing Memorandum in Aid of Disposition	No
656.00	01/14/2022	O	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Corrected Copy of Entry No. 655.00 - D's Resp. to Disciplinary Counsel's Post Hearing Memo.	No
657.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE 	No




























			Carlee Soto Parisi's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/14/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	
658.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Erica Lafferty's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 01/14/2022 (Re: Defendant's RPDs)	No
659.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  David Wheeler's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/14/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
660.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Donna Soto's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/14/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
661.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Francine Wheeler's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 01/14/2022 (Re: Defendant's RPDs)	No
662.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jennifer Hensel's Notice of Supp Compliance Dated 1/14/22 (Re Defendants' RPDs)	No
663.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 01/14/22 (Re: Defendant's RPDs)	No
664.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jillian Soto-Marino's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/14/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
665.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Aldenberg's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/14/22 RE: Defendants' RPDs	No
666.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Mark Barden's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/14/22 (Re Defendants' RPDs)	No
667.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Nicole Hockley's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/14/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
668.00	01/21/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Concerning Plaintiffs' I&RP dated 1.24.19 and 5.5. 2021.	No
669.00	01/24/2022	C	ORDER  hard copy of article due 1/31/22 RESULT: Order 1/24/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
670.00	01/24/2022	O	REPLY MEMORANDUM 	No
671.00	01/27/2022	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (copy of #514, re-filed with revised redactions per #515.20)	No
672.00	01/27/2022	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Sur-Surreply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (re-filed with revised redactions per #538.10)	No
673.00	01/28/2022	D	AFFIDAVIT  Refiled Affidavit of Robert Roe (522.00) as redacted per Order 523.10	No
674.00	01/28/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  transcript of 1/19/22 hearing	No
675.00	01/28/2022	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION  Memorandum of Decision re: Show Cause Hearing	No
675.10	01/28/2022	C	NOTICE  counsel emailed decision on 1/28/22	No
675.50	03/08/2022	C	NOTICE 	No
676.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jillian Soto-Marino's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/28/22 RE: Defendants' RPDs	No
677.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Mark Barden's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/28/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
678.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlee Soto Parisi's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/30/21 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
679.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE 	No

Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/28/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)				
680.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Francine Wheeler's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/28/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
681.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jennifer Hensel's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/28/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
682.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Nicole Hockley's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/28/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
683.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  David Wheeler's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/28/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
684.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Erica Lafferty's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 1/28/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
685.00	02/04/2022	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re: Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition of Lydia Zapata Hernandez <i>RESULT</i> : Order 2/4/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
685.10	02/04/2022	C	ORDER  objection due 2/7/22, reply due 2/9/22 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 2/4/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
686.00	02/04/2022	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re: Motion for Protective Order (Entry No. 685.00)	Yes
687.00	02/07/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Re: Subpoena of Lydia Zapata Hernandez	No
688.00	02/07/2022	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  Re: Motion for Protective Order (Entry No. 685.00)	No
689.00	02/10/2022	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  PI Mot for Commission to Take Out-of-State Deposition of Sprout Social, Inc. <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
689.10	02/16/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 2/16/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
689.20	03/01/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
690.00	02/10/2022	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  PI Mot to Seal Unredacted Pleading <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
690.10	02/16/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 2/16/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
690.20	06/02/2022	C	ORDER  denied - unredacted version due within 10 days <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
691.00	02/10/2022	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Objections to Document Requests to Alex Jones <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
691.10	03/08/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
692.00	02/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Aldenberg's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
693.00	02/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
694.00	02/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Ian Hockley's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
695.00	02/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Donna Soto's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
696.00	02/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  David Wheeler's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
























			RFPs)	
697.00	02/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Francine Wheeler's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
698.00	02/14/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 2/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
698.10	02/14/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 2/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
699.00	02/15/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  GCN Compliance 2.15.2022	No
700.00	02/24/2022	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Under Seal (No. 690.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
700.10	06/02/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motions <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
701.00	02/24/2022	D	MEMORANDUM  Objection to Motion for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition of Sprout Social (No. 689.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
701.10	03/01/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
702.00	02/25/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 2/16/22 status conference	No
703.00	02/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlee Soto Parisi's Notice of Amended Compliance dated 2/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
704.00	02/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  David Wheeler's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
705.00	02/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlos M. Soto's Notice of Supp. Compliance dated 2/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
706.00	02/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Francine Wheeler's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
707.00	02/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jillian Soto Marino's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
708.00	02/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
709.00	02/28/2022	P	REPLY  Reply In Support of Pls' Mot. for Commission re Sprout Social, Inc.	No
710.00	03/02/2022	P	AFFIDAVIT  Re: Parties' Conference to Resolve Objections to RFPs in Notice of Deposition for Alex Jones <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
710.10	03/02/2022	C	ORDER  Defendant's response due by 3/7/22 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
710.11	03/07/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
711.00	03/07/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend the Protective Order - On Consent <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
711.10	03/07/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
712.00	03/08/2022	D	REPLY  Jones Defendants' Reply To Mattei Affidavit (Dkt. 710.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
712.10	03/08/2022	C	ORDER  The request for adjournment is denied.	No

RESULT: Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
713.00	03/08/2022	C	ORDER  order re: incorrect appearance issue correction RESULT: Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
714.00	03/09/2022	C	ORDER  Order re: motion to withdraw appearance RESULT: Order 3/9/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
715.00	03/09/2022	C	ORDER  Order re: Paz Deposition RESULT: Order 3/9/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
715.10	03/09/2022	C	ORDER  Amended order: Paz depo 3/15-16 RESULT: Order 3/9/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
716.00	03/11/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlee Soto Parisi's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 3/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
717.00	03/11/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Erica Lafferty's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 3/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
718.00	03/11/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Mark Barden's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 3/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
719.00	03/11/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jillian Soto-Marino's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 3/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
720.00	03/11/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Ian Hockley's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 3/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
721.00	03/11/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Donna Soto's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 3/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
722.00	03/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlos M. Soto's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 3/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
723.00	03/15/2022	P	NOTICE  Joint Notice re Production from Social Media Companies	No
724.00	03/16/2022	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE  motion to withdraw appearance for Genesis Communications Network, Inc. with draft notice	Yes
725.00	03/16/2022	D	AFFIDAVIT  affidavit in support of motion for permission to withdraw appearance, entry 724.00	No
726.00	03/16/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 3/9/22 telephonic status conference Last Updated: Additional Description - 03/16/2022	No
727.00	03/16/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 3/15/22 telephonic status conference	No
728.00	03/21/2022	P	NOTICE  Amended Joint Notice re: Production from Social Media Companies	No
729.00	03/22/2022	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Motion for Protective Order Re: Deposition of Alex Jones	No
730.00	03/22/2022	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Amended Motion for Protective Order RE: Deposition of Alex Jones RESULT: Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
730.10	03/22/2022	C	ORDER  Denied, for the reasons stated on the record RESULT: Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
731.00	03/22/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Re: Deposition of Alex Jones RESULT: Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
731.10	03/22/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
































732.00	03/22/2022	C	ORDER	No
order re: notice in file by 11:00 am 3/23/22 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
733.00	03/23/2022	D	NOTICE NOTICE TO THE COURT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S MARCH 22, 2022 (DKT. NO. 732.00)	No
734.00	03/23/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Pls' Emergency Motion for Order Requiring Alex Jones to Appear for Deposition <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
734.10	03/23/2022	C	ORDER brief schedule new motion/hearing 3/30/22 2:00 pm <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 03/23/2022	No
735.00	03/23/2022	C	ORDER Order re: Alex Jones Deposition 3/24/22 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
736.00	03/23/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION Objection to Defendants' Motion For A Capias (Dkt. 734.00)	No
737.00	03/23/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript of 3/22/22 hearing/status conference	No
738.00	03/23/2022	P	BRIEF Pls' Supplemental Brief re Court's Authority to Issue Capias	No
739.00	03/23/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION Corrected Objection to Defendants' Motion For A Capias (Dkt. 734.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
739.10	03/23/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion/only argument <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
740.00	03/23/2022	P	BRIEF Pls' Amended Supplemental Brief with Unreported Cases <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
740.10	03/23/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
741.00	03/23/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Pls' Amended Emergency Motion for Order Requiring Alex Jones to Appear for Deposition	No
742.00	03/23/2022	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION Withdrawal of Pls' Amended Emergency Motion for Order (DN 741)	No
743.00	03/23/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Pls' Amended Emergency Motion for Order Requiring Alex Jones to Appear for Deposition Last Updated: Result Information - 03/31/2022	No
743.10	03/31/2022	C	RECORD CORRECTION <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/31/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 03/31/2022	No
744.00	03/23/2022	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER Jones' Defendants Renewed Motion For An Emergency Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
744.10	03/23/2022	C	ORDER court available for issues related to this order <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
745.00	03/24/2022	P	NOTICE Notice that Alex Jones Did Not Appear at His Court-Ordered Deposition	No
746.00	03/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE David Wheeler's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 3/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
747.00	03/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Francine Wheeler's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 3/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
748.00	03/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Ian Hockley's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 3/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
749.00	03/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Jennifer Hensel's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 3/25/22 (RE:	No

Defendants' RPDs)				
750.00	03/25/2022	P	MOTION FOR CONTEMPT  Pls' Mot for Finding of Civil Contempt <i>RESULT: Order 3/31/2022 BY THE CLERK</i>	Yes
750.10	03/31/2022	C	ORDER  See memorandum of decision #787 <i>RESULT: Order 3/31/2022 BY THE CLERK</i>	No
751.00	03/28/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Jones' Defendants' Objection To Motion For Order & Sanctions (Dkt. 743.00)	No
752.00	03/28/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Jones' Defendants' Objection To Motion For Contempt (Dkt. 750.00)	No
753.00	03/28/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  transcript of 3/23/22 hearing	No
754.00	03/29/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines	No
755.00	03/29/2022	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  Withdrawal of DN 754.00 (due to missing Exhibit A)	No
756.00	03/29/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT: Granted 3/29/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
756.10	03/29/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 3/29/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
757.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff David Wheeler	No
758.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Francine Wheeler	No
759.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Jacqueline Barden	No
760.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Mark Barden	No
761.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Nicole Hockley	No
762.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Ian Hockley	No
763.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Jennifer Hensel	No
764.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Donna Soto	No
765.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Carlos M. Soto	No
766.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Carlee Soto-Parisi	No
767.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Jillian Soto	No
768.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff William Aldenberg	No
769.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Richard Coan	No
770.00	03/29/2022	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Plaintiffs' Motion On Consent For Commission To Take Out-of-State Deposition of Robert Dew <i>RESULT: Granted 3/29/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
770.10	03/29/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 3/29/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
771.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE  Plaintiff Carlee Soto Parisi's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
772.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE  Plaintiff Carlos M. Soto's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
773.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE 	No

			Plaintiff David Wheeler's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	
774.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE Plaintiff Donna Soto's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
775.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE Plaintiff Francine Wheeler's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
776.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE Plaintiff Ian Hockley's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
777.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE Plaintiff Jacqueline Barden's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
778.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE Plaintiff Jennifer Hensel's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
779.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE Plaintiff Jillian Soto-Marino's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
780.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE Plaintiff Mark Barden's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
781.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE Plaintiff Nicole Hockley's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
782.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE Plaintiff William Aldenberg's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
783.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE Erica Lafferty's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
784.00	03/30/2022	P	REPLY Pls' Reply in Support of Pls' Motion for Finding of Civil Contempt	No
785.00	03/30/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT transcript of 3/16/22 hearing	No
786.00	03/30/2022	D	MOTION TO REARGUE/RECONSIDER Motion For Reconsideration as To the Start of Alex Jones' Daily Escalating Fine <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/30/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
786.10	03/30/2022	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/30/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
787.00	03/30/2022	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION Ruling on motion for contempt #750 Last Updated: Additional Description - 03/31/2022	No
788.00	03/31/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT transcript of 3/30/22 hearing	No
789.00	03/31/2022	D	MOTION FOR STAY Jones Defendants' Motion For Stay of Execution <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
789.10	04/01/2022	C	ORDER Stay is denied <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
790.00	04/01/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION Pls' Objection to Motion for Emergency Stay <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
790.10	04/01/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
790.50	03/31/2022	D	APPEAL TO APPELLATE COURT ALL FEES PAID re: contempt finding and sanctions	No
790.60	04/01/2022	D	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL motion for review of denial of motion for stay	No
790.70	04/04/2022	C	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL emergency motion for stay is denied	No
791.00	04/04/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Alex Jones' Notice of Compliance With Court's Contempt Order Concerning Daily Fines	No

792.00	04/04/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Notice of Compliance with Court's Contempt Order	No
793.00	04/06/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jones Defendants' Notice of Compliance With March 30, 2022 Order	No
794.00	04/06/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jones Defendants' 4/6/2022 Notice of Compliance With March 30, 2022 Order	No
795.00	04/06/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jones Defendants' 4/6/2022 Notice of Compliance With March 30, 2022 Order	No
796.00	04/06/2022	D	MOTION FOR ORDER  Jones Defendants' Motion For Order Declaring Alex Jones Purged Of Contempt And Returning Paid Fines <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
796.10	04/14/2022	C	ORDER  The clerk is directed to return the \$75,00.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
797.00	04/07/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jones Defendants' Supplement To Notice of Compliance (Dkt. 794.00) & Motion For Order (Dkt. 796.00)	No
798.00	04/07/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines	No
798.10	04/07/2022	C	ORDER  798 is granted <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 4/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
799.00	04/07/2022	C	ORDER  Briefing re: awarded fees and costs of deposition <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
800.00	04/08/2022	P	REPLY  Plaintiffs' Response to the Jones Defendants' Motion for Order (DN 796 & 797) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
800.10	04/14/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
801.00	04/08/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Carlee Soto Parisi's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 4/8/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
802.00	04/08/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Donna Soto's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 4/8/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
803.00	04/08/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Erica Lafferty's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 4/8/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
804.00	04/08/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jillian Soto-Marino's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 4/8/22 (Re: Defendants' RPDs)	No
805.00	04/08/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 4/8/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
806.00	04/08/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jennifer Hensel's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 4/8/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
807.00	04/12/2022	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Pls' MET re Briefing Schedule wrt Costs and Fees Awarded at 3/30/22 Contempt Hrg., On Consent <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
807.10	04/13/2022	C	ORDER  granted by agreement <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
808.00	04/14/2022	P	NOTICE  Supplemental Filing In Support Of Plaintiffs' Request for Fees and Costs <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
808.10	06/02/2022	C	ORDER  defense fees brief due 6/10, reply 6/14 by 2:00 pm <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
809.00	04/18/2022	D	NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY  Infowars, LLC; Infowars Health, LLC; and Prison Planet TV, LLC's Notice Of	No

Bankruptcy RESULT: Order 4/18/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
809.10	04/18/2022	C	ORDER 4/20/22 status conference will still go forward RESULT: Order 4/18/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
810.00	04/18/2022	D	NOTICE Notice of Removal to Bankruptcy Court RESULT: Order 4/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
810.10	04/19/2022	C	ORDER no further action by court until remanded back RESULT: Order 4/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
811.00	04/19/2022	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION	No
812.00	05/31/2022	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE	Yes
812.10	06/01/2022	C	ORDER order re: hearing date etc. RESULT: Order 6/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
813.00	06/01/2022	P	NOTICE Notice of Filing Remand Order Entered in U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Connecticut, on 6/1/22	No
814.00	06/01/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Pls' Disclosure of Expert Witness Clint Watts	No
815.00	06/01/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Pls' Disclosure of Expert Witness Gary Krantz	No
816.00	06/01/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE Pls' Obj. to Mtn to Withdraw Appearances	Yes
817.00	06/01/2022	C	REMANDED FROM BANKRUPTCY COURT	No
818.00	06/02/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Dr. Heidi Beirich	No
819.00	06/02/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Oren Segal	No
820.00	06/02/2022	C	ORDER order re: trial dates and expert's availability RESULT: Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
821.00	06/02/2022	C	ORDER agreement to remove certain defendants RESULT: Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
822.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Carlee Soto-Parisi's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
823.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Carlos M. Soto's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
824.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE David Wheeler's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
825.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Erica Lafferty's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
826.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Ian Hockley's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
827.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Jacqueline Barden's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
828.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Jillian Soto-Marino's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
829.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Mark Barden's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
830.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE William Aldenberg's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No

831.00	06/03/2022	P	<u>NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE</u>  Donna Soto's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
832.00	06/03/2022	P	<u>NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE</u>  Jennifer Hensel's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
833.00	06/03/2022	P	<u>NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE</u>  Francine Wheeler's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
834.00	06/03/2022	P	<u>NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE</u>  Nicole Hockley's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
835.00	06/03/2022	D	<u>MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER</u>  Genesis's proposed briefing schedule concerning its m/summary judgment <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/6/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
835.10	06/06/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order with new Summary Judgement filing dates <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/6/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
836.00	06/07/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Trial Preparation Order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
837.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u>  Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Anthony Campanelli	No
838.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u>  Plaintiff's Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Steven Brill	No
839.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u>  Plaintiff's Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Dr. Heidi Beirich	No
840.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u>  Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Oren Segal	No
841.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Motion for Sanctions for Bad Faith Removal	No
842.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u>  Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Clint Watts	No
843.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u>  Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Gary Krantz	No
844.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u>  Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Joshua Rockoff	No
845.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u>  Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Paul Steiger	No
846.00	06/08/2022	D	<u>MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER</u>  Jones Defendants' Motion To Continue Trial And Reset Deadlines	No
846.10	06/08/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order re: case scheduling <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
847.00	06/09/2022	C	<u>TRANSCRIPT</u>  Transcript of 6/2/22 status conference	No
848.00	06/10/2022	P	<u>MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION</u>   Motion for Commission to Take Out-of-State Deposition of Sprout Social - Unredacted per DN 690.20	No
849.00	06/10/2022	D	<u>MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION</u>   Opposition To Request For Attorney's Fees & Costs (Dkt. 784.00 and 808.00)	No
850.00	06/13/2022	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>   Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend the Protective Order - On Consent <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 6/15/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
850.10	06/15/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>   <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 6/15/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
851.00	06/13/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>   re: 6/15 status conference and attorneys fees <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
851.10	06/13/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>   Amended order #851re: hearing <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

852.00	06/14/2022	P	AFFIDAVIT NEW Atty. Blumenthal's Affidavit Re: Attempts to Resolve Issue of Fees and Costs (per DN 851.00)	No
853.00	06/16/2022	P	AFFIDAVIT NEW Atty. Blumenthal's Affidavit Re: Attempt to Resolve Issue of Fees and Costs (per 6/15/22 hearing)	No
853.50	06/16/2022	C	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL NEW appeal dismissed all defendants except Alex Jones	No
854.00	06/17/2022	C	ORDER NEW Ruling on attorney fees re: Jones deposition RESULT: Order 6/17/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
855.00	06/20/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE NEW Nicole Hockley's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/17/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
856.00	06/20/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE NEW Jennifer Hensel's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/17/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
857.00	06/20/2022	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION NEW Jones Defendants' Motion To Withdraw Appearance (Dkt. 812.00)	No
858.00	06/21/2022	C	ORDER NEW order marking off 6/22/22 hearing RESULT: Order 6/21/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
859.00	06/21/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT NEW Transcript of 6/15/22 status conference	No

Consolidated Cases

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Caption</u>	<u>Disp. Date</u>	<u>Disp. Code</u>
<u>UWY-CV18-6046437S</u>	SHERLACH, WILLIAM v. JONES, ALEX Et Al		
<u>UWY-CV18-6046438S</u>	SHERLACH, WILLIAM Et Al v. JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et Al		

Scheduled Court Dates as of 06/23/2022

UWY-CV18-6046436-S - LAFFERTY, ERICA Et Al v. JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et Al

#	<u>Date</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Event Description</u>	<u>Status</u>
1	06/24/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
2	06/28/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
3	06/29/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
4	06/30/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
5	07/01/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
6	07/05/2022	10:00AM	Remote Hearing	Off
7	07/13/2022	10:00AM	Remote Trial Management Conference	Proceeding
8	08/02/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
9	08/03/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
10	08/04/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
11	08/05/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
12	08/09/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
13	08/10/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
14	08/11/2022	10:00AM	Hearing	Proceeding
15	08/11/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
16	08/12/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
17	08/16/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
18	08/17/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
19	08/18/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
20	08/19/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
21	08/23/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
22	08/24/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
23	08/25/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding

RETURN DATE: JUNE 26, 2018	:	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	:	
ERICA LAFFERTY, ET AL,	:	OF FAIRFIELD
	:	
v.	:	AT BRIDGEPORT
	:	
ALEX JONES, ET AL.	:	MAY 23, 2018

COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil action for damages leading from the mass shooting that happened at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012.

2. Just before 9:30 AM that morning, Adam Lanza shot his way into the locked school with a Bushmaster XM15-E2S.

3. In less than five minutes, he shot and killed 20 first-grade children and 6 adults. Two others were wounded.

4. That tragic day left behind 26 families that will never be whole again.

5. Every day since, those families have struggled to reconcile themselves with the irrevocable loss of their beloved sons, daughters, sisters, brothers, and mothers.

6. Even though overwhelming—and indisputable—evidence exists showing exactly what happened at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012, certain individuals have persistently perpetuated a monstrous, unspeakable lie: that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged, and that the families who lost loved ones that day are actors who faked their relatives' deaths.

7. Defendant Alex Jones is a conspiracy-theorist radio and internet personality who holds himself out as a journalist. He is the most prolific among those fabricators. But he does not work alone: along with his various business entities, Jones is the chief amplifier for a group that has worked in concert to create and propagate loathsome, false narratives about the Sandy Hook shooting and its victims, and promote their harassment and abuse.

8. Jones, along with these others, has persisted in the perpetuation and propagation of this outrageous, deeply painful, and defamatory lie in the face of a mountain of evidence to the contrary, and with no supporting evidence.

9. Alex Jones does not in fact believe that the Sandy Hook Shooting was a hoax—and he never has.

10. Nevertheless, time and again, Jones has accused Sandy Hook families, who are readily identifiable, of faking their loved ones' deaths, and insisted that the children killed that day are actually alive.

11. Jones has deliberately employed these false narratives about the Sandy Hook shooting, the victims, and their families as part of a marketing scheme that has brought him and his business entities tens of millions of dollars per year.¹

12. Jones has an audience of millions. He has repeatedly urged them to "investigate" the Sandy Hook shooting. He has purposely published statements by other people who falsely assert that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax.

13. As a result of Jones's campaign, the families and survivors of the Sandy Hook shooting have been forced to endure malicious and cruel abuse at the hands of ruthless and unscrupulous people.

14. On a regular basis, the families and survivors have faced physical confrontation and harassment, death threats, and a sustained barrage of harassment and verbal assault on social media.

15. They have confronted strange individuals videotaping them and their children.

16. Some of them have moved to undisclosed locations to avoid this harassment.

17. The plaintiffs bring this action in defense of the values protected by the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, not in derogation of it.

18. Like any marketplace, the marketplace of ideas that the First Amendment was meant to protect cannot function properly without accountability for reprehensible conduct like the defendants'. In fact, the defendants themselves have proven this to be so by their successful propagation of the blatantly false and harmful aspersions described in this Complaint.

19. The First Amendment has never protected demonstrably false, malicious statements like the defendants'. This is because "there is no constitutional value in false statements of fact. Neither the intentional lie nor the careless error materially advances society's interest in 'uninhibited, robust and wide-open' debate on public issues."²

¹ See Seth Brown, *Alex Jones's Media Empire Is a Machine Built to Sell Snake-Oil Diet Supplements*, N.Y. MAG. (May 4, 2017), <http://nymag.com/selectall/2017/05/how-does-alex-jones-make-money.html>; Alex Seitz-Wald, *Alex Jones: Conspiracy, Inc.*, SALON (May 2, 2013), https://www.salon.com/2013/05/02/alex_jones_conspiracy_inc/.

² *Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.*, 418 U.S. 323, 340 (1974) (quoting *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254, 270 (1964)). While the Supreme Court has afforded "a measure of strategic protection" to certain kinds of falsehoods to ensure that speech protected by the First Amendment has "the breathing space to survive," it has never wavered in its determination that falsehoods have no First Amendment value. *Id.* at 342. None of the Court's protective doctrines interfere with the plaintiffs' claims in this case.

20. This lawsuit is about holding Jones and the other defendants accountable for the effects of their outrageous, malicious, and deeply hurtful lies.

PARTIES

21. The plaintiffs are the immediate family of four children and two educators killed at Sandy Hook Elementary School, in Newtown, Connecticut, on December 14, 2012, as well as one first-responder to the scene.

22. Plaintiffs Jacqueline Barden and Mark Barden are the parents of murdered first-grader Daniel Barden, who was seven years old at his death on December 14, 2012. They reside in Fairfield County, Connecticut.

23. Plaintiffs Nicole Hockley and Ian Hockley are the parents of murdered first-grader Dylan Hockley, who was six years old at his death on December 14, 2012. They reside in Fairfield County, Connecticut.

24. Plaintiffs Francine Wheeler and David Wheeler are the parents of murdered first-grader Benjamin Wheeler, who was six years old at his death on December 14, 2012. They reside in Fairfield County, Connecticut.

25. Plaintiffs Jennifer Hensel and Jeremy Richman are the parents of murdered first-grader Avielle Richman, who was six years old at her death on December 14, 2012. They reside in Fairfield County, Connecticut.

26. Plaintiffs Donna Soto is the mother, and Carlee Soto-Parisi, Carlos M. Soto, and Jillian Soto are the siblings, of murdered first-grade teacher Victoria Leigh Soto, who was 27 years old when she died shielding her students from Adam Lanza's murderous rampage at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012. They reside in Fairfield County, Connecticut.

27. Plaintiff Erica Lafferty is the daughter of Dawn Hochsprung, the principal of Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012. Dawn died that day trying to save her students from Adam Lanza's murderous rampage. Erica resides in Litchfield County, Connecticut.

28. Plaintiff William Aldenberg was a first responder to Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012, and was depicted in iconic photographs and video footage from those events. He has been antagonized by some of the defendants and their followers, who claim that he is a crisis actor. He resides in Worcester County, Massachusetts.

29. The defendants are out-of-state business entities and individuals residing in Connecticut, Texas, and Florida.

30. Defendant Alex Emric Jones ("Alex Jones" or "Jones") is a radio and internet personality who holds himself out as a journalist and is a resident of Austin, Texas. He is the host of shows including "The Alex Jones Show," and owns and operates the websites Infowars.com

and PrisonPlanet.com. Jones's shows have millions of weekly listeners and are syndicated on approximately 60 radio stations.

31. Defendant Infowars, LLC ("Infowars") is a Texas limited liability company that produces and broadcasts Alex Jones' Infowars, which holds itself out as a news and journalism platform. Its principal offices are located at 100 Congress Avenue, 22nd Floor, Austin, Texas 78701.

32. Defendant Free Speech Systems, LLC is a Texas limited liability company. It owns Infowars.com. Its principal offices are located at 100 Congress Avenue, 22nd Floor, Austin, Texas 78701.

33. Defendant Infowars Health LLC is a Texas limited liability company. Its principal offices are located at 100 Congress Avenue, 22nd Floor, Austin, Texas 78701.

34. Defendant Prison Planet TV LLC is a Texas limited liability company. Its principal offices are located at 100 Congress Avenue, 22nd Floor, Austin, Texas 78701.

35. All the above-mentioned Texas business-entity defendants are owned, controlled, and/or operated by defendant Alex Jones and are employed to hold and generate revenue for him. They and Jones shall hereinafter be referred to collectively as "the Jones defendants."

36. Defendant Wolfgang Halbig holds himself out as a journalist and investigator and resides in Sorrento, Florida. He is the creator and operator of the defamatory and predatory websites SandyHookJustice.com and MonteFrank.com.

37. Defendant Cory T. Sklanka holds himself out as a journalist and investigator and resides in Meriden, Connecticut. He has worked closely with Halbig in Halbig's Sandy Hook "investigative" work, including acting as driver and camera operator when Halbig has visited Connecticut to conduct those activities, and, on information and belief, participating in the operation of SandyHookJustice.com and co-hosting Sandy Hook Justice broadcasts.

38. Defendant Genesis Communications Network, Inc., is a privately held company incorporated in Minnesota. It distributes radio programs produced by Alex Jones and Infowars, and was founded by Ted Anderson, who has appeared many times on Jones's shows, to promote his other business, defendant Midas Resources.³ Its principal offices are located at 190 Cobblestone Lane, Burnsville, Minnesota, 55337.

39. Defendant Midas Resources, Inc., is a privately held company incorporated in Minnesota. Its principal offices are located at 190 Cobblestone Lane, Burnsville, Minnesota, 55337. It has sold precious metals, dietary supplements, and other items as advertised by and in cooperation with defendant Genesis Communications and the Jones defendants.⁴

³ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M-aQntV8meQ>; Nate Blakeslee, *Alex Jones Is About to Explode*, TEX. MONTHLY (Mar. 2010) <https://www.texasmonthly.com/politics/alex-jones-is-about-to-explode/>.

⁴ *Id.*

THE DEFENDANTS' KNOWLEDGE, ASPIRATIONS, AND INFLUENCE

40. Jones has a radio audience of more than two million people. The Alex Jones Show is syndicated on more than 60 radio stations. On the internet, Jones and Infowars reach millions more. Jones's YouTube channel has more than 2.3 million subscribers.

41. Jones has repeatedly expressly stated that he aspires to spur his followers to action, and has acknowledged that his exhortations have that effect. This is especially true with regard to the Sandy Hook shooting.

42. For instance, on June 12, 2017, The Alex Jones Channel posted a video. Speaking of his interview with Megyn Kelly on NBC and specifically about Sandy Hook, Jones stated, "That's why you keep missing the main calculation. I am a precision-guided heavy munition coming in on top of you So I hit the barbed wire, and everyone comes in over me. Have you seen what we've done how talk radio now sounds just like me Have you seen how the whole paradigm is globally shifting and you can't hold it back?"

43. Later in the video, Jones stated, "I'm making it safe for everyone else to speak out just like Trump's doing, on a much bigger scale."

44. Jones has not just attempted to give his followers permission to question reality. He also has specifically urged them to action.

45. His followers have shown themselves ready to comply.

46. On November 27, 2016, for instance, Jones spoke at length about "Pizzagate," a baseless conspiracy theory alleging that Democratic operatives were running a child-sex ring out of a Washington, D.C. pizza restaurant. He urged his followers to "investigate" the matter.

47. "You have to go investigate it for yourself," he told them. "But I will warn you, this story that's been the biggest thing on the internet for several weeks, Pizzagate as it's called, is a rabbit hole that is horrifying to go down Something's going on. Something's being covered up. This needs to be investigated."

48. Jones's followers came running to his call, as he knew they would.

49. The pizzeria received hundreds of threats. Its owner told *The New York Times*, "[W]e've come under constant assault. I've done nothing for days but try to clean this up and protect my staff and friends from being terrorized."

50. The owner and staff, along with some of their families, were harassed on social media websites. The owner received death threats. Even musical groups that had performed at the pizzeria and nearby businesses were harassed.

51. On December 4, 2016, Edgar Maddison Welch, a self-described Alex Jones follower, drove from Salisbury, North Carolina, to Washington, D.C., and fired three rounds into the pizzeria with an AR-15-style rifle.

52. Welch told police that his objective was to “self-investigate” the Pizzagate conspiracy theory. In fact, just days before embarking on his violent “self-investigation,” Welch urged a friend to watch “PIZZAGATE: The Bigger Picture,” an Infowars video.

53. Shortly thereafter, Jones removed his November 27, 2016 video and scrubbed references to his advocacy of the Pizzagate conspiracy theory.

54. Similarly, many of the persons who have directly harassed or abused Sandy Hook families have been motivated by Jones’s urging that his listeners “investigate.”

55. In 2017, a Florida woman was sentenced to prison for threatening the father of a child killed at Sandy Hook.

56. She made four voicemail and email threats to the father, with statements like “you gonna die, death is coming to you real soon” and “LOOK BEHIND YOU IT IS DEATH.”

57. The woman’s defense lawyer stated that she was primarily motivated by what she had read and seen on Infowars.com, Jones’s website.

58. On numerous occasions, Jones has hosted on his show Wolfgang Halbig, a self-styled investigator who is amongst the most prominent of those people who falsely claim that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax.

59. Halbig is a 70-year-old former police officer and school administrator living in Florida. He has made more than 22 trips to Connecticut relating to the allegations of this Complaint, including delivering highly confrontational testimony before the Newtown Board of Education, and was warned by police that he would be charged with harassment unless he ceased contacting Newtown residents.

60. Defendant Sklanka has facilitated Halbig’s harassing and defamatory activities in Connecticut. He has acted as Halbig’s driver, camera operator, helped Halbig operate his website, and co-hosted broadcasts asserting that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax. On information and belief, Sklanka assisted and was present with Halbig when he filmed and harassed children families at the St. Rose of Lima church in Newtown, Connecticut on June 2, 2015.

61. Kevin Laprade, an Infowars videographer, accompanied Halbig on at least some of his “investigative” trips to Connecticut.⁵

⁵ <https://www.facebook.com/mert.melfa/videos/539807396217619/>.

62. Halbig has filed numerous Freedom of Information Act requests over the years since the shooting, seeking police documents, insurance claims, portable toilet orders, and other types of information.

63. Halbig has raised more than \$100,000, largely on GoFundMe.com, for his activities.

64. Tiffany Moser helped Halbig search Newtown Board of Education documents for evidence that the school was closed before the shooting. When she reported that all the evidence indicated that the school had been open, Halbig dismissed her.

65. Halbig was banned from St. Rose of Lima, a Newtown church, after he and others were seen videotaping children entering and exiting the building. On information and belief, Sklanka participated in this venture.

66. In May 2014, Halbig spoke at a public meeting of the Newtown Board of Education, in Newtown, Connecticut.

67. After the meeting, Leonard Pozner emailed Halbig, seeking to persuade him to leave the Sandy Hook families alone. Halbig never responded, but another person did. He stated: "Wolfgang does not wish to speak with you unless you exhume Noah's body and prove to the world you lost your son."

68. Halbig's activities were well known when he first appeared on the Alex Jones Radio Show, and throughout the times during which Jones hosted his appearances; in fact, Jones invited and hosted him on his show, time and again, precisely because of his activities.

69. On his show, Jones expressly encouraged Halbig to continue these activities on numerous occasions.

70. During that broadcast of the Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones repeatedly advertised Halbig's website, sandyhookjustice.com.

71. Halbig's website made numerous false, outrageous, and defamatory statements about the plaintiffs.

A. For instance, the website accused Jeremy Richman, father of Avielle Richman, who was murdered at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012, of having fabricated his daughter's identity and faked her death "to steal money from hard-working Americans." Those false and outrageous accusations were accompanied by pictures of Jeremy, Avielle, and an unrelated Newtown child.

B. Halbig's website further stated that "Jeremy Richman & Jennifer Hensel continue to deceive and defraud the American public and collect donations for The Avielle Foundation, for Avielle Richman claiming she is dead, when in

reality, she is alive and was never their daughter.” It further stated: “The corruption, fraud, and treason must stop, especially at the Bridgeport, Connecticut Law Firm of Pullman & Comley LLC, managers of the My Sandy Hook Family Fund, actively engaging in FRAUD by soliciting donations from the public for a murder victim who is still alive.”⁶

- C. Halbig’s website contained links to numerous Infowars and/or Alex Jones videos claiming that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax, as well as videos that contained imagery and the names of some of the plaintiffs’ children.⁷
- D. It also published images, text, and video asserting that plaintiffs William Aldenberg and David Wheeler are in fact the same person, who is a crisis actor.
- E. Faced with invasion-of-privacy lawsuits, Halbig took down his website in August 2016. However, he has continued to publish false, malicious, and defamatory statements regarding the Sandy Hook shooting and its victims continually through Facebook, email, and various radio and internet media outlets.

72. Halbig has also published Facebook posts containing images and text asserting that plaintiffs William Aldenberg and David Wheeler are in fact the same person, and that person is a crisis actor.⁸ His Facebook page continues to display those publications.

73. Jones was aware that Halbig had published such statements through his website and other outlets. In fact, Jones brought Halbig onto his shows for the very purpose of eliciting and publishing such statements.

74. In addition to hosting Halbig on his show time and again, Jones specifically directed and encouraged Halbig to continue his Sandy-Hook-related activities in Connecticut. Jones and other Infowars personnel specifically solicited that their audience contribute funds to Halbig so that he could continue his efforts.

75. In fact, Jones and Infowars sent Infowars personnel to Connecticut to conduct live “reporting” on Halbig’s activities and publish his false, defamatory, and outrageous accusations about the plaintiffs.

⁶ <https://web.archive.org/web/20160322115755/http://sandyhookjusticereport.com:80/monte-frank/avielle-richman-1-20-students-supposedly-died-sandy-hook-school-shooting-verified-28-year-veteran-forensic-expert-witness-girl-photos-lenie-urbina/>.

⁷ <https://web.archive.org/web/20150731014342/http://www.sandyhookjustice.com:80/>.

⁸ See, e.g., <https://www.facebook.com/wolfgang.halbig.1/posts/196562527355324>;
<https://www.facebook.com/wolfgang.halbig.1/posts/195439914134252>.
<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=196561627355414&set=pb.100010047343967.-2207520000.1526661423.&type=3&theater>.

76. For instance, on May 29, 2015, The Alex Jones Channel published an Infowars video, now posted on YouTube with the title “School Administrator Exposes Sandy Hook Stonewall.”⁹

77. The video was introduced by David Knight. The video then cut to Dan Bidondi, an Infowars reporter, who was reporting from Halbig’s Freedom of Information Act hearing in Hartford, Connecticut.

78. Infowars reporter Dan Bidondi had traveled to Hartford, CT to “report” on this hearing on behalf of Infowars and Alex Jones.

79. On information and belief, other Infowars employees, apparent agents, and/or agents, including videographer Kevin Laprade,¹⁰ traveled to and were located in Hartford, Connecticut, for the creation of this video.

80. Sklanka acted as Halbig’s driver, and actively facilitated his appearance on Infowars.¹¹

81. On July 7, 2015, The Alex Jones Show broadcast a video now posted on YouTube with the title “Retired FBI Agent Investigates Sandy Hook: MEGA MASSIVE COVER UP.”¹²

82. Jones noted, referring to the May 29, 2015 video, “I knew that we’d sent a reporter, Dan Bidondi, there for days, to cover the city council hearings about it, the fact that they’re sealing everything.”¹³

83. Jones and Infowars purposefully sought to direct their message and spur “investigation” of the Sandy Hook families and the Newtown, Connecticut community in other ways, as well. For example, Jones took calls from listeners who called claiming to live close to Newtown, Connecticut, and encouraged them to continue their “investigations.”¹⁴

84. Jones and the rest of the Jones defendants acted together to develop, disseminate, and propagate the false statements described in this Complaint.

85. Similarly, Sklanka and Halbig acted together, and they both acted together with the Jones defendants, to develop, disseminate, and propagate many of the false statements described in this Complaint.

⁹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SO8Xb-t4nT4>.

¹⁰ <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10209638407182257&set=a.3495510351748.2134051.1391267684&type=3&theater>.

¹¹ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4_2FznkfcBU.

¹² <https://www.mediamatters.org/embed/clips/2016/11/29/51284/gcn-alexjones-20150707-shooting;>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8YV1eWq8YSc>.

¹³ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jCOe3qIgyFA>.

¹⁴ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TGCfi_ot0CU.

86. Jones and other Infowars personnel mentioned in this Complaint were at all relevant times servants, agents, apparent agents, employees, and/or joint venturers of the Jones defendants.

87. Defendant Halbig was at all relevant times a servant, agent, apparent agent, employee, and/or joint venturer of the Jones defendants.

88. Defendant Sklanka was at all relevant times a servant, agent, apparent agent, employee, and/or joint venturer of Halbig and the Jones defendants.

89. Defendants GENESIS COMMUNICATIONS and MIDAS RESOURCES both participated in this conspiracy and independently distributed the publications contained in this Complaint.

THE DEFENDANTS' SANDY-HOOK-BASED BUSINESS MODEL

90. The Jones defendants and their co-conspirators' conduct is based on a simple motive: greed. The defendants' business model is based on their fabrication, propagation, and amplification of conspiracy-minded falsehoods like those about Sandy Hook. It is a very lucrative business model.

91. The Jones defendants have developed and cultivated an audience through the propagation of narratives revolving around paranoia, social anxiety, and political discord, a known motivator for some people.¹⁵

92. The false claim that the Sandy Hook shooting was a government-sponsored hoax designed to lead to gun control was therefore a prime narrative for attracting, augmenting, and agitating Jones's audience.

93. Jones and his subordinates hold themselves out as trusted newsmen, assiduously assuming the paraphernalia and symbology of television and radio journalism. This is obvious to anyone watching or listening to Infowars content: the consciously deepened voice; the news-anchor's huge Lucite desk; the shuffling of papers; the clipped news-anchor's diction and regular tone modulation; the title-and-picture callouts by story; the breaking-news broadcast opening and transition graphics using Infowars logos; the regular references to Infowars "reporters" and "investigations."

94. Once he has their attention and trust, Jones exploits his audience by selling them products in line with the paranoid worldview he promotes. In his internet-based and broadcast radio shows, the Jones defendants hawk "open currency" precious metals, pre-packaged food and dietary supplements, "male enhancement" elixirs and radiation-defeating iodine tablets, gas

¹⁵ See generally Richard J. Hofstadter, *The Paranoid Style in American Politics, and Other Essays* (1964).

masks and body armor, and various customized AR-15 “lower receivers” (the extruded metal frame that encloses the breach, ammunition feed and firing mechanism of the rifle).¹⁶

95. According to non-parties Bankrate LLC and Celebrity Net Worth, in mid-2017, Alex Jones himself had a personal net worth of approximately \$10,000,000. According to non-party Worth of the Web, an Internet-based clearinghouse that brokers sales among existing websites and web-based businesses, Infowars.com is worth approximately \$68,000,000.

96. In May 2013, just six months after the Sandy Hook shootings, when his false narrative about those events was beginning to crescendo, an investigative reporter estimated that Alex Jones was making approximately \$10,000,000 annually, which excluded any additional revenue attributable to book and DVD sales, remunerated speaking engagements and on-line merchandise sales, promotional tie-ins and royalties.¹⁷

97. In other words, the Jones defendants concoct elaborate and false paranoia-tinged conspiracy theories because it moves product and they make money. Jones and his subordinates say what they say not because they are eager to educate or even to entertain their audience. Rather, they deliberately stoke social anxiety and political discord in their listeners, because distrust in government and cultural tribalism motivate those listeners to buy their products.

98. News reports confirm that Jones and his business entities engage in the kind of conduct described in this Complaint not because they truly believe what they are saying, but rather because it increases profits.

99. Former employees described “money-bomb fundraisers” that raised \$100,000 in a day (for a for-profit entity), and programming being chosen based on its “being sensational and making money.” “People were just so taken by the information we’d been pushing they’d do anything,” one said.¹⁸

100. In fact, during the legal proceedings in which Jones sought custody of his three children, Jones’s own attorney argued that Jones’s two decades of activities were mere “performance art” and that “he’s playing a character.”¹⁹

¹⁶ <https://www.INFOWARSstore.com/gear/personal-protective-gear/victory-series-2-minuteman-limited-edition-80-lower-ar-receiver.html>.

¹⁷ Alex Seitz-Wald, *Alex Jones: Conspiracy, Inc.*, Salon (May 2, 2013).

¹⁸ Charlie Warzel, Alex Jones Will Never Stop Being Alex Jones, *Buzzfeed* (May 3, 2017), https://www.buzzfeed.com/charliewarzel/alex-jones-will-never-stop-being-alex-jones?utm_term=.yqXcXzdLEZ#.qewdqn0m2.

¹⁹ Corky Siemaszko, *InfoWars’ Alex Jones Is a ‘Performance Artist,’ His Lawyer Says in Divorce Hearing*, NBCNews (Apr. 17, 2017), <https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/not-fake-news-infowars-alex-jones-performance-artist-n747491>.

THE CAMPAIGN OF ABUSE

101. Jones's and Infowars's campaign of abuse began only days after the plaintiffs lost their loved ones to Adam Lanza's murderous rampage.

102. On December 19, 2012, Infowars.com published an article entitled "FATHER OF SANDY HOOK VICTIM ASKS 'READ THE CARD?' SECONDS BEFORE TEAR-JERKING PRESS CONFERENCE."²⁰

103. That article alleges that Robbie Parker, father of six-year-old Emilie Parker, read off a card at a press conference the day after his daughter was killed. The article asked, "Is the establishment media trying to steer the victims' reactions?"

104. An embedded video was entitled: "Sandy Hook Shooting Exposed As a Fraud."

105. At the bottom of the article, a "Statement from Alex Jones" said, "It appears that members of the media or government have given him a card and are telling him what to say as they steer reaction to this event, so this needs to be looked into."

106. On January 8, 2013, Infowars.com published an article entitled: "COLLEGE PROFESSOR SAYS 'CRISIS ACTORS' MAY HAVE PLAYED PART IF SANDY HOOK WAS INDEED A HOAX."²¹

107. The article quoted James Tracy, a former Professor at Florida Atlantic University who has since been fired: "After such a harrowing event why are select would-be family members and students lingering in the area and repeatedly offering themselves for interviews? . . . A possible reason is that they are trained actors working under the direction of state and federal authorities and in coordination with cable and broadcast network talent to provide tailor-made crisis acting that realistically drives home the event's tragic features."

108. In the narratives and parlance of mass-shooting conspiracy theorists, "crisis actors" are actors employed by government agencies or other powerful actors to stage shootings, in which they play victims, witnesses, and bystanders.

109. The article is accompanied by an embedded video with the title "Sandy Hook Mass Media Psyop: Outtakes and Bloopers."

110. A reasonable person would understand these statements, including the title of the embedded video, to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

²⁰ Infowars.com, *Father of Sandy Hook Victim Asks 'Read the Card?' Seconds Before Tear Jerking Press Conference*, Infowars (Dec. 19, 2012), <https://www.infowars.com/father-of-sandy-hook-victim-asks-read-the-card-seconds-before-tear-jerking-press-conference/>.

²¹ Infowars.com, *College Professor says 'Crisis Actors' May Have Played Part if Sandy Hook was Indeed a Hoax*, Infowars (Jan. 8, 2013), <https://www.infowars.com/college-professor-says-crisis-actors-may-have-played-part-if-sandy-hook-was-indeed-a-hoax/>.

January 27, 2013

111. On January 27, 2013, the Alex Jones Channel posted a video now advertised on YouTube under the title: “Why People Think Sandy Hook is A Hoax.”²² Just over a month had passed since the shooting at Sandy Hook.

112. Jones appeared in that video. During the video, he stated, “Now again, in the last month and a half, I have not come out and clearly said that this was a staged event. Unfortunately, evidence is beginning to come out that points more and more in that direction, and we’re going to show you that evidence in the moment. Now a lot of the tens of millions of video views on YouTube concerning the Sandy Hook hoax surround CNN, and what appears to be people who’ve been coached, people who have been given cue cards, people who are behaving like actors.”

113. Later in the video, Jones stated, “One of the big issues out there that has people asking questions is Robby Parker, who reportedly lost one of his daughters. And people see the photos out there where it looks like Obama’s meeting with all three of his children, and things like that. And, when you watch the footage, I know grieving parents do strange things, but it looks like he’s saying, ‘Okay, do I read off the card,’ he’s laughing, and then he goes over, and starts, um, breaking down and crying.”

114. Jones then played a video of Robby Parker making a statement the day after the shooting. Parker lost his daughter, Emilie, in the Sandy Hook shooting.

115. Under the video was a chyron with the words “Odd Parent Reaction from SandyHook [sic].”

116. As the video of Parker played, Jones commented over it. “I haven’t touched this,” he said. “All I know is they’re seizing on it. They staged fast and furious . . . that killed thousands, our government, to blame the Second Amendment, they’d stage anything.”

117. Later in the broadcast, Jones said, “This needs to be investigated. They’re clearly using this to go after our guns . . . Something though, really, is starting to get suspicious here . . . But the fact that this whole thing could be staged, it’s just mindblowing. Tell us what you think. Great job to all the people out there with the crowdsourcing, that are resourcing all these clips.”

118. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

119. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

March 2013

²² <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tM5ZdO-IgEE>.

120. On March 14, 2014, Jones stated, “We’ve clearly got people where it’s actors playing different parts of different people.”

121. He continued, “I’ve looked at it and undoubtedly there’s a cover up, there’s actors, they’re manipulating, they’ve been caught lying, and they were pre-planning before it and rolled out with it.”

122. The audience for these broadcasts has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

May 27, 2013

123. On May 27, 2013, Dr. Steve Pieczenik appeared on the Alex Jones Radio Show in an episode advertised on YouTube under the title “Sandy Hook was A Total False Flag!”²³

124. During that appearance, Pieczenik stated, “Sandy Hook was a total false flag. There was no individual involved; there was no Asperger’s; there was no 24 kids who were killed.”

125. In the parlance and narratives of mass-shooting conspiracy theorists, “false flag” is the idea that a shooting or other attack was staged by the government or other powerful forces.

126. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

127. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

128. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

May 13, 2014

129. On May 13, 2014, The Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast an episode advertised on YouTube under the title “Bombshell: Sandy Hook Massacre Was A DHS Illusion Says School Safety Expert.”²⁴

130. That day, Jones hosted Wolfgang Halbig.

131. During this video, Halbig stated: “I think the reason they’re not answering those questions ‘cause I think it’s going to expose their whole scam.”

²³ The Alex Jones Channel, *Dr. Steve Pieczenik: Sandy Hook was A Total False Flag!*, YouTube (Mar. 27, 2013), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5EfyD7Wu5fQ&t=18s>.

²⁴ Alex Jones Channel, *Bombshell: Sandy Hook Massacre Was A DHS Illusion Says School Safety Expert*, YouTube (May 13, 2014), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x2a1FwYEZS4>.

132. Jones asked Halbig, “What are the big smoking guns . . . what are the big red flags?”

133. Halbig responded, “The red flags is that you’re looking at \$29 million . . . and there are 39 other community nonprofit organizations within Newtown that received a lot of funds.”

134. Jones interjected: “You’re saying a motive for the locals to go along with the fraud is money.”

135. Later, Jones prompted Halbig, “What about the kids going in circles, back and forth, the same people, into the school for the helicopters; it looked like a fake drill . . . just go through those points.”

136. Jones summarized, stating, “The cover up is the prima facie proof of the larger crime, and that we’re being lied to.”

137. He continued, “The whole thing, you’ve got ‘em jumping gun . . . that Bloomberg was saying get ready the day before, get ready to fundraise on mass shootings . . . had a false start, didn’t you, Bloomy.”

138. Jones was asserting that former Mayor of New York City Michael Bloomberg knew about the shooting beforehand. The clear implication of this statement is that the shooting was “staged” and a hoax.

139. Halbig understood Jones. He responded, “Children did not die, teachers did not die, on December 14, 2012.”

140. Halbig further stated, “Alex, we’ve never had a time in our history, where Sandy Hook, a school massacre, the biggest illusion ever portrayed by Homeland Security and FEMA . . .”

141. During that show, Jones stated, in reference to the Sandy Hook shooting, “I mean it’s fake . . . it’s fake . . . you’ve got parents acting . . . it just is the fakest thing since the three-dollar bill.”

142. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

143. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

144. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

September 25, 2014

145. On September 25, 2014, the Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast an episode advertised on YouTube under the title “FBI Says Nobody Killed at Sandy Hook Massacre.”²⁵

146. This assertion was a complete fabrication: the FBI had made no such statement.

147. During the September 25, 2014 broadcast of the Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones and Halbig asserted that FBI crime statistics showed that no one was killed at Sandy Hook.

148. This was a false statement. FBI crime statistics showed no such thing.

149. Jones stated that video from the day of the shooting showed that the same children were cycled in and out of the school and that no emergency helicopters were sent to the school, and were “clearly staged.”

150. Jones stated that two supposed former high-level national-defense officials had declared that Sandy Hook and the Boston bombing were faked.

151. Halbig asserted that “there were no trauma helicopters” sent to Newtown, that the other 600 children at the school could not be found, and that Sandy Hook Elementary School was “a toxic waste dump . . . the filthiest, most deplorable school . . . that I have ever seen.”

152. Jones asserted that the school was “a cut-out” used as a stage for the event.

153. Jones and Halbig both stated “I wish it was real instead of fake.”

154. Halbig stated that Connecticut state troopers “used they [sic] script,” that “it’s nothing but corruption in Connecticut,” and that 16 Connecticut state troopers lied under oath.

155. Halbig accused a company called Obsidian, located in Washington, D.C., of scripting well-known crises around the world, including Sandy Hook.

156. Halbig stated that “nobody died” at Sandy Hook. He stated that “they never allowed the paramedics or EMTs ever inside the school.”

157. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

158. On September 26, 2014, Infowars.com published an article by Adan Salazar entitled “SANDY HOOK INVESTIGATOR: CONNECTICUT PD HAD FBI FALSIFY CRIME STATISTICS.”

²⁵ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N1RlZXvGy2s>. This video has since been removed “for violating YouTube’s policy on harassment and bullying,” but is now available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eqVqmFy4KW0>.

159. The article repeatedly quoted Wolfgang Halbig, who alleged that he had “discovered various anomalies which have led him to believe the entire incident was a contrived event.”

160. The article contained the following quote: “The American people need to wake up and listen to what these exercises are,” says Halbig. “A Homeland Security FEMA Capstone Exercise, it starts at the White House, at the president’s desk, goes all the way through Congress, through the Attorney General, down through the FBI, all the way down to the local government. It is a whole community event, and that’s what I think happened at Sandy Hook.”

161. The article quoted Halbig as saying that there was “a ‘script’ followed during the event.”

162. It quoted him again, saying, “I’m telling you nobody died [at Sandy Hook] . . . I’ve been a school administrator for 30 some odd years. When I have a child with a broken arm, or I’ve got a fight on the school bus, or a child’s been stabbed or shot, the first thing we get ready for are lawsuits. Alex, if there would have been just 2 or 3 lawsuits filed by the parents or loved ones who lost someone that day at Sandy Hook, I’d go away.”

163. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

164. On information and belief, the article has been viewed by hundreds of thousands of persons.

December 12, 2014

165. On December 12, 2014, Infowars Nightly News broadcast an episode now advertised on YouTube under the title “The Ultimate Sandy Hook Debate As The 2nd Anniversary Looms.”²⁶

166. The episode consisted of a debate between Keith Johnson (a self-described conspiracy theorist with americanfreepress.net) and Halbig, about Sandy Hook, moderated by an Infowars personality named Rob Dew.

167. During that broadcast, Halbig asserted that Sandy Hook Elementary School had been used as a toxic waste dump before December 14, 2012, and therefore no shooting took place there.

168. Halbig stated, “On behalf of Dawn Hochsprung, who supposedly was the principal and was shot [in the massacre] . . . I can tell you this with certainty: Dawn Hochsprung would never, ever, in her life, have allowed her school . . . to look so filthy and so deplorable . . . she would have never . . . allowed her school to become a toxic waste dump exposing every one

²⁶ The Alex Jones Channel, *The Ultimate Sandy Hook Debate As The 2nd Anniversary Looms*, YouTube (Dec. 12, 2014), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6aK0P-WxjU8>.

of her children and school staff members to serious lifelong health risks The doors are rotten, they're filthy . . . there's mildew."

169. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

170. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

December 28, 2014

171. On December 28, 2014, during The Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones took a call from Kevin, a listener who called in claiming to live close to Newtown, Connecticut.²⁷

172. Kevin said, "I'm calling about Sandy Hook. My take on it is . . . The whole thing is pretty much the next step in reality TV, because with other false flags, like 9/11 or Oklahoma City, or the Boston bombing, at least something happened. With Sandy Hook, there's no there there. You've got a bunch of people walking around a parking lot, pretty much what it comes down to."

173. Jones interrupted Kevin. "No, no, I've had the investigators on, the state police have gone public, you name it," he said. "The whole thing is a giant hoax. And the problem is, how do you deal with a total hoax? How do you even convince the public something's a total hoax?"

174. Kevin responded, "I always tell people the same thing: go out and prove the official story. And . . . I know the millisecond this happened, with that now-fake picture of the kids being led out of the school, that there's nothing that's going to sell this agenda like dead elementary school kids."

175. Jones interrupted Kevin again. "The general public doesn't know the school was actually closed the year before," he said. "They don't know they've sealed it all, demolished the building. They don't know that they had the kids going in circles in and out of the building as a photo-op. Blue screen, green screens, they got caught using."

176. Jones continued, "People just instinctively know that there's a lot of fraud going on. But it took me about a year with Sandy Hook to come to grips with the fact that the whole thing was fake. I mean, even I couldn't believe it. I knew they jumped on it, used the crisis, hyped it up. But then I did deep research—and my gosh, it just pretty much didn't happen."

177. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

178. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones's radio affiliates.

²⁷ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TGCfi_ot0CU.

179. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

January 2, 2015

180. On January 2, 2015, Infowars.com published an article by Adan Salazar entitled “MYSTERY: SANDY HOOK VICTIM DIES (AGAIN) IN PAKISTAN.”

181. The article alleged that the “face . . . one of the children supposedly killed in the December 2012 Sandy Hook shooting in Newtown, Connecticut” had “without explanation . . . appeared in multiple photos and reports” related to an attack conducted by the Pakistani Taliban on a Pakistan Army school on December 16, 2015.

182. “Can the photo’s misuse simply be brushed off as another bumbling Google image search mistake?” the article stated. “Or could it be willful subterfuge aimed at poking fun at those who question the validity of the Sandy Hook event?”

183. The pictures used were images of Noah Pozner.

184. Upon information and belief, hundreds of thousands of people have viewed this article.

January 13, 2015

185. On January 13, 2015, during a broadcast of The Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones said, “Yeah, so, Sandy Hook is a synthetic completely fake with actors, in my view, manufactured. I couldn’t believe it at first. I knew they had actors there, clearly, but I thought they killed some real kids. And it just shows how bold they are, that they clearly used actors. I mean they even ended up using photos of kids killed in mass shootings here in a fake mass shooting in Turkey—so yeah, or Pakistan. The sky is now the limit. I appreciate your call.”²⁸

186. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

187. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

188. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

²⁸ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ap-DvMoiMOY>.

March 4, 2015

189. On March 4, 2015, The Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast an episode now advertised on YouTube under the title “New Bombshell Sandy Hook Information In-Bound.”²⁹

190. Jones hosted Halbig on that episode.

191. During the broadcast, Jones asked Halbig to explain why Sandy Hook was “completely phony.”

192. Halbig stated the school was not in operation at the time of the shooting.

193. Jones stated that the school was suddenly reopened, received a “falling report” [sic], and did not look like a real school.

194. Halbig stated that it was the “most filthiest [sic] most deplorable school” and “loaded with lead paint . . . asbestos . . . PCP.”

195. Halbig stated that trauma helicopters were not called and that paramedics were not allowed to enter the school.

196. Jones stated, “I’m saying it was a drill, a giant piece of theater, did they really kill some kids? I don’t know.”

197. Referring to video of parents of children killed in the shooting, Jones stated that “they . . . bring in actors to break down and cry. . . used the same actors as different people.”

198. Both Jones and Halbig stated that Connecticut police ate lunch inside the school the day of the shooting.

199. Halbig encouraged listeners to contact the Newtown school board and ask them his questions.

200. A reasonable person would understand Jones’s and Halbig’s statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

201. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

202. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

²⁹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7ib5WkULBY>.

May 28, 2015

203. On May 28, 2015, Infowars Nightly News broadcast an episode now advertised on YouTube under the title: "Sandy Hook: The Lies Keep Growing."³⁰

204. David Knight hosted Halbig on Infowars Nightly News.

205. Halbig accused Newtown police chief Mike Kehoe of committing perjury.

206. Halbig once again appeared, and stated that the school was unsanitary and unsafe.

207. Halbig's website was repeatedly advertised and listeners were encouraged to support him financially.

208. A reasonable person would understand Halbig's statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

209. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones's radio affiliates.

210. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

May 29, 2015

211. On May 29, 2015, The Alex Jones Channel published an Infowars video, now posted on YouTube with the title "School Administrator Exposes Sandy Hook Stonewall."³¹

212. The video was introduced by David Knight. The video then cut to Dan Bidondi, an Infowars reporter, who was reporting from Wolfgang's Freedom of Information Act hearing in Hartford, Connecticut.

213. The video cut back and forth between excerpts from the hearing and interviews of Halbig by Bidondi in the hallway outside the hearing room.

214. Infowars reporter Dan Bidondi traveled to Hartford, CT to report on this hearing on behalf of Infowars and Alex Jones.

215. On information and belief, other Infowars agents, including the person doing the video recording, traveled to and were located in Hartford, Connecticut, for the creation of this video.

³⁰ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ml3KVj2nVRA>.

³¹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SO8Xb-t4nT4>.

216. Later on May 29, 2015, the Alex Jones Channel published another Infowars video on YouTube, entitled “New Sandy Hook Questions Arise from FOIA Hearing.”³² The video featured David Knight interviewing Wolfgang Halbig by streaming video.

217. During the interview, Halbig stated that Sandy Hook Elementary School was “a toxic waste dump . . . and yet, we have parents who would allow their children to attend that type of a school? I don’t believe so. And if they did, those parents are negligent for putting their children at risk.”

218. Halbig was clearly suggesting that the school was empty on the day of the shooting, and therefore that the shooting did not happen—and that the Sandy Hook parents are lying about their children’s deaths.

219. On information and belief, these statements were heard by hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

July 7, 2015

220. On July 7, 2015, The Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast a video now posted on YouTube with the title “Retired FBI Agent Investigates Sandy Hook: MEGA MASSIVE COVER UP.”³³

221. Jones noted, referring to the May 29, 2015 video, “I knew that we’d sent a reporter Dan Bidondi there for days, to cover the city council hearings about it, the fact that they’re sealing everything.”³⁴

222. “[T]he more we look at Sandy Hook,” he said, “I don’t want to believe it’s a false flag. I don’t know if kids really got killed, but you’ve got green screen with Anderson Cooper . . . and then his nose disappears. It’s fake! The whole thing, it’s—I don’t know what happened.”

223. He continued, “It’s kind of, you see a hologram at Disney World in the haunted house, I don’t know how they do it, it’s not real. When you take your kids to the haunted house and there are ghosts flying around, it’s not real, it’s staged . . . I don’t know what the trick is here, I got a good suspicion. But when you’ve got Wolfgang Halbig . . . he went and investigated, no paperwork, no nothing, it’s bull.”

224. Later, Jones stated, “But what about how for a mass shooting in Pakistan, they got photos of Sandy Hook kids,” and referring to an Infowars article, stated, “it’s like the same P.R. company is running this . . . and then they try to hit us with fake copyright deals whenever we show this.”

³² <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5cll79t7Mrw>.

³³ <https://www.mediamatters.org/embed/clips/2016/11/29/51284/gcn-alexjones-20150707-shooting;>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8YVleWq8YSc>.

³⁴ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jCOe3qIgyFA>.

225. Jones put the article on the screen and read the headline: “Mystery: Sandy Hook Victim Dies (Again) in Pakistan.”

226. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

227. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

228. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

November 17, 2016

229. On November 17, 2016, the Alex Jones Show broadcast an episode in which Alex Jones claimed that he had never claimed that Sandy Hook was a hoax. Almost immediately thereafter, he rehearsed a number of his common arguments that Sandy Hook was a hoax.

230. These included that “Anderson Cooper is using a green screen, his nose disappears”; “they have kids going in circles back into the buildings”; “the building was closed years before”; “it was filthy”; “no emergency helicopters were launched”; and “they’re sealing the death certificates and everything.”

231. Jones continued, “we’ve sent reporters up there, man, and that place is like *Children of the Corn* or something. I mean it is freaking weird.”

232. Jones further referenced “weird videos of reported parents of kids laughing and then all of a sudden they do the hyperventilating to cry to go on TV,” suggesting that parents of children killed at Sandy Hook were acting.³⁵

233. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

234. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

235. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

November 18, 2016

236. On November 18, 2016, Jones broadcast a video now advertised on YouTube under the title, “Alex Jones Final Statement on Sandy Hook.”³⁶

³⁵ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KxwnPqwxear>; <https://www.mediamatters.org/blog/2016/11/17/trump-ally-alex-jones-doubles-down-sandy-hook-conspiracy-theories/214524>.

³⁶ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MwudDfz1yAk>.

237. During that video, Jones stated, “I want to reach out to my listeners as well and just clarify where I stand on the reported tragedy at Sandy Hook that took place at that elementary school.”

238. He continued: “For the last three or four years, it’s been mainstream media’s number-one attack against me to say that I said there was never anyone that actually died there. I’ve hosted debates against both sides, and I’ve been criticized by both sides—people that say that no one died there and people who say that the official story is exactly as we’ve been told. And I’ve always said that I’m not sure about what really happened, that there’s a lot of anomalies and there has been a cover-up of whatever did happen there.”

239. He stated: “There’s a few clips Hillary used in her campaign of me out of context saying I can see how people that look at all this evidence say no kids died there and this whole thing is a giant hoax, but at the same time there is some evidence that people died there. They take that out of context and misrepresent it. That’s why they’re the deceptive corporate media. But for those who do have an attention span for, say, 10 minutes or so, I will present to you the questions. And I’m going to be quite frank, I don’t know what really happened. I know there are real mass shootings. I know people lose children. I’m a father. It hurts my heart. So I don’t know what the truth is. All I know is the official story of Sandy Hook has more holes in it than Swiss cheese.”

240. Jones rehearsed several of his most commonly employed arguments that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged, including that Anderson Cooper was standing in front of “a blue screen or a green screen,” that video was “looped,” and that “one of the reported fathers of the victims . . . [was] doing classic acting training.”

241. In closing, Jones said, “This is a tragedy. I wish it never would have happened. But quite frankly, I wish that the official story was true because that’s a lot less scary than them staging something like this. But when you think about how they staged [weapons of mass destruction] to kill over a million Iraqis, when you think about all the other hoaxes, all the other lies, all the other rigging, and the way they’re freaking out about it and trying to cover up every level of it, it just makes me ask what really happened there?”

242. The clear implication of these statements is that the accepted account of Sandy Hook—that the plaintiffs lost their loved ones in the shooting—is false, and that the plaintiffs have fabricated their loved ones’ deaths.

243. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

244. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

November 28, 2016

245. On November 11, 2016, plaintiff Erica Lafferty published an op-ed in USA Today asking President-Elect Trump to disavow Alex Jones and other people who falsely assert that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax.³⁷

246. In response to the open letter, Infowars broadcasted a five-minute rant by Owen Shroyer, an Infowars “reporter,” defending Jones and attacking Lafferty.³⁸

247. Shroyer directly addressed Lafferty, stating, “Your logic [about gun control] failed your mother.”

248. Shroyer directly addressed Lafferty, accusing her of saying, “without any proof,” that Alex Jones had said that Sandy Hook was a hoax.

249. Shroyer stated, “[Jones is] not the one who’s denying Sandy Hook ever happened.”

250. These statements were obviously untrue: Alex Jones has denied many times that Sandy Hook ever happened.

251. Shroyer stated falsely that Lafferty had asserted that then-President-Elect Trump needed to face the death of a loved one.

252. This statement falsely described Lafferty’s appeal to sympathy as if it were a threat on the family of the President-Elect of the United States.

253. Shroyer repeatedly cited Halbig, saying that he has “done the best reporting” on the Sandy Hook shooting.

254. He stated to Lafferty, “Why are you butting heads with people [Jones and Halbig] that want to find out the truth of what happened to your mother?”

255. The truth about Lafferty’s mother, Dawn Hochsprung, is that she is dead.

256. Dawn Hochsprung is dead because, on the morning of December 14, 2012, she sacrificed her life trying to save her students from Adam Lanza’s murderous rampage at Sandy Hook Elementary School.

³⁷ Erica Lafferty, *Mr. Trump, denounce Alex Jones : Sandy Hook Principal’s Daughter*, USA Today (Nov. 25, 2016), <https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2016/11/25/donald-trump-sandy-hook-alex-jones-column/94335420/>.

³⁸ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9PdrIrSCLu0>.

March 8, 2017

257. On the March 8, 2017 edition of The Alex Jones Show, Jones hosted Eddie Bravo.

258. During the interview, Bravo stated, “Dr. Steve Pieczenik, and you got some heat for this, this is kind of changing the subject a little bit. Dr. Steve Pieczenik, on your show, said that no kids died at Sandy Hook, that it was a homeland security drill that they passed off as a real—”

259. Jones stated, “He says that. And I’ve been hit really hard with it. I can’t prove it one way or the other. I know Anderson Cooper is standing up there and turns and his whole nose disappears. I work in TV, I know what a blue screen is, bro.”

260. A reasonable person would understand Jones and Bravo to have been stating that the Sandy Hook massacre was faked, and that the plaintiffs participated in a fraud that was based on lying about the deaths of their loved ones.

261. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

262. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

April 22, 2017

263. On April 22, 2017, the Alex Jones Show broadcast an episode, also released on YouTube, entitled “Sandy Hook Vampires Exposed.”³⁹

264. During that episode, Jones showed video footage of an interview between one of the Sandy Hook parents and Anderson Cooper. Over this footage, Mr. Jones stated: “And then we’ve got Anderson Cooper, famously, not just with the flowers blowing and a fake, but when he turns, his nose disappears repeatedly because the green-screen isn’t set right. And they don’t like to do live feeds because somebody might run up. CNN did that in the Gulf War and admitted it. They just got caught two weeks ago doing it in supposedly Syria. And all we’re saying is, if these are known liars that lied about WMDs, and lied to get us in all these wars, and backed the Arab Spring, and Libya, and Syria, and Egypt, and everywhere else to overthrow governments, and put in radical Islamicists [sic], if they do that and have blood on their hands, and lied about the Iraq War, and were for the sanctions that killed half a million kids, and let the Islamicists [sic] attack Serbia, and lied about Serbia launching the attack, when it all came out later that Serbia didn’t do it, how could you believe any of it if you have a memory? If you’re not Dory from ‘Finding Dory,’ you know, the Disney movie. Thank god you’re so stupid, thank god you have no memory. It all goes back to that.”

265. Jones told his audience that they should not “believe any of it.”

³⁹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rUnIjKhWTXI>.

266. As discussed throughout this complaint, the “faked” Anderson Cooper interview is one of Jones’s favorite arguments that the entire Sandy Hook massacre was fabricated and that the plaintiffs were actors who faked their loved ones’ deaths.

267. During the April 22, 2017 broadcast, Jones and an Infowars producer made other statements rehearsing familiar themes from the defendants’ campaign of lies and abuse.

268. In conversation with the producer, Jones stated: “And that’s on helicopter footage, and then they say it never existed, and later admit it does, and the school was closed until that year, in the videos it’s all rotting and falling apart and nobody is even in it, and the kids are going in circles, in and out of the building with their hands up, and they never called rescue choppers. I mean, exactly.”

269. The Infowars producer responded: “There’s some supposed dash footage where the people are smiling and getting their lunches ready, police officers. You think you’re going to have smiling police officers at a time when they’re supposedly bringing out twenty dead kids? And they’re smiling and getting their lunches ready.”

270. Mr. Jones responded: “And they had Port-A-Potties being delivered an hour after it happened, for the big media event.”

271. The Infowars producer responded: “We’ve never seen, there was never been any even blurred photos of any bodies or anything We didn’t even get blurred images with the dead kids in Syria. We got crisp photos.”

272. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones. A reasonable person would also understand the defendants to be stating that the plaintiffs were “Sandy Hook Vampires.”

April 28, 2017

273. On April 28, 2017, Jones held a press conference in which he was asked if he believes that Sandy Hook was a “false flag.” Jones stated: “I think we should investigate everything because the government has staged so much stuff, and then they lie and say that I said the whole thing was totally fake when I was playing devil’s advocate in a debate. I said maybe the whole thing is real, maybe the whole thing is fake. They were using blue screens out there . . . Yes, governments stage things.”⁴⁰

274. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

⁴⁰ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=StOyqyt0fkY>.

June 13, 2017

275. On June 13, 2017, Jones posted a video to the InfoWars Facebook page in which he once again rehearsed his lie about the “faked” CNN interview. Jones stated: “But there’s been a cover-up. Anderson Cooper got caught, faking where his location was with blue-screen. I mean, it’s all there.”⁴¹

276. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

The Megyn Kelly Interview

The Preview

277. On June 11, 2017, television news personality Megyn Kelly interviewed Jones for her weekly news magazine on NBC News.

278. NBC released a preview of the interview on the internet on the following day.

279. In footage contained in the preview, Jones stated, “Well, Sandy Hook’s complex because I have had debates where, we devil’s advocates have said the whole story is true, and then I have had debates where I have said that none of it is true.”

280. Later, Kelly stated, “When you say parents faked their children’s deaths, people get very angry.”

281. In response, Jones asserted that people do not get angry about the deaths that resulted from the sanctions against Iraq or other tragedies in the world, and complained about the media’s coverage of such events. He did not deny claiming that the plaintiff parents faked their children’s deaths.

282. Kelly stated, “That doesn’t excuse what you did and said about Newtown, and you know it.”

283. Jones stated, “Here’s the difference. I looked at all the angles of Newtown, and I made my statements long before the media even picked up on it.”

284. The most reasonable interpretation of this statement is that Jones was saying that his account—in which he repeatedly stated that the shooting was staged, that parents were actors, and that no children were killed—was more reliable than the established media account, and true.

285. These statements were heard by millions of people.

⁴¹ <https://www.facebook.com/80256732576/videos/10155465593882577/>.

June 15, 2017 – Infowars

286. During a video released on Infowars on June 15, 2017, Jones stated the following about his interview with Megyn Kelly: “She said things like, ‘Oh, you talked about Newtown not happening and hurt people’s feelings,’ and I explained, ‘No I looked at all different angles, [unintelligible] could have happened, but they staged the WMDs in Iraq and they staged the babies in the incubators, so they’ve lied about babies before . . . I said, they’ve staged babies in incubators in Bush I, and then they did it again . . . so it could’ve been staged, because they stage things.’”

287. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

288. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

Alex Jones’s June 18, 2017 Pre-NBC-Broadcast Programming

289. The final version of Jones’s interview with Megyn Kelly aired on NBC News on June 18, 2017.

290. Before the interview aired that day, Jones published several pre-show videos on Infowars.

291. During those videos, he made repeated statements suggesting that the Sandy Hook shooting did not happen and/or that the plaintiffs’ loved ones did not die there.

- A. In one, he said, “But I’m looking at it I think Newtown did happen. But I’m not the creator of people questioning Newtown and Sandy Hook. My guests covered it, I’ve covered it.”
- B. Later, he said, “My intel is that I’ve seen the parents and it looked real to me. If I can’t prove something one hundred percent I’m not going to go there. We know governments have staged things and you have a right to question it.”
- C. He continued, “But you have the total right to question Sandy Hook. You know, I’m tempted to make a documentary about it, just for my own hellish experience. It’s like the babies in the incubators . . . none of it was true.”
- D. He added, “No, I’ve been saying since it happened that I don’t know whether the official story’s true or not. And now you can’t prove it one way or the other there’s some anomalies. But the parents look pretty legitimate to me.”
- E. While interspersed with vacillations, Jones’s statements convey a clear message: that the accepted account of the Sandy Hook shooting—that the

plaintiffs lost their loved ones there—is untrue, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones. Of special note was his abnormal and “official” tone, which a reasonable person knowing Jones’s show would interpret as a “wink” at his audience.

292. Later that day, Jones hosted Dr. Steve Pieczenik as a guest on his program.

293. As discussed in paragraphs 123 through 128 of this complaint, Pieczenik had stated in a previous appearance on Jones’s show that no children died at Sandy Hook and that the parents were actors.

294. In introducing Pieczenik on this occasion, Jones stated, “He’s a smart guy, he doesn’t buy into what happened, reportedly there in Newtown I personally can’t prove it one way or the other so I’m just going to say that my heart goes out to the families and I believe it happened.”

295. During his appearance on the show, Pieczenik said, “All the hedge fund owners . . . left down to Miami thanks to Dan Malloy and Sandy Hook. So every one of these paid [Sandy Hook] parents, whoever they may be, are totally, totally disingenuous.”

296. In response to Pieczenik’s statement, Jones said, in his abnormal, “official” tone, “All I know is that they’ve staged fake things before.”

297. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

298. These statements were heard by hundreds of thousands, if not millions of people.

The June 18, 2017 NBC Broadcast

299. During the interview with Kelly that aired on NBC, Jones made several additional statements suggesting that the shooting never happened.

300. At one point, Kelly read Jones’s previous statement describing the Sandy Hook shooting as a hoax, recounted in paragraphs 171 through 179 of this complaint.

301. In response, Jones stated, “At that point—and I do think there was some cover up and some manipulation—that is pretty much what I believed. But then I was also going into devil’s advocate, but then we know there’s mass shootings and these things happen. So again—”

302. Later, Kelly said, “If you wrongly went out there and said it was a hoax. That’s wrong.”

303. In response, Jones said, “But what I already answered your question was—listeners and other people are covering this—I didn’t create that story.”

304. Later, Kelly stated, “But Alex, the parents—one after the other—devastated. The dead bodies that the coroner autopsied.”

305. In response, Jones said, “And they blocked all that and they won’t release any of it. That’s unprecedented—”

306. Later, Kelly asked Jones if he was playing “devil’s advocate” when he said “the whole thing is a giant hoax.”

307. Jones responded, “Yes, because I remember in, even that day— I will go back for memory—they saying but then some of it looks like it’s real. But what do you do when they got the kids going in circles in and out of the building with their hands up. I’ve watched the footage and it looks like a drill.”

308. Even in that statement, while claiming—falsely—that he was playing “devil’s advocate” when making those previous statements, Jones again asserted that the Sandy Hook shooting did not happen.

309. Next, Kelly said, “When you say parents faked their children’s deaths, people get very angry.”

310. Jones responded, “Oh, I know—but they don’t get angry about the half million that Iraq from the sanctions or the don’t get angry about all the legal—”

311. Later, Kelly said, “That doesn’t excuse what you did and said about Newtown, you know it.”

312. Jones responded, “Here’s the difference, here’s the difference—I looked at all the angles of Newtown and I made my statements long before the media even picked up on it.”

313. In a voiceover, Kelly then noted that Jones, despite being “asked . . . numerous times what he now believes . . . never completely disavowed his previous statements.”

314. It then played clip of Jones saying, “I tend to believe that children probably did die there, but then you look at all the evidence on the other side, I can see how other people believe nobody died there.”

315. There is no evidence “on the other side.”

316. A reasonable person would understand Jones’s statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

317. More than three million people saw and heard these statements by Jones.

Alex Jones's June 18, 2017 Counter-Programming

318. Meanwhile, as the interview played on NBC, Jones himself was broadcasting a live play-by-play video commentary on Infowars.

319. The Sandy Hook segment of the NBC interview began with a Megyn Kelly voiceover describing Jones's statements that Sandy Hook was a hoax. As the voiceover played, Jones said, "Babies in the incubators."

320. As evidenced by statements recounted previously in this complaint, "babies in the incubators" is a well-established Alex Jones metonym for a staged event.

321. This statement is properly interpreted as a reiteration and reaffirmation of Jones's previous statements that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged and that no children died there.

322. Later, as his statement that he "looked at all the angles of Newtown" in the NBC interview played in the background, Jones said, "I said I thought it happened before they were picked up. They never showed my final analysis."

323. This statement appears to be an admission that Jones never actually believed that Sandy Hook was a hoax, even as he maintained unequivocally that it was.

324. On information and belief, these statements were also broadcast on Alex Jones's radio affiliates.

325. Hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people heard these statements.

June 26, 2017

326. During the June 18, 2017 profile of Jones for her NBC show Sunday Night with Megyn Kelly, Ms. Kelly interviewed one of the Sandy Hook parents, Neil Heslin, about the claims made by Jones, including that "the whole thing was fake" and "a giant hoax." Addressing Jones's lies, Heslin told Kelly, "I lost my son. I buried my son. I held my son with a bullet hole through his head."

327. On June 26, 2017, Infowars broadcast a segment hosted by "reporter" Owen Shroyer in which Shroyer claimed to have reviewed evidence showing it was impossible for Mr. Heslin to have held his son and seen his injury. This broadcast was meant to reinforce and support the underlying lie that the Sandy Hook parents are fakes.⁴²

328. Shroyer stated: "The statement [Heslin] made, fact-checkers on this have said cannot be accurate. He's claiming that he held his son and saw the bullet hole in his head. That is his claim. Now, according to a timeline of events and a coroner's testimony, that is not possible."

⁴² <https://www.infowars.com/zero-hedge-discovers-anomaly-in-alex-jones-hit-piece/>.

329. Shroyer's support for this statement was video footage in which the local medical examiner informed reporters that the slain students were initially identified using photographs rather than in person. However, the Sandy Hook parents were permitted to see and hold their children soon thereafter.

330. Shroyer stated: "You would remember if you held your dead kid in your hands with a bullet hole. That's not something you would just misspeak on." He continued, noting that Heslin was "making a pretty extreme claim that would be a very thing vivid in your memory, holding his dead child."

331. Shroyer then stated: "The conspiracy theorists on the internet out there have a lot of questions that are yet to be answered. You say whatever you want about the event, that's just a fact."

332. In concluding his report, Shroyer stated: "Will there be a clarification from Heslin or Megyn Kelly? I wouldn't hold your breath. [Laugh]. So now they're fueling the conspiracy theory claims. Unbelievable."

333. A reasonable person would understand these statements, including the title of the embedded video, to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

334. These statements were heard by at least tens of thousands, if not millions, of people.

335. On information and belief, the defendants have published other similar statements of which the plaintiffs do not know at this time, but would obtain with reasonable discovery.

COUNT ONE – Invasion of Privacy by False Light; Civil Conspiracy
(Jacqueline Barden, Mark Barden, Jennifer Hensel, Ian Hockley, Nicole Hockley, Erica Lafferty, Jeremy Richman, Carlos M. Soto, Donna Soto, Jillian Soto, Carlee Soto-Parisi, David Wheeler, Francine Wheeler, & William Aldenberg v. All Defendants)

336. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

337. The defendants, as part of a campaign of harassment and abuse, broadcast numerous outrageous lies about the plaintiffs that represented such major misrepresentations of the plaintiffs' character, history, activities or beliefs that serious offense may reasonably be expected to be taken by a reasonable person in their position.

338. The false light in which the defendants' statements placed the plaintiffs would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.

339. The defendants had knowledge that their statements were lies, or acted with reckless disregard as to the falsity of their statements and the false light in which the plaintiffs

would be placed.

340. These false publications have caused the plaintiffs actual and substantial damages.

341. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of reckless and false statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

342. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

343. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

344. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

345. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

346. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

COUNT TWO – Defamation and Defamation *per se*; Civil Conspiracy
(Jacqueline Barden, Mark Barden, Jennifer Hensel, Ian Hockley, Nicole Hockley, Erica Lafferty, Jeremy Richman, Carlos M. Soto, Donna Soto, Jillian Soto, Carlee Soto-Parisi, David Wheeler, Francine Wheeler, & William Aldenberg v. All Defendants)

347. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

348. In repeatedly publishing false statements asserting or reasonably understood to be asserting that the plaintiffs' loved ones did not die; and/or that the episode in which they were killed was staged or their loved ones were still alive; and/or the plaintiffs were actors who faked their loved ones' deaths; the defendants published numerous defamatory statements.

349. These publications were not only individually defamatory, but part of a continuous campaign of statements, starting in 2013 and continuing through at least 2017, stating, asserting, implying and suggesting that the plaintiffs faked their loved ones' deaths and/or are actors lying about the deaths of their loved ones.

350. The statements contained in the defendants' campaign of harassment and abuse constitute defamation *per se*. The harmful nature of the defamatory statements is self-evident. The defamatory statements implicate the Plaintiffs in heinous criminal conduct. False implications of criminal conduct represent classic defamation *per se*.

351. The defendants' defamatory publications readily identified the plaintiffs to millions of people.

352. The defendants' defamatory publications were broadcast to millions of people.

353. The defendants' defamatory publications have injured the plaintiffs' reputations and images, and they have exposed the plaintiffs to public and private hatred, contempt, and ridicule. These false publications have caused the plaintiffs actual and substantial damages.

354. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of reckless and false statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

355. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

356. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

357. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

358. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

359. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

COUNT THREE – Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress; Civil Conspiracy
(Jacqueline Barden, Mark Barden, Jennifer Hensel, Ian Hockley, Nicole Hockley, Erica Lafferty, Jeremy Richman, Carlos M. Soto, Donna Soto, Jillian Soto, Carlee Soto-Parisi, David Wheeler, Francine Wheeler, & William Aldenberg v. All Defendants)

360. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

361. In broadcasting their campaign of outrageous and false statements about the plaintiffs, the defendants intended to inflict emotional distress or knew, or should have known, that emotional distress was the likely result of their conduct.

362. The defendants' conduct was extreme and outrageous.

363. The defendants' conduct was the cause of the plaintiffs' distress.

364. The emotional distress sustained by the plaintiffs was severe.

365. The defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

366. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of false and reckless statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

367. The plaintiffs have suffered actual and substantial damages.

368. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

369. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

370. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

371. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

372. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

COUNT FOUR – Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress; Civil Conspiracy
(Jacqueline Barden, Mark Barden, Jennifer Hensel, Ian Hockley, Nicole Hockley, Erica Lafferty, Jeremy Richman, Carlos M. Soto, Donna Soto, Jillian Soto, Carlee Soto-Parisi, David Wheeler, Francine Wheeler, & William Aldenberg v. All Defendants)

373. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

374. The defendants' campaign of outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies created an unreasonable risk of causing the plaintiffs emotional distress.

375. The plaintiffs' distress was foreseeable.

376. The plaintiffs' emotional distress was severe enough that it might result in illness or bodily harm.

377. The defendants' outrageous, cruel, and malicious conduct was the cause of the plaintiff's distress.

378. The plaintiffs have suffered actual and substantial damages.

379. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of reckless and false statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

380. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

381. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

382. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

383. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

384. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

COUNT FIVE: Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a et seq.
(Jacqueline Barden, Mark Barden, Jennifer Hensel, Ian Hockley, Nicole Hockley, Erica Lafferty, Jeremy Richman, Carlos M. Soto, Donna Soto, Jillian Soto, Carlee Soto-Parisi, David Wheeler, Francine Wheeler, & William Aldenberg v. All Defendants)

385. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

386. The defendants unethically, oppressively, immorally, and unscrupulously developed, propagated, and disseminated outrageous and malicious lies about the plaintiffs and their family members, and they did so for profit.

387. This campaign of lies, abuse, and harassment was a deceptive practice and offended public policy.

388. The defendants' reprehensible conduct caused substantial injury to the plaintiffs and other consumers that is not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to anyone, and that the plaintiffs themselves could not have reasonably avoided.

389. The defendants' conduct was a foreseeable cause of and a substantial factor causing the plaintiffs' injury.

390. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

391. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether

or not they were true.

392. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

393. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

394. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, THE PLAINTIFFS CLAIM DAMAGES IN EXCESS OF FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND THE FOLLOWING RELIEF AS FURTHER SET FORTH BELOW:

Plaintiffs seek relief as follows:

- A. Monetary damages;
- B. Punitive damages;
- C. Attorneys' fees;
- D. Costs;
- E. Declarative relief;

This matter is within the jurisdiction of this court.

Of this writ, with your doings thereon, make due service and return.

Dated at Bridgeport, Connecticut this 23rd day of May, 2018.

THE PLAINTIFFS,

By

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Matt Bloss", written over a horizontal line.

WILLIAM M. BLOSS
MATTHEW S. BLUMENTHAL
KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
PHONE: (203) 336-4421
FAX: (203) 368-3244
wbloss@koskoff.com
mblumenthal@koskoff.com
JURIS #32250

NO. FBT CV 18 6075078 S : SUPERIOR COURT
ERICA LAFFERTY, ET AL. : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FAIRFIELD
V. : AT BRIDGEPORT
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL. : NOVEMBER 29, 2018

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE CASES

The plaintiffs hereby move under Conn. Practice Book § 9-5 to consolidate *William Sherlach v. Alex Jones, et al*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6076475-S and *Erica Lafferty, et al, v. Alex Jones, et al*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6075078-S. Because all the requirements of Practice Book § 9-5 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52–104 are met, this Motion should be granted.

Under Connecticut law, “courts of general jurisdiction have inherent power to consolidate different causes, or order them tried together, when the circumstances authorize such course.” *DiBella v. Town of Greenwich*, 2012 WL 2899242, at *1 (Conn. Super. May 22, 2012) (Brazzel-Massaro, J.) (quoting *Rode v. Adley Express Co.*, 130 Conn. 274, 277 (1943) (collecting cases)). Cases may be consolidated where the plaintiffs have “any right of relief in respect to or arising out of the same transaction or series of transactions . . . when, if such persons brought separate actions, any common question of law or fact would arise.” Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52–104 (“Joinder of plaintiffs and consolidation of causes”).

These two cases meet all these requirements for consolidation. The claims in the two cases are nearly identical. They arise out of the same transactions and series of transactions, against the same defendants, and for the same conduct. They contain numerous common questions of fact and law. In addition, each case is just commencing and so consolidation is timely.

For all the foregoing reasons, the plaintiffs’ Motion to Consolidate should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/ William M. Bloss
WILLIAM M. BLOSS
ALINOR C. STERLING
MATTHEW S. BLUMENTHAL
KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
bbloss@koskoff.com
asterling@koskoff.com
mblumenthal@koskoff.com
Telephone: (203) 336-4421
Fax: (203) 368-3244
JURIS #32250

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been emailed, this day, to all counsel and *pro se* appearances as follows:

For Wolfgang Halbig:

Wolfgang Halbig – TO BE MAILED
25526 Hawks Run Lane
Sorrento, FL 32776
wolfgang.halbig@comcast.net
Tel: 352-729-2559
Fax: 352-729-2559

For Cory T. Sklanka:

Lawrence L. Connelli, Esq.
Regnier Taylor Curran & Eddy
100 Pearl Street, 4th Floor
Hartford, CT 06103
LConnelli@rtcelaw.com
Tel: 860-249-9121
Fax: 860-527-4343

For Alex Emric Jones, Infowars, LLC, Free Speech Systems, LLC, Infowars Health, LLC and Prison Planet TV, LLC:

Jay M. Wolman, Esq.
100 Pearl Street, 14th Floor
Hartford, CT 06103
jmw@randazza.com
Tel: 702-420-2001
Fax: 305-437-7662

DOCKET NO: FBT-CV18-6075078-S: : SUPERIOR COURT
ERICA LAFFERTY, ET AL., :
VS. : J.D. OF FAIRFIELD
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL. : AT BRIDGEPORT
December 14, 2018

LIMITED OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE CASES

Defendants Alex Jones, Infowars, LLC, Free Speech Systems, LLC, Infowars Health, LLC, and Prison Planet TV, LLC, do not oppose Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate Cases (Entry No. 117.00). *William Sherlach, et al. v. Alex Jones, et al.*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6076475-S and *Erica Lafferty, et al. v. Alex Jones, et al.*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6075078-S should be consolidated under Section 9-5 of the Practice Book. In fact, Plaintiffs' motion does not go far enough. Subsequent to the filing of the motion to consolidate, the plaintiffs in the *Sherlach* case, represented by the same counsel, filed a third essentially identical action on December 5, 2018. See *William Sherlach, et al. v. Alex Jones, et al.*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6081366-S. There is no reason why only two of the three matters should be consolidated. Notably, the plaintiffs in the third action filed a subsequent motion to that effect, but it has not been cross-filed here. See *id.* at Entry No. 104.00.

Defendants do object, however, to the characterization of the actions as asserted by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs speak of "the same transactions and series of transactions" and "the same conduct" as part of their argument in favor of consolidation. As set forth in Defendants' pending Special Motion to Dismiss in the *Lafferty* matter (Entry No. 113.00 therein), and as is evident in the various complaints, by and large there was no "conduct" or "transactions"; this matter involves First Amendment protected speech regarding an event, generally without reference to any named plaintiff. But, Defendants do agree that the allegations arise from the same set of facts and involve the same issues, warranting consolidation (in fact, the complaint in the third action is identical to the operative complaint in *William Sherlach, et al. v. Alex Jones, et al.*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-

6076475-S). To that end, the Court has already approved parallel scheduling orders in the two actions identified in the motion.

For the foregoing reasons, the motion to consolidate be allowed, to include the subsequently-filed matter.

Dated: December 14, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,
INFOWARS DEFENDANTS

By:/s/ Jay M. Wolman
Jay M. Wolman – Juris #433791 of
Randazza Legal Group, PLLC
100 Pearl Street, 14th Floor
Hartford, CT 06103
P: 702-420-2001
F: 305-437-7662
Their Attorneys

DOCKET NO: FBTCV186075078S

SUPERIOR COURT

ORDER 421277

LAFFERTY, ERICA Et Al
V.
JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et Al

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FAIRFIELD
AT BRIDGEPORT

12/17/2018

ORDER

ORDER REGARDING:
11/29/2018 117.00 MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

The foregoing, having been considered by the Court, is hereby:

ORDER: GRANTED

Short Calendar Results Automated Mailing (SCRAM) Notice was sent on the underlying motion.

421277

Judge: BARBARA N BELLIS



State of Connecticut Judicial Branch Superior Court Case Look-up



Superior Court Case Look-up
Civil/Family
Housing
Small Claims

UWY-CV18-
6046437-S

SHERLACH, WILLIAM v. JONES, ALEX Et Al

Prefix: X06

Case Type: T50

File Date: 07/06/2018

Return Date: 07/24/2018

Case Detail

Notices

History

Scheduled Court Dates

E-Services Login

Screen Section Help

Exhibits

To receive an email when there is activity on this case, click here.

Attorney/Firm Juris Number Look-up

Case Look-up

By Party Name

By Docket Number

By Attorney/Firm Juris Number

By Property Address

This case is consolidated with one or more cases

Short Calendar Look-up

By Court Location

By Attorney/Firm Juris Number

Motion to Seal or Close

Calendar Notices

Court Events Look-up

By Date

By Docket Number

By Attorney/Firm Juris Number

Legal Notices

Pending Foreclosure Sales

Understanding

Display of Case Information

Contact Us



Comments

Information Updated as of: 06/24/2022

Case Information

Case Type: T50 - Torts - Defamation

Court Location: WATERBURY JD

List Type: No List Type

Trial List Claim:

Last Action Date: 06/23/2022 (The "last action date" is the date the information was entered in the system)

Disposition Information

Disposition Date:

Disposition:


Judge or Magistrate:

Party & Appearance Information

Party	No Fee Party	Category
P-01 WILLIAM SHERLACH Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 07/06/2018	Plaintiff
P-02 ROBERT PARKER Non-Appearing		Plaintiff
D-01 ALEX JONES Attorney: NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS (408681) 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney: PATTIS & SMITH LLC (423934) 383 ORANGE STREET 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney: CAMERON L ATKINSON (442289) 383 ORANGE ST 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511	File Date: 02/17/2022 File Date: 03/08/2022 File Date: 03/08/2022	Defendant
D-02 INFOWARS, LLC REMOVED		Defendant
D-03 FREE SPEECH SYSTEMS, LLC Attorney: PATTIS & SMITH LLC (423934) 383 ORANGE STREET 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney: NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS (408681) 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney: CAMERON L ATKINSON (442289) 383 ORANGE ST 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511	File Date: 06/28/2021 File Date: 02/17/2022 File Date: 03/08/2022	Defendant
D-04 INFOWARS HEALTH LLC REMOVED		Defendant
D-05 PRISON PLANET TV LLC REMOVED		Defendant
D-06 WOLFGANG HALBIG REMOVED		Defendant
D-07 CORY T. SKLANKA		Defendant

REMOVED

D-08 GENESIS COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK, INC.

Attorney:  BRIGNOLE BUSH & LEWIS (419073)
73 WADSWORTH STREET
HARTFORD, CT 06106

File Date: 04/28/2021


Defendant

D-09 MIDAS RESOURCES, INC.

REMOVED

Defendant

O-01 RICHARD M COAN TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF ERICA GARBATINI

Attorney:  ZEISLER & ZEISLER P.C. (069625)
10 MIDDLE STREET
15TH FLOOR
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604

File Date: 07/22/2021

Trustee

O-02 JAMES H. FETZER PHD

REMOVED

Intervening
Entity

O-03 JAY WOLMAN, ESQ

Attorney: HORTON DOWD BARTSCHI & LEVESQUE PC (038478) File Date: 10/18/2021
90 GILLET STREET
HARTFORD, CT 06105

Intervening
Entity

O-04 OFFICE OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Non-Appearing











Intervening
Entity































Viewing Documents on Civil, Housing and Small Claims Cases:





























If there is an  in front of the docket number at the top of this page, then the file is electronic (paperless).



























- Documents, court orders and judicial notices in electronic (paperless) civil, housing and small claims cases with a return date on or after January 1, 2014 are available publicly over the internet.* For more information on what you can view in all cases, view the [Electronic Access to Court Documents Quick Card](#).
- For civil cases filed prior to 2014, court orders and judicial notices that are electronic are available publicly over the internet. Orders can be viewed by selecting the link to the order from the list below. Notices can be viewed by clicking the **Notices** tab above and selecting the link.*
- Documents, court orders and judicial notices in an electronic (paperless) file can be viewed at any judicial district courthouse during normal business hours.*
- Pleadings or other documents that are not electronic (paperless) can be viewed only during normal business hours at the Clerk's Office in the Judicial District where the case is located.*
- An Affidavit of Debt is not available publicly over the internet on small claims cases filed before October 16, 2017.*

*Any documents protected by law Or by court order that are Not open to the public cannot be viewed by the public online And can only be viewed in person at the clerk's office where the file is located by those authorized by law or court order to see them.






























Motions / Pleadings / Documents / Case Status				
Entry No	File Date	Filed By	Description	Arguable
	07/06/2018	P	SUMMONS 	
	07/06/2018	P	COMPLAINT 	
	07/06/2018	P	ADDITIONAL PARTIES PAGE 	
	07/16/2018		APPEARANCE 	
	07/26/2018	D	APPEARANCE  Appearance	
	07/27/2018	D	APPEARANCE  Appearance	
	11/06/2018	D	APPEARANCE  Appearance	
	03/01/2019	D	APPEARANCE  Appearance	
	11/05/2019	D	APPEARANCE  Appearance	
	02/24/2020	D	APPEARANCE  Appearance	
	03/18/2020	D		


























			<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	07/07/2020	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	07/07/2020	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	10/19/2020		<u>APPEARANCE</u>  	
	04/28/2021	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	06/28/2021	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	07/21/2021		<u>APPEARANCE</u>  	
	07/22/2021	O	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	10/18/2021		<u>APPEARANCE</u>  W. Horton	
	10/26/2021		<u>APPEARANCE</u>  J. Fetzer PHD	
	02/17/2022	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	03/08/2022	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	03/08/2022	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
100.30	07/06/2018	P	<u>RETURN OF SERVICE</u> 	No
101.00	07/10/2018	P	<u>AMENDED RETURN</u> 	No
102.00	07/18/2018	D	<u>MOTION TO DISMISS PB 10-30</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Legend Code - 07/19/2018	Yes
102.10	04/29/2019	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
103.00	07/24/2018	P	<u>SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN</u>  As to Midas Resources	No
104.00	07/24/2018	P	<u>SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN</u>  As to Genesis Communications	No
105.00	07/24/2018	P	<u>SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN</u>  as to Wolfgang Halbig	No
106.00	07/31/2018	D	<u>NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT</u> 	No
107.00	07/31/2018	D	REMOVED TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
108.00	11/09/2018	P	<u>CLAIM FOR JURY OF 6</u> 	No
109.00	11/13/2018	P	<u>MOTION TO CITE ADDITIONAL PARTY</u>  Motion to Cite In and Join Plaintiff <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 11/29/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
109.10	11/29/2018	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 11/29/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
110.00	11/13/2018	P	<u>MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION</u>  Memo in Supp of P's Motion to Cite In and Join Plaintiff	No
111.00	11/13/2018	P	<u>NOTICE</u>  Notice of Filing of Amended Complaint As Of Right	No
112.00	11/13/2018	P	<u>AMENDED COMPLAINT</u> 	No
113.00	11/20/2018	D	<u>MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION</u>  Re: Motion to Cite Additional Party (109.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/29/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
113.10	11/29/2018	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/29/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
114.00	11/21/2018	C	REMANDED FROM FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
115.00	11/21/2018	C	REMANDED FROM FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT 	No

























116.00	11/21/2018	D	REQUEST FOR ARGUMENT - NON-ARG MATTER (JD-CV-128)  Re: Motion to Cite Additional Party (109.00) and Opposition (113.00)	No
117.00	11/27/2018	P	SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN  Re: Alex Jones	No
118.00	11/29/2018	P	SCHEDULING ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/30/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
118.10	11/30/2018	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/30/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
119.00	11/29/2018	P	NOTICE  Notice of Filing Amended Complaint as of Right	No
120.00	11/29/2018	P	AMENDED COMPLAINT  Amended Complaint As Of Right	No
121.00	11/29/2018	P	CLAIM FOR JURY OF 6  	No
122.00	11/29/2018	P	MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
122.10	12/17/2018	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
123.00	12/05/2018	D	SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS / COUNTERCLAIM / CROSS CLAIM  	Yes
123.10	12/05/2018	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/5/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
124.00	12/04/2018	D	OBJECTION  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
124.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
125.00	12/05/2018	P	CASEFLOW REQUEST (JD-CV-116)  re MET to file initial motions re targeted discovery <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/7/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
125.10	12/07/2018	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/7/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
126.00	12/06/2018	D	MOTION TO DISMISS  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
126.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
127.00	12/13/2018	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendant Halbig's Motion to Dismiss <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
127.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
128.00	12/13/2018	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendant Halbig's Motion to Dismiss <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
128.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
129.00	12/12/2018	D	MOTION FOR ORDER  	No
130.00	12/12/2018	D	OBJECTION  <i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
130.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
131.00	12/14/2018	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Limited Opposition to Motion to Consolidate Cases (Entry No. 122.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
131.10	12/17/2018	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/17/2018 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
132.00	12/14/2018	P	SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN  Re: Genesis Communications Network, Inc.	No
133.00	12/14/2018	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  in Opposition to Defendant Wolfgang Halbig's Motion to Recuse (Entry No. 129.00)	No

134.00	12/24/2018	D	REPLY  Part I <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 12/24/2018	No
134.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
135.00	12/24/2018	D	REPLY  Part II <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
135.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
136.00	01/08/2019	D	SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS / COUNTERCLAIM / CROSS CLAIM  to dismiss Plaintiffs? Amended	Yes
136.10	01/09/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/9/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
137.00	01/08/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  in support of Special Motion to Dismiss (Entry No. 136.00)	No
138.00	01/08/2019	D	SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS / COUNTERCLAIM / CROSS CLAIM  Special Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs Complaint	Yes
138.10	01/09/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/9/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
139.00	01/08/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Defendant Midas Resources Inc Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Dismiss	No
140.00	01/11/2019	D	AFFIDAVIT  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
140.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
141.00	01/24/2019	P	NOTICE  Notice of Service of Ps' First Set of Special Interrogatories & Requests for Production	No
142.00	01/30/2019	D	MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE  	No
143.00	01/31/2019	P	MEMORANDUM  re: Defendants' Application for Appearance Pro Hac Vice w Exhibit	No
144.00	01/31/2019	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply in Memorandum Regarding Pro Hac Vice Application of Marc Randazza (Entry No. 143.00)	No
145.00	02/01/2019	P	MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
145.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
146.00	02/05/2019	P	MEMORANDUM  Supplemental Memorandum on the Scope of Individual Depositions	No
147.00	02/11/2019	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendant, Cory Sklanka's, Opposition to Plaintiffs' Supplementary Memo on Scope of Ind Depositions	No
148.00	02/13/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Supplemental Memorandum Regarding Depositions (Entry No. 146.00)	No
149.00	02/13/2019	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Def. Halbig's Motion for Venue Change & Sanctions (Dkt. 145.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Sustained 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
149.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Sustained 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
150.00	02/13/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Re: Defendants' Response to the Plaintiffs' Supplementary Memorandum on the Scope of Individual Depo	No
151.00	02/13/2019	P	REPLY  in support of supp memo on the scope of individual depositions	No
152.00	02/13/2019	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Pl. Objection to Halbig Venue Motion & Req. for Sanctions (Entry	No

























			No. 149.00).	
153.00	02/15/2019	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5	No
154.00	02/19/2019	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION Plaintiffs' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Motion for Protective Order	No
155.00	02/19/2019	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5 Defendant, Cory Sklanka's, Motion for Entry of Protective Order as to Jones' Motion #153.00	No
156.00	02/19/2019	C	PRESIDING JUDGE REFERRAL TO COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET <i>RESULT: Order 3/8/2019 HON JAMES ABRAMS</i>	No
156.10	03/08/2019	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Order 3/8/2019 HON JAMES ABRAMS</i>	No
157.00	02/20/2019	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM in Support of Motion for Protective Order (Entry No. 153.00)	No
158.00	02/21/2019	D	PROPOSED ORDER Protective Order, PB 13-5, per Court Revisions	No
159.00	02/22/2019	P	REPLY P's Response Concerning Proposed Protective Order	No
160.00	02/22/2019	D	PROPOSED ORDER Protective Order, PB 13-5, per Court Revisions, with edit per Plaintiffs' Response	No
161.00	02/22/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13	No
162.00	02/25/2019	D	REPLY	No
163.00	03/01/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER OF COMPLIANCE – PB SEC 13-14 (INTERR/PROD – 13-6/13-9) Motion to Compel	No
164.00	03/04/2019	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION	No
165.00	03/05/2019	P	NOTICE of Consent to Referral to Complex Litigation Docket	No
166.00	03/05/2019	P	NOTICE of Consent to Referral to Complex Litigation Docket	No
167.00	03/05/2019	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION of #166 filed in error - wrong docket	No
168.00	03/06/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDER	No
169.00	03/07/2019	P	MEMORANDUM in Response to Jones Defendants' MET to Comply with Discovery of 3.6.19	No
170.00	03/08/2019	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Order 3/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
171.00	03/08/2019	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Order 3/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
172.33	03/08/2019	C	TRANSFERRED FROM SUPERIOR COURT JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FAIRFIELD	No
173.33	03/08/2019	C	TRANSFERRED TO SUPERIOR COURT JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF WATERBURY	No
174.00	03/18/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDER <i>RESULT: Denied 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
174.10	03/20/2019	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Denied 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
175.00	03/19/2019	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME Obj to Jones Ds' Third Motion for Extension of Time <i>RESULT: Sustained 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
175.10	03/20/2019	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Sustained 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
176.00	03/19/2019	P	CASEFLOW REQUEST (JD-CV-116) Re: #174.00 & #175.00	No
177.00	03/20/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER for sanctions against the Jones defendants	No


























178.00	03/21/2019	P	<u>REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)</u>  re Motion for Sanctions # 177.00	Yes
179.00	03/21/2019	D	<u>MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13</u> 	No
180.00	03/25/2019	P	<u>MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION</u>  Suppl Memo in Support of Motion for Sanctions Against the Jones Defendants	No
181.00	04/02/2019	P	<u>MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION</u>  Second Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Motion for Sanctions	No
182.00	04/10/2019	P	<u>NOTICE</u>  Notice of Filing Served Requests for Production	No
183.00	04/11/2019	D	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER OF COMPLIANCE – PB SEC 13-14 (INTERR/PROD – 13-6/13-9)</u> 	No
184.00	04/16/2019	D	<u>AFFIDAVIT</u> 	No
185.00	04/18/2019	P	<u>MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION</u>  Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Compliance	No
186.00	04/22/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Compliance	No
187.00	04/18/2019	D	<u>MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDICIAL AUTHORITY PB 1-23</u>  Halbig's renewed and supplemental motion to recuse <i>RESULT: Denied 5/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
187.10	05/08/2019	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT: Denied 5/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
188.00	04/18/2019	D	<u>REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)</u>  Halbig's motion to recuse	Yes
189.00	04/18/2019	P	<u>REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)</u>  Halbig's objection to ex parte communications	Yes
190.00	04/18/2019	D	<u>REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)</u>  Halbig's dismiss lack of personal & subject matter	Yes
191.00	04/18/2019	D	<u>REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)</u>  Halbig's motion for sanctions-pretrial publicity	Yes
192.00	04/18/2019	D	<u>REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)</u>  Halbig's objection to discovery	Yes
193.00	04/18/2019	D	<u>OBJECTION</u>  Halbig's objection order re: Halbig's deposition Last Updated: Legend Code - 04/22/2019	No
194.00	04/22/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  for discovery regarding compliance	No
195.00	04/25/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Re: Additional Motion to Compel Jones Defendants' Responses	No
196.00	04/29/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Motion for Relief Concerning the Alex Jones False Affidavit	No
197.00	04/29/2019	P	<u>NOTICE</u>  Notice of Matters Ready for Argument	No
198.00	04/30/2019	D	<u>MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION</u>  For Further Discovery Proceedings	No
199.00	05/17/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  AJ	No
200.00	05/17/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  FSS	No
201.00	05/17/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  IW LLC	No
202.00	05/17/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  IWH LLC	No
203.00	05/17/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  PPTV	No
204.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION</u>  Re: Motion # 199, #200, #201, #202, #203	No
205.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u> 	No











Re: Alex Emric Jones					
206.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  Re: Free Speech Systems, LLC	No	
207.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  Re: Infowars, LLC	No	
208.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  Re: Infowars Health LLC	No	
209.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  Re: Prison Planet TV LLC	No	
210.00	05/29/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Motion to Compel Adequate Responses to Ps' Limited, Court-Ordered Requests for Production	No	
211.00	05/30/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Motion to Compel Production of Alex Jones' Personal Email Metadata	No	
212.00	06/10/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Supplemental Memo ISO Motion to Compel Adequate Responses to Pltfs Limited Court Ordered RFPs	No	
213.00	06/14/2019	P	<u>OBJECTION TO MOTION</u>  Objection to Jones Defendants' Request for Clarification	No	
214.00	06/14/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Motion for Ruling on Other Outstanding Discovery Issues	No	
215.00	06/17/2019	P	<u>NOTICE</u>  Plaintiffs Motion for Review of Broadcast by Alex Jones Threatening Plaintiffs' Counsel	No	
216.00	06/19/2019	C	<u>TRANSCRIPT</u>  Transcript of 6.18.19 hearing	No	
217.00	06/21/2019	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Disclosure <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/21/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
218.00	07/01/2019	C	<u>SCHEDULING ORDER</u>  Agreed to by counsel on 6/18/19 status conference	No	
219.00	07/18/2019	P	<u>NOTICE</u>  Notice of Filing Motion for Rectification <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/31/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
219.10	07/31/2019	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/31/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
220.00	05/04/2020	D	<u>MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/5/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes	
220.10	05/05/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order scheduling 6/9/2020 telephone hearing <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/5/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
221.00	05/06/2020	D	<u>MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes	
221.10	05/06/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order scheduling 6/9/20 telephone hearing <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 05/06/2020	No	
222.00	05/18/2020	D	<u>WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION</u>  TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL	No	
223.00	05/28/2020	D	<u>WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION</u> 	No	
224.00	05/28/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  6/9/2020 hearing is off <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/28/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
225.00	06/24/2020	D	<u>MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE</u>  AS COUNSEL <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/24/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes	
225.10	06/24/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/24/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
226.00	07/07/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order marking off 7/9/20 hearing <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/7/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	

226.50	07/23/2020	C	<u>APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL</u>  Sanctions orders affirmed	No
227.00	07/29/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order postponing the 7/30 status conference <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/29/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
228.00	08/14/2020	D	<u>MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE</u>  as counsel for Cory T. Sklanka <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 9/8/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
228.10	08/17/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Order scheduling 9/8/20 remote hearing <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/17/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
228.20	09/08/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 9/8/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
229.00	10/09/2020	D	<u>MOTION TO STRIKE</u>  Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint (Entry No. 112) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
229.10	11/18/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  See memorandum of decision #472 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
230.00	10/09/2020	D	<u>MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION</u>  in support of Motion to Strike (Entry No. 229)	No
231.00	10/23/2020	D	<u>BRIEF</u>  Defendants' Brief in Support of Continued Stay (see Entry No. 296.00)	No
232.00	10/23/2020	P	<u>WITHDRAWAL OF ACTION AGAINST PARTICULAR DEFENDANT(S) – CASE REMAINS PENDING</u>  against Cory Sklanka	No
233.00	10/23/2020	P	<u>MEMORANDUM</u>  Concerning the Status of Discovery	No
234.00	10/27/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Order: Strike filing dates / denial request stay <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/27/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
235.00	10/27/2020	D	<u>WITHDRAWAL</u>  138.00 and 139.00 withdrawal without prejudice subject to finalization of the settlement	No
236.00	11/06/2020	D	<u>OBJECTION TO DEPOSITION</u>  Melinda Flores <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
236.10	04/29/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
237.00	11/06/2020	D	<u>OBJECTION TO DEPOSITION</u>  Daria Karpova <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
237.10	04/29/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
238.00	11/09/2020	P	<u>REPLY</u>  to Jones Defs Objections to Depo Notices of Karpova and Flores <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
238.10	04/29/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
239.00	11/12/2020	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Motion to Re-Compel Compliance <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
239.10	05/14/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
240.00	11/16/2020	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  re: Procedures for Noticing and Taking Depositions <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
240.10	05/12/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
241.00	11/16/2020	P	<u>REPLY MEMORANDUM</u>  Supplement in Support of Reply to Jones Ds' Objections to Notices of Deposition <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
























241.10	04/29/2021	C	ORDER	No
<i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
242.00	11/18/2020	D	NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
243.00	11/18/2020	P	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION	No
244.00	11/18/2020	D	REMOVED TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
244.50	03/05/2021	C	REMANDED FROM FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT <i>Last Updated:</i> Legend Code - 04/07/2021	No
245.00	04/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF ACTION AGAINST PARTICULAR DEFENDANT(S) – CASE REMAINS PENDING As to Wolfgang Halbig	No
246.00	04/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF ACTION AGAINST PARTICULAR DEFENDANT(S) – CASE REMAINS PENDING As to Midas Resources, Inc.	No
247.00	04/14/2021	C	ORDER Order re: status conferences and strike deadlines <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
248.00	04/15/2021	C	ORDER Scheduling order by COurt <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
249.00	04/16/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT 4/14/21 on the record status conference <i>Last Updated:</i> Additional Description - 04/16/2021	No
250.00	04/21/2021	P	MOTION FOR DEFAULT -FAILURE TO APPEAR PB 17-20 as to Genesis Communications Network, Inc. <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 4/26/2021 BY THE CLERK	No
250.10	04/26/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
250.20	04/27/2021	C	ORDER Court vacates order as done too early <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
251.00	04/23/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE BRIEF re respond to Jones Ds' Motion to Strike <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
251.10	04/26/2021	C	ORDER Order re: objection due today or motion is granted <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS <i>Last Updated:</i> Additional Description - 04/26/2021	No
252.00	04/28/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM Reply in Support of Objections (Entry Nos, 236.00 & 237.00)	No
253.00	04/29/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION Plaintiffs' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Motion to Strike	No
254.00	05/05/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5 Emergency Motion re May 6 & 7 Depositions <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
254.10	05/06/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
255.00	05/05/2021	D	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION Re: Emergency Motion 254.00	No
256.00	05/05/2021	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) Re: Emergency Motion 254.00	Yes
257.00	05/05/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Memorandum in Response to the Jones Defendants' Emergency Motion for Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
257.10	05/06/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
258.00	05/05/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM Reply in Support of Emergency Motion for Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
258.10	05/06/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
259.00	05/05/2021			No























			MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION 	
			Objection to Motion to Re-Compel Compliance, Entry No. 239.00 <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	
259.10	05/14/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
260.00	05/05/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Limited Opposition to Motion re Deposition Procedure, Entry No. 240.00 <i>RESULT: Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
260.10	05/12/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
261.00	05/05/2021	C	ORDER  Order re: hearing on 5/6/21 <i>RESULT: Order 5/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
262.00	05/05/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion to Compel Compliance with Plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests for Production <i>RESULT: Withdrawn 5/6/2021 BY THE PLAINTIFF</i>	No
263.00	05/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  Re: DN 262, Motion to Compel Compliance with Plaintiffs Second Set of Requests for Production	No
264.00	05/07/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 5/6/21 status confrence	No
265.00	05/11/2021	D	MOTION FOR STAY  AND NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF DUTY OF CANDOR <i>RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
265.10	05/19/2021	C	ORDER  briefing schedule <i>RESULT: Order 5/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
265.20	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
266.00	05/12/2021	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply Memo in Support of Their Motion for Order Re Procedures for Noticing and Taking Depositions <i>RESULT: Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
266.10	05/12/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
267.00	05/14/2021	P	REPLY  Reply in Support of DN 309, Motion to Re-Compel Compliance <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
267.10	05/14/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
268.00	05/19/2021	C	ORDER  filing deadlines from 5/19/21 status conference <i>RESULT: Order 5/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
269.00	05/20/2021	D	OBJECTION RE DISCOVERY OR DISCLOSURE  OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS <i>RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
269.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
270.00	05/21/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendants' Supplement to Motion for Stay (Entry No. 265.00)	No
271.00	05/21/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  And Objections to Haarmann & Riley Deposition Notices <i>RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
271.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
272.00	05/27/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion for Protective Order <i>RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
272.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
273.00	05/28/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to Motion for Stay and Claim of Candor Violation	No

























RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
273.10	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
274.00	05/28/2021	P	MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY  Motion to Substitute Estate of Jeremy Richman for Individual Plaintiff Jeremy Richman RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
274.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
274.20	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
275.00	05/28/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Substitute Estate of Jeremy Richman	No	
276.00	06/01/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Emergency Motion for Protective Order re 6-3-21 production RESULT: Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
276.10	06/02/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
277.00	06/01/2021	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re Emergency Motion 276.00	Yes	
278.00	06/01/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Re Motion for Protective Order/Objections Entry No. 271.00 RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
278.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
279.00	06/01/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Jones Defendants' Emergency Motion for Protective Order RESULT: Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
279.10	06/02/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
280.00	06/02/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Supplement to Objection to Emergency Motion for Protective Order	No	
281.00	06/04/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  In Support of Motion to Strike, Entry 229.00	No	
282.00	06/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Substitute (274.00) RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
282.10	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
283.00	06/07/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Re Motion for Stay/Notice of Lack of Candor Entry 265.00 RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
283.10	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
284.00	06/08/2021	P	MOTION TO MODIFY - GENERAL  Motion to Modify Protective Order RESULT: Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
284.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
285.00	06/08/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re Corporate Representative Depositions 6/23-24 RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
285.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
286.00	06/09/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Mot for Ext of Time to Object or Otherwise Respond to Re-Notice of Deposition of Richard Coan	No	
287.00	06/09/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re Motion for Extension of Time RESULT: Order 6/10/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes	
287.10	06/10/2021	C	ORDER 	No	























RESULT: Order 6/10/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
288.00	06/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Mot for Ext of Time to Obj or Otherwise Resp to Re-ND of J. Hensel as Executrix of Est of J. Richman RESULT: Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
288.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
289.00	06/11/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion for Extension 286.00	No	
290.00	06/11/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re Motion for Extension of Time RESULT: Order 6/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes	
290.10	06/14/2021	C	ORDER  Order 6/16/21 due date objection to ext of time RESULT: Order 6/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
291.00	06/11/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  RESULT: Order 6/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
291.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
291.20	06/29/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
292.00	06/15/2021	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Motion re Corp Rep Depositions Entry 285.00	Yes	
293.00	06/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re: Defendants' Notice of Deposition of Jennifer Hensel as Executrix of Estate of Jeremy Richman RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
293.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
293.20	06/30/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
294.00	06/16/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Re Executrix Motion for Extension Entry No. 288.00 RESULT: Overruled 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
294.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Overruled 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
295.00	06/16/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Modify Entry No. 284.00 RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
295.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
296.00	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  Due date for filing protective order RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
296.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  order correcting the reply due date RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
297.00	06/16/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion for Protective Order Regarding Corporate Designee Deposition Notices	No	
298.00	06/17/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Motion for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition of Daniel J. Bidondi RESULT: Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
298.10	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
299.00	06/17/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 6/16/21 status conference	No	
300.00	06/18/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Re Corporate Representative Depositions Entry No. 285.00	No	
301.00	06/18/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re Plaintiffs' Depositions RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
























301.10	06/30/2021	C	ORDER	No
granted in part and denied in part <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
302.00	06/23/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Opposition to Motion for Commissions Entry 291.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
302.10	06/29/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
303.00	06/25/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Opposition to Executrix MPO Entry No. 293.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
303.10	06/30/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
304.00	06/28/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Compliance with First Special Discovery Requests Entry 141.00	No
305.00	06/28/2021	D	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
305.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
306.00	06/28/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Opposition to Motion re Plaintiff Deposition Entry No 301.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
306.10	06/30/2021	C	ORDER See ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
307.00	06/29/2021	P	REPLY Reply ISO Plaintiff Commissions to Take Out of State Depositions	No
308.00	06/29/2021	P	REPLY ISO Ps Mot for Prot Ord Against Jones Ds ND J. Hensel as Exec of W/D P Estate of Jeremy Richman	No
309.00	06/30/2021	P	REPLY ISO Motion for Protective Order Regarding Ps' Depositions	No
310.00	06/30/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13 Answer and Respond to Jones Defendants' ROGS and RFPS dated May 14, 2021 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
310.10	07/02/2021	C	ORDER Objection due 7/9/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
310.20	07/09/2021	C	ORDER Discovery deadlines <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
311.00	07/01/2021	D	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION	No
312.00	07/01/2021	D	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
312.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
312.20	08/05/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
313.00	07/02/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Response to Motion for Commission re Bidondi Entry No. 298.00	No
314.00	07/02/2021	C	ORDER sanctions filing deadlines <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
315.00	07/02/2021	C	ORDER ruling settlement deposition questions <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
316.00	07/02/2021	C	ORDER Strike filing deadlines <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
317.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION	No

Motion for Commission to Take Out-of-State Deposition (Kurt Nimmo) <i>RESULT: Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>				
317.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
318.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Motion for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition of Timothy Fruge	No
319.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION FOR SANCTIONS – PB SEC 13-4 (EXPERT)  Motion for Sanctions re Confidential Disclosure-07-06-2021	No
320.00	07/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  319.00 - Inadvertently filed under wrong heading	No
321.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Based on the Jones Defendants' Violation of the Protective Order <i>RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
321.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
322.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion for Sanctions re Flores Production (Redacted) <i>RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
322.10	07/29/2021	C	ORDER  opposition due 7/27/21, reply due 8/3/21 <i>RESULT: Order 7/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
322.20	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on #351 <i>RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
323.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading <i>RESULT: Order 7/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
323.10	07/08/2021	C	ORDER  Hearing on 7/21/21 <i>RESULT: Order 7/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
323.20	07/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 7/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
324.00	07/06/2021	P	MOTION TO QUASH  Motion to Quash and/or for Protective Order re Richard Coan Subpoena Duces Tecum <i>RESULT: Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
324.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
324.20	08/23/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i> Last Updated: Entry Number - 08/23/2021	No
324.30	11/08/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
325.00	07/07/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 7/2/21 status conference	No
326.00	07/08/2021	P	MEMORANDUM  Pl.'s Response to Jones Defs.' Motion for Commission for Deposition of Wolfgang Halbig <i>RESULT: Order 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
326.10	07/30/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
327.00	07/09/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Limited Objection to Motion for Extension Entry No. 310.00 <i>RESULT: Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
327.10	07/09/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
328.00	07/12/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Motion for Commission (Hillary Clinton) <i>RESULT: Sustained 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
328.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Sustained 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
























329.00	07/13/2021	P	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Plaintiffs' Omnibus Objections to the Infowars Defendants' Interrogatories to Each Plaintiff <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
329.10	08/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
330.00	07/13/2021	P	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Plaintiffs' Omnibus Objections to the Infowars Defendants' Requests for Production to Each Plaintiff <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
330.10	08/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
331.00	07/13/2021	P	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Plaintiff's Supplemental Objection to Infowars Defendants' RFPs (Marital Privilege Objection)	No
332.00	07/13/2021	P	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Plaintiffs' Objection to Infowars Defendants' RFPs for Jennifer Hensel (as individual and executrix) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
332.10	08/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/23/2021 BY THE CLERK	No
332.11	08/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Correcting clerk error in pleading number <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/23/2021 BY THE CLERK Last Updated: Entry Number - 08/23/2021	No
333.00	07/16/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION</u>  Supplemental Motion for Commission for OOS Depo. of Timothy Fruge 7-16-21 <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
333.10	07/21/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
334.00	07/16/2021	D	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  LLC Defendant Objections to 2nd Interrogatories and 3rd RPDs <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
334.10	08/24/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
335.00	07/16/2021	D	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Alex Jones Objections to 2nd Interrogatories and 3rd RPDs <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
335.10	08/24/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
336.00	07/16/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER</u>  Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Limiting Jones Defendants' Deposition Examinations <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
336.10	07/19/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
336.20	07/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
337.00	07/19/2021	D	<u>REPLY</u>  Tom Motion for Sanctions Based On the Jones Defendants' Violation of the Protective Order	No
338.00	07/20/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION</u>  Suppl. Mot. for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition (Robert Jacobson) <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
338.10	07/21/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
339.00	07/20/2021	P	<u>REPLY</u>  Reply ISO Mot for Sanctions Based on the Jones Ds' Violation of the Protective Order	No
340.00	07/20/2021	P	<u>MEMORANDUM</u>  Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum re: Plaintiffs' Motion to File Unredacted Pleading Under Seal	No























341.00	07/20/2021	P	<u>MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER</u>  Plaintiffs' MET to Complete Fact Discovery and Disclose Plaintiffs' Experts <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
341.10	07/21/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
341.20	07/30/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
342.00	07/21/2021	D	<u>MEMORANDUM</u>  Response to Motion to Seal Entry No. 323.00	No
343.00	07/21/2021	D	<u>MEMORANDUM</u>  Response to Motions for Commissions Entry No. 317, 318, 333 & 338 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
343.10	07/21/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
344.00	07/21/2021	D	<u>MOTION TO STRIKE</u>  m/strike by defendant Genesis Communications Network <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 11/24/2021 BY THE CLERK	Yes
344.10	11/24/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  See memorandum of decision #478 <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 11/24/2021 BY THE CLERK	No
345.00	07/21/2021	D	<u>MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION</u>  mem/support m/strike by defendant Genesis Communications Network, Entry 344.00	No
345.25	07/21/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Order re: all attorneys sign filings <i>RESULT</i> : Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated : Entry Number - 07/30/2021	No
345.50	07/21/2021	P	<u>DOCUMENT SEALED</u> <i>RESULT</i> : Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated : Additional Description - 07/29/2021	No
345.51	07/21/2021	C	<u>ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT</u> 	Yes
345.52	07/30/2021	C	<u>ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT</u>  Corrected sealing order re: #345.50	Yes
345.53	07/30/2021	C	<u>ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT</u>  corrected error in docket number re: #345.52	Yes
345.54	08/06/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  See ruling on #351 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
345.60	07/22/2021	C	<u>TRANSCRIPT</u>  transcript of 7/21/21 hearing and status conferenc	No
346.00	07/22/2021	O	<u>MOTION TO QUASH</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
346.10	07/26/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Objections due 8/6/21 and reply due 8/20/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 7/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
346.20	08/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
347.00	07/23/2021	D	<u>MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION</u>  Opposition to Motion to Limit Deposition Examination Entry 336.00	No
348.00	07/23/2021	O	<u>REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 7/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
348.10	07/26/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  objection due 8/6/21 and reply due 8/20/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 7/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
349.00	07/26/2021	P	<u>AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION</u>  Affidavit Re Attempts to Resolve the Jones Ds' Objs to Ps' Second Reqs for Production	No
350.00	07/27/2021	D	<u>MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION</u>  Objection to Plaintiffs Motion for Sanction Entry No. 322.00 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
350.10	08/06/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  See ruling on #351	No
























RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
351.00	07/27/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Amended Motion for Sanctions re Flores Production (Partially Redacted DN 395.00) RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
351.10	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  part 1 RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 08/06/2021	No	
351.11	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  part 2 RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
352.00	07/27/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Amended Motion for Sanctions re Flores Production (Partially Redacted DN 395.00) RESULT: Withdrawn 7/29/2021 BY THE PLAINTIFF	No	
353.00	07/28/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Motion for Extension of Time to File Objection to D Genesis' Motion to Strike RESULT: Granted 8/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
353.10	08/18/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Granted 8/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
354.00	07/29/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  Withdrawal of DN 352.00 Motion for Order (due to duplicate filing)	No	
355.00	07/30/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion for Extension of Time (Entry No. 341.00) RESULT: Order 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
355.10	07/30/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
356.00	08/03/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply re Motion for Commission (Clinton) Entry No 311.00 & 312.00 RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
356.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
357.00	08/03/2021	P	REPLY  ISO Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions (DN 322.00) RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
357.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
357.20	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
358.00	08/04/2021	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  RESULT: Order 8/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
358.10	08/04/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 8/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
359.00	08/04/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13  re: Service of Compliance to Jones Defts. Rogs.and Non ESI and Non Medical Record Disc. RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
359.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling motion to modify RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
360.00	08/04/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION OF DISCOVERY OR DEPOSITION DISPUTE (JD-CV-119)  re: Motion for Extension of Time Motion No. 359.00	No	
361.00	08/06/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Partial Opposition to Motion for Extension (Entry No. 359.00)	No	
362.00	08/06/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motions to Quash Subpoena to Trustee (324.00 & 346.00) RESULT: Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
362.10	11/08/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling underlying motion	No	

RESULT: Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
363.00	08/09/2021	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  For Leave to File Surreply re Motion for Sanction (322.00) RESULT: Denied 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
363.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Denied 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
364.00	08/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION-COURT ORDER 	No
365.00	08/10/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Limited Opposition to the Jones Ds' Mot for Leave to File Surreply in Opp to Motion for Sanctions RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
365.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
366.00	08/12/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  PB Sec 13-10 Notice of Claim of Objections for Adjudication with Attached Affidavit	Yes
367.00	08/13/2021	D	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION  Re Plaintiffs Objections (Entry Nos. 324, 329, 330, 331, 332)	No
368.00	08/17/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication re: DN 353.00 (MET to file Objection to Genesis Mot to Strike)	Yes
369.00	08/20/2021	P	NOTICE  Pls? Resp. to Defs. Notice of Filing Claim of Obj. for Adjudication (DN 444)	No
370.00	08/20/2021	P	NOTICE  Notice of Filing Corrected Affidavit for DN 369.00	No
371.00	08/24/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion for Sanctions re Google Analytics and Social Media Data RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
371.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  objection 9/14, reply 9/23, surreply 9/25 RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
371.20	09/30/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
371.21	09/30/2021	C	ORDER  order 371.20 continued RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
372.00	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  D brief 9/9, P brief 9/24, reply 10/1 RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
373.00	08/24/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (371.00) RESULT: Withdrawn 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
373.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Withdrawn 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
374.00	08/25/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to Genesis Defendant's Motion to Strike (DN 344.00)	No
375.00	09/02/2021	D	NOTICE  Notice of Receipt of Settlement Documents	No
376.00	09/07/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Motion for Order Regarding and to Seal the Jones Defendants' Notice of Possession of Documents RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 09/08/2021	Yes
376.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 10/7, reply due 10/12 RESULT: Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
376.20	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
377.00	09/09/2021	D	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion for Order to Overrule Objections to RPD 2 and 3 RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
377.10	10/01/2021			No

			ORDER	
			<i>RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	
378.00	09/09/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions re Manufactured Trial Balances <i>RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
378.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER objection due 10/7, reply due 10/15 <i>RESULT: Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
378.20	11/15/2021	C	ORDER See ruling entered on the record on today's date <i>RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
379.00	09/14/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Opposition to Motion for Sanctions re Analytics Entry No. 371.00 <i>RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
379.10	09/30/2021	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
380.00	09/16/2021	P	MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY PI E. Lafferty's Mot. to Subst R. Coan, Trustee of the Bkrptcy Est for Indiv PI E. Lafferty <i>RESULT: Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
380.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER objection due 10/7, reply due 10/15 <i>RESULT: Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
380.20	10/20/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
381.00	09/16/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION Me in Sup of PI E. Lafferty's Motto Sub R. Coan, Trust. of the Bkrpt. Est for Indiv PI E. Lafferty	No
382.00	09/20/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript of 9/17/21 telephonic conference call	No
383.00	09/22/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM Genesis's reply to plaintiffs' objection to m/strike	No
384.00	09/22/2021	P	MOTION TO STRIKE Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Genesis's Late Reply Brief (DN 383.00) <i>RESULT: Denied 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
384.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Denied 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
385.00	09/22/2021	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION objection to m/strike reply <i>RESULT: Sustained 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
385.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Sustained 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
386.00	09/23/2021	P	REPLY Plaintiffs' Reply ISO Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions re Google Analytics (371.00)	No
387.00	09/23/2021	D	NOTICE Notice of Filing Trial Court Decision re Entry 377.00	No
388.00	09/23/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Response to Motion to Seal Entry No. 373.00	No
389.00	09/23/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13 PLAINTIFFS? MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE PRODUCTION OF ESI AND MEDICAL RECORDS <i>RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
389.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER objection due 10/1, reply due 10/5 <i>RESULT: Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
389.20	10/05/2021	C	ORDER granted as to supp. complainece/ PB13-15 cont duty <i>RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
390.00	09/24/2021	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF Surreply to Motion for Sanctions Entry 371.00 <i>RESULT: Granted 9/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No

390.10	09/25/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 9/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
391.00	09/24/2021	P	OBJECTION RE DISCOVERY OR DISCLOSURE  Plaintiffs' Objection to Jones Defendants' Motion to Overrule Objection to RFPs 2 & 3 (DN 377) <i>RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
391.10	10/01/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion. <i>RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
392.00	09/27/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 8/24/21 status conference	No
393.00	09/30/2021	P	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  To file objection to Reiland Affidavit	No
394.00	10/01/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply re Motion to Overrule - Entry 377.00 <i>RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
394.10	10/01/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion. <i>RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
395.00	10/01/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion for Extension--Entry 389.00 <i>RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
395.10	10/05/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
396.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Sherlach's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
397.00	10/05/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Motion for Additional Protective Measures to Protect Plaintiffs' Disclosures <i>RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
397.10	10/05/2021	C	ORDER  objection 10/8/21 by noon, no reply <i>RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
398.00	10/05/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Request for Adjudication re DN 397.00	Yes
399.00	10/05/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 9/23/21 hearing / status conference	No
400.00	10/05/2021	P	REPLY  Reply In Support Of Ps' Motion for Ext of Time to Complete Production of ESI and Medical Records	No
401.00	10/07/2021	P	MEMORANDUM  Memorandum in Support of Default Based on the Jones Defendants' Litigation Misconduct	No
402.00	10/07/2021	C	ORDER  re: 10/20/21 hearing on seal/dismiss/sanctions <i>RESULT: Order 10/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
403.00	10/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion to Substitute Trustee - Entry 380.00 <i>RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
403.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
404.00	10/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion for Sanctions re Trial Balances - Entry 378.00 <i>RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
404.10	11/15/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling entered on the record on today's date <i>RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
405.00	10/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion to Seal Notice - Entry 376.00 <i>RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
405.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion	No

























RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
406.00	10/08/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion for Protective Measures - Entry 397.00	No	
407.00	10/12/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jones Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests	No	
408.00	10/12/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Sherlach's Notice of Compliance Dated 10/8/21 (re: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No	
409.00	10/12/2021	P	REPLY  ISO Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal (455.00) the Jones Defendants' Notice of Possession of Documents	No	
410.00	10/14/2021	D	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  and Notice of Lodging Jacobson Deposition Transcript RESULT: Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/14/2021	Yes	
410.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  Any objection must be filed on or before 11/3/21 RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
410.20	11/17/2021	C	ORDER  Granted in part; denied in part RESULT: Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
410.30	11/29/2021	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  sealing #480.50 unredacted Jacobson deposition	Yes	
411.00	10/15/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Plaintiffs' Motion for One-Day Extension Of Time to file Reply to DN 404.00 RESULT: Order 10/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
411.10	10/15/2021	C	ORDER  One day extension granted over objection. RESULT: Order 10/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
411.11	10/15/2021	C	ORDER  Supp. order 10/20/21 due date RESULT: Order 10/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
412.00	10/15/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication re: DN 411.00 (One-day MET for Reply re Trial Balances)	Yes	
413.00	10/15/2021	P	REPLY  Plaintiffs' Reply ISO Motion to Substitute R. Coan, Trustee for Plaintiff Erica Lafferty (DN 380.00)	No	
414.00	10/18/2021	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Plaintiffs' Reply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (DN 378.00 & DN 404.00)	No	
414.10	01/20/2022	C	DOCUMENT SEALED	No	
415.00	10/18/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (DN 414.00) RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/19/2021	Yes	
415.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  Any objection must be filed on or before 11/3/21 RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
415.20	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
415.30	01/20/2022	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  order sealing #414.10	Yes	
416.00	10/19/2021	C	ORDER  subpoena due date 5:00 pm 10/19/21 RESULT: Order 10/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
417.00	10/19/2021	P	NOTICE  Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Copy of R. Jacobson's Subpoena (pursuant to DN 416.00)	No	
418.00	10/20/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to request for entry of default (Entry No. 401.00)	No	
419.00	10/20/2021	D	MOTION FOR ORDER  TO RECUSE JUDGE BELLIS RESULT: Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	

419.10	10/21/2021	C	ORDER  Response due 10/27/21, reply due 11/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
419.20	11/04/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
420.00	10/20/2021	D	AFFIDAVIT  IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RECUSE JUDGE BELLIS	No
421.00	10/20/2021	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  For Leave to File Surreply re Trial Balances (Entry No. 378.00) -Redacted <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
421.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
421.20	01/20/2022	C	DOCUMENT SEALED	No
422.00	10/21/2021	D	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Re Unredacted Affidavit of Robert Roe (Entry 421.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/21/2021	Yes
422.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
422.20	01/20/2022	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  order sealing #421.20	Yes
423.00	10/21/2021	C	ORDER  Show Cause hearing notification <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
424.00	10/22/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  10/20/21 hearing transcript	No
425.00	10/22/2021	C	ORDER  order re: 11/5/21 and 11/17/21 hearings <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
426.00	10/22/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  For Sanctions RE: Google Analytics & Social Medial Data (Unredacted Version of DN 371.00)	No
427.00	10/22/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re Questions to Joshua Owens <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 10/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
427.10	10/25/2021	C	ORDER  denied <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 10/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
428.00	10/22/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  To Allow Deposition Questioning (and Objection to Defendants' Motion for Protective Order) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
428.10	10/25/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on motion for protective order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
429.00	10/22/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (DN 428.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/25/2021	Yes
429.10	11/17/2021	C	ORDER  privacy interest overridden by public's interest <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
430.00	10/25/2021	P	NOTICE  Plaintiffs' Notice of Violation of the Protective Order	No
431.00	10/26/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to purported Notice of Violation (Entry 430.00)	No
432.00	10/26/2021	C	ORDER  R. Jacobson depo to Chief Disciplinary Counsel <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
433.00	10/26/2021	O	MOTION TO INTERVENE  motion intervene & motion recuse Judge Bellis <i>RESULT:</i> Rejected 10/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
433.10	10/27/2021	C	ORDER  	No

RESULT: Rejected 10/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
434.00	10/26/2021	P	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF	No	
For Leave to File Attached Surreply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (DN 378.00) RESULT: Granted 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
434.10	10/29/2021	C	ORDER	No	
RESULT: Granted 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
434.20	01/20/2022	C	DOCUMENT SEALED	No	
435.00	10/26/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT	Yes	
Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (DN 434.00) RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/27/2021					
435.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER	No	
RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
435.20	01/20/2022	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT	Yes	
order sealing #434.20					
436.00	10/27/2021	D	MEMORANDUM	No	
Response concerning m/intervene, entry 433.00 in this docket					
437.00	10/27/2021	D	MEMORANDUM	No	
Defendant Genesis Communications's response to codefendants m/recuse, entry 419.00 in this docket					
438.00	10/27/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION	No	
Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Recuse Judge Bellis (DN 519) RESULT: Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
438.10	11/04/2021	C	ORDER	No	
see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
439.00	10/27/2021	D	EXHIBITS	No	
Statewide Grievance Decision					
440.00	10/28/2021	D	NOTICE	No	
Notice of Filing Grievance Decision re Entry Nos. 419.10 & 439.00					
441.00	10/28/2021	D	EXHIBITS	No	
A-P AS AN ATTACHMENT TO #420 AFFIDAVIT					
442.00	10/29/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION	No	
Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Sur-Surreply - Entry 434.00 RESULT: Overruled 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
442.10	10/29/2021	C	ORDER	No	
RESULT: Overruled 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
443.00	11/01/2021	P	NOTICE	No	
Notice of Plaintiff R. Coan's Partial Withdrawal of DN 324 & DN 346					
444.00	11/01/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION	No	
Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission (Youtube) RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
444.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER	No	
court will do in camera review if necessary RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
445.00	11/01/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION	No	
Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission (Facebook, Inc.) RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
445.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER	No	
court will do in camera review if necessary RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
446.00	11/01/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION	No	
Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission (Twitter, Inc.) RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
446.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER	No	
court will do in camera review if necessary RESULT: Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
447.00	11/02/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER	No	
Motion to Compel Consent to Disclosure of Social Media Data and Analytics RESULT: Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
447.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER	No	

























			order to attach to subpoena <i>RESULT: Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	
448.00	11/03/2021	P	NOTICE Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Supplemental Exhibit F (Proposed Subpoena) to DN 444.00 (Youtube, LLC)	No
449.00	11/03/2021	P	NOTICE Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Supplemental Exhibit E (Proposed Subpoena) to DN 445.00 (Facebook, Inc)	No
450.00	11/03/2021	P	NOTICE Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Supplemental Exhibit E (Proposed Subpoena) to DN 446.00 (Twitter, Inc)	No
451.00	11/03/2021	D	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RECUSE JUDGE BELLIS	No
452.00	11/03/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Response to Motion to Seal - Entry 415.00 <i>RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
452.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
453.00	11/04/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Compliance with document requests to Owen Shroyer	No
454.00	11/04/2021	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10 Objections to document requests to Owen Shroyer	No
455.00	11/05/2021	C	ORDER due dates re: #444-450 obj due 11/15, reply 11/19 <i>RESULT: Order 11/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
456.00	11/09/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript 11/5/21 hearing and status conference	No
457.00	11/09/2021	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10 Objections to document requests to Christopher Daniels	No
458.00	11/09/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Compliance with document requests to Christopher Daniels	No
459.00	11/10/2021	O	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT Motion to Seal Response to Order to Show Cause <i>RESULT: Withdrawn 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
459.10	11/29/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Withdrawn 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
460.00	11/10/2021	O	REPLY Response to 10/20/2021 Order to Show Cause, Entry Nos. 424, 425 <i>RESULT: Order 11/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
460.10	11/12/2021	C	ORDER Show caused continued to 12/15/21 <i>RESULT: Order 11/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
461.00	11/12/2021	D	DOCUMENT SEALED <i>RESULT: Denied 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
461.10	11/12/2021	C	ORDER Sealed by court PB 4-7 personal identifying info <i>RESULT: Order 11/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
461.11	11/15/2021	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT	Yes
461.20	11/15/2021	C	ORDER depo questioning stayed for 30 days <i>RESULT: Denied 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
462.00	11/12/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Motion to Allow Questioning of Kit Daniels and Objection to Defs.' Mot. for Protective Order <i>RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
462.10	11/15/2021	C	ORDER See ruling on motion for protective order. <i>RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
463.00	11/15/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5 Redacted Entry No. 461.00 - Re: Questions to Christopher ?Kit? Daniels	No
464.00	11/15/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Re: Plaintiffs' Motions for Commission and Order (Entry Nos. 444.00, 445.00,	No
























			446.00, and 447.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
464.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
465.00	11/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order re: Halbig Deposition <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
465.10	11/17/2021	C	ORDER obj due 11/29/21; reply due 12/3/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
465.20	12/03/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
466.00	11/15/2021	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION Court's ruling on the motion for sanctions	No
467.00	11/16/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Re: Motions to Seal (Entry No. 429.00 and 435.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
467.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
468.00	11/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER For Additional Protection to Protect Plaintiffs' Disclosures (Expedited Adjudication Requested) <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
468.10	11/17/2021	C	ORDER obj due 11/29/21; reply due 12/3/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
468.20	12/03/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
469.00	11/17/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Plaintiffs' Supp. Memorandum in Opposition to Motions to Seal (415.00, 422.00, 435.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
469.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
470.00	11/17/2021	C	ORDER brief re: seal due D 11/29/21, P 12/3/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
471.00	11/18/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript 11/15/21 hearing	No
472.00	11/18/2021	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION Memorandum of decision re: Strike #229	No
473.00	11/19/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript of 11/17/21 hearing	No
474.00	11/19/2021	P	REPLY Pl. Omnibus Reply to Ds' Obj. to Mtns. for Commission and to Mtn. to Compel Consent - Social Media	No
475.00	11/19/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5 Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order to Limit Prior Discovery Orders <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
475.10	11/29/2021	C	ORDER Reply due 12/13/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
476.00	11/19/2021	C	ORDER motions #444-447 surreply due 12/3/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
477.00	11/22/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication of DN 475.00 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
477.10	11/22/2021	C	ORDER Objection due by 9:30 am 11/29/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

























477.50	11/23/2021	C	PETITION FOR CERTIFICATION  SC DENIAL of petition for certification	No
478.00	11/24/2021	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION  Decision on motion to strike #344	No
479.00	11/24/2021	D	NOTICE OF DEFENSE PB 17-34 	No
480.00	11/26/2021	D	NOTICE  Notice of Filing minimally redacted Jacobson Transcript	No
480.50	11/29/2021	C	DOCUMENT SEALED	No
481.00	11/29/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to MPOs Entry Nos. 465, 468 & 475 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
481.10	12/03/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion #468 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
482.00	11/29/2021	D	OBJECTION  obj. to plaintiff's m/protective order limiting prior discovery orders	No
483.00	11/29/2021	C	ORDER  Brief due 12/13/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
484.00	11/29/2021	O	REPLY 	No
485.00	11/29/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendants? Supp. Brief in Support of Motions to Seal (Nos. 415.00, 422.00, 435.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
485.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
486.00	11/30/2021	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Objections to document requests to Blake Roddy	No
487.00	11/30/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Compliance with document requests to Blake Roddy	No
488.00	12/01/2021	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Joint Motion for Limited Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
488.10	12/01/2021	C	ORDER  approved <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
489.00	12/03/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 11/29/21 hearing	No
490.00	12/03/2021	P	REQUEST  Request Pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. Sec. 52-220 that Hearing in Damages be to a Jury	No
491.00	12/03/2021	P	REPLY  Reply ISO Motion for Additional Protective Measures to Protect Plaintiffs' Disclosures (DN 468.00)	No
492.00	12/03/2021	P	AFFIDAVIT  Atty. Mattei's Affidavit Re: Parties' Conference to Resolve Objections to Social Media Subpoenas	No
493.00	12/03/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Surreply in Opposition to Motions for Commission (Entry Nos. 444, 445, 446)	No
494.00	12/03/2021	P	REPLY  Reply to the Jones Defendants? Opposition to Pls? Mot for Protective Order RE Halbig Depo	No
495.00	12/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  To File Reply In Support Of Motion for Protective Order to Limit Prior Discovery Orders (DN 475.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
495.10	12/13/2021	C	ORDER  deadlines discussed at 12/15/21 status conference <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/13/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
495.20	12/15/2021	C	ORDER  surreply one week after reply	No

























RESULT: Granted 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
496.00	12/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER	Motion to Compel Compliance Directed to Genesis RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
496.10	12/15/2021	C	ORDER	obj by 12:00 12/29 RESULT: Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
496.20	12/29/2021	C	ORDER	RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
497.00	12/10/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)	Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication re: DN 495.00	Yes
498.00	12/13/2021	D	MEMORANDUM	Defendants' Brief on Contesting Liability Post-Default	No
499.00	12/13/2021	P	BRIEF	Pl. Memorandum of Law Re: Notice of Defenses	No
500.00	12/13/2021	P	OBJECTION	Objection to the Jones Defendants' Notice of Defenses RESULT: Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
500.10	12/15/2021	C	ORDER	memorandum by 12/22, response by 12/24 RESULT: Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
501.00	12/15/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION	Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission for Deposition of David Jones RESULT: Granted 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
501.10	12/15/2021	C	ORDER	objection by 12/22, reply by 12/29 RESULT: Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
501.20	12/29/2021	C	ORDER	RESULT: Granted 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
502.00	12/15/2021	C	ORDER	Sanctions briefs due 12/31/21 and 1/14/22 RESULT: Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
503.00	12/22/2021	D	MEMORANDUM	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission re David Jones (Entry No. 501.00) RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
503.10	12/29/2021	C	ORDER	see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
504.00	12/22/2021	D	MEMORANDUM	Response to Objection to Notice of Defenses (Entry Nos. 479.00, 499.00)	No
505.00	12/23/2021	P	REPLY	Pls' Reply in Support of Pls' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Notice of §17-34(a) Defenses	No
506.00	12/29/2021	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION	Genesis's objection to motion to compel	No
507.00	12/29/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION	Infowars Defendants Opposition to Motion to Compel Genesis Entry 496.00 RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
507.10	12/29/2021	C	ORDER	see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
508.00	12/30/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION	Withdrawal of DN 475.00 Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order to Limit Prior Discovery Orders	No
509.00	12/30/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT	Transcript of 12/15/21 hearing - morning	No
510.00	12/30/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT	Transcript of 12/15/21 hearing - afternoon	No
511.00	01/05/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER	Plaintiffs' Motion Concerning Subpoena to Facebook, Inc. RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
511.10	01/12/2022				No

			ORDER	
			<i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	
512.00	01/05/2022	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) Plaintiffs' Request for Expedited Adjudication of DN 511.00 <i>RESULT: Order 1/6/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
512.10	01/06/2022	C	ORDER Any objection must be filed on or before 1/12/22. <i>RESULT: Order 1/6/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
513.00	01/06/2022	D	OBJECTION RE DISCOVERY OR DISCLOSURE Response to Re-Notice of Deposition of Blake Roddy	No
514.00	01/06/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Re-Notice of Deposition of Blake Roddy	No
515.00	01/06/2022	C	LIST OF EXHIBITS (JD-CL-28/JD-CL-28a) Show cause hearing 10/20/21 & 12/25/21	No
516.00	01/12/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent For the Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
516.10	01/12/2022	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
517.00	01/12/2022	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion Concerning Subpoena to Facebook, Inc. (Entry No. 511.00) <i>RESULT: Order 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
517.10	01/12/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
518.00	01/13/2022	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10 Objections to document requests to Timothy Fruge	No
519.00	01/13/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Compliance with document requests to Timothy Fruge	No
520.00	01/14/2022	O	REPLY MEMORANDUM Defendant's Response to Disciplinary Counsel's Post Hearing Memorandum in Aid of Disposition	No
521.00	01/14/2022	O	REPLY MEMORANDUM Corrected Copy of Entry No. 520.00 - D's Resp. to Disciplinary Counsel's Post Hearing Memo.	No
522.00	01/21/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Concerning Plaintiffs' I&RP dated 1.24.19 and 5.5. 2021.	No
523.00	01/24/2022	C	ORDER hard copy of article due 1/31/22 <i>RESULT: Order 1/24/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
524.00	01/24/2022	O	REPLY MEMORANDUM	No
525.00	01/27/2022	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM Reply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (copy of #414, re-filed with revised redactions per #415.20)	No
526.00	01/27/2022	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM Sur-Surreply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (re-filed with revised redactions per #435.10)	No
527.00	01/28/2022	D	AFFIDAVIT Refiled Affidavit of Robert Roe (421.00) as redacted per Order 422.10	No
528.00	01/28/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT transcript of 1/19/22 hearing	No
529.00	01/28/2022	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION Memorandum of Decision re: Show Cause	No
529.10	01/28/2022	C	NOTICE counsel emailed decision on 1/28/22	No
529.50	03/08/2022	C	NOTICE	No
530.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE William Sherlach's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/28/22 RE: Defendants' RPDs	No
531.00	02/04/2022			No

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re: Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition of Lydia Zapata Hernandez <i>RESULT: Order 2/4/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>				
531.10	02/04/2022	C	ORDER  objection due 2/7/22, reply due 2/9/22 <i>RESULT: Order 2/4/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
532.00	02/04/2022	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re: Motion for Protective Order (Entry No. 531.00)	Yes
533.00	02/07/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Re: Subpoena of Lydia Zapata Hernandez	No
534.00	02/07/2022	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  Motion for Protective Order (Entry No. 531.00)	No
535.00	02/10/2022	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  PI Mot for Commission to Take Out-of-State Deposition of Sprout Social, Inc. <i>RESULT: Granted 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
535.10	02/16/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 2/16/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
535.20	03/01/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
536.00	02/10/2022	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  PI Mot to Seal Unredacted Pleading <i>RESULT: Denied 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
536.10	02/16/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 2/16/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
536.20	06/02/2022	C	ORDER  denied - unredacted version due within 10 days <i>RESULT: Denied 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
537.00	02/10/2022	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Objections to Document Requests to Alex Jones <i>RESULT: Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
537.10	03/08/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
538.00	02/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Sherlach's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
539.00	02/14/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT: Granted 2/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
539.10	02/14/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 2/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
540.00	02/15/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  GCN Compliance 2.15.2022	No
541.00	02/24/2022	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Under Seal (No. 536.00) <i>RESULT: Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
541.10	06/02/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motions <i>RESULT: Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
542.00	02/24/2022	D	MEMORANDUM  Objection to Motion for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition of Sprout Social (No. 535.00) <i>RESULT: Overruled 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
542.10	03/01/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Overruled 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
543.00	02/25/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 2/16/22 status conference	No
544.00	02/25/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Sherlach's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
545.00	02/28/2022	P	REPLY  Reply In Support of Pls' Mot. for Commission re Sprout Social, Inc.	No




546.00	03/02/2022	P	AFFIDAVIT  Re: Parties' Conference to Resolve Objections to RFPs in Notice of Deposition for Alex Jones <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
546.10	03/02/2022	C	ORDER  Defendant's response due by 3/7/22 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
546.11	03/07/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
547.00	03/07/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend the Protective Order - On Consent <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
547.10	03/07/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
548.00	03/08/2022	D	REPLY  Jones Defendants' Reply To Mattei Affidavit (Dkt. 710.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
548.10	03/08/2022	C	ORDER  The request for adjournment is denied. <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
549.00	03/08/2022	C	ORDER  order re: incorrect appearance issue correction <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
550.00	03/09/2022	C	ORDER  order re: appearances <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/9/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
551.00	03/09/2022	C	ORDER  order re: deposition of corporate designee <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/9/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
551.10	03/09/2022	C	ORDER  Amended order: Paz depo 3/15-16 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/9/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
552.00	03/15/2022	P	NOTICE  Joint Notice re Production from Social Media Companies	No
553.00	03/16/2022	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE 	Yes
554.00	03/16/2022	D	AFFIDAVIT  affidavit in support of motion for permission to withdraw appearance, entry 553.00	No
555.00	03/16/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 3/9/22 telephonic status conference Last Updated : Additional Description - 03/16/2022	No
556.00	03/16/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 3/15/22 telephonic status conference	No
557.00	03/21/2022	P	NOTICE  Amended Joint Notice re: Production from Social Media Companies	No
558.00	03/21/2022	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Motion for Protective Order Re: Deposition of Alex Jones	No
559.00	03/22/2022	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Amended Motion for Protective Order Re: Deposition of Alex Jones <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
559.10	03/22/2022	C	ORDER  Denied, for the reasons stated on the record. <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
560.00	03/22/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Re: Deposition of Alex Jones <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
560.10	03/22/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
561.00	03/22/2022	C	ORDER  order re: notice in file by 11:00 am 3/23/22 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

562.00	03/23/2022	D	NOTICE  NOTICE TO THE COURT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S MARCH 22, 2022 ORDER (DKT. NO. 732.00)	No
563.00	03/23/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Pls' Emergency Motion for Order Requiring Alex Jones to Appear for Deposition <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
563.10	03/23/2022	C	ORDER  brief schedule new motion/hearing 3/30/22 2:00 pm <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
564.00	03/23/2022	C	ORDER  Order re: Alex Jones Deposition 3/24/22 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
565.00	03/23/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Defendants' Motion For A Capias (Dkt. 734.00)	No
566.00	03/23/2022	P	BRIEF  Pls' Supplemental Brief re Court's Authority to Issue Capias	No
567.00	03/23/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Corrected Objection to Defendants' Motion For A Capias (Dkt. 734.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
567.10	03/23/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion/only argument <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
568.00	03/23/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 3/22/22 hearing/status conference	No
569.00	03/23/2022	P	BRIEF  Pls' Amended Supplemental Brief with Unreported Cases <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
569.10	03/23/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
570.00	03/23/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Pls' Amended Emergency Motion for Order Requiring Alex Jones to Appear for Deposition	No
571.00	03/23/2022	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Jones Defendants' Renewed Emergency Motion For Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/24/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
571.10	03/24/2022	C	ORDER  court available for issues related to this order <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/24/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
572.00	03/24/2022	P	NOTICE  Notice that Alex Jones Did Not Appear at His Court-Ordered Deposition	No
573.00	03/25/2022	P	MOTION FOR CONTEMPT  Pls' Mot for Finding of Civil Contempt <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/31/2022 BY THE CLERK	Yes
573.10	03/31/2022	C	ORDER  see memorandum of decision #586 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/31/2022 BY THE CLERK	No
574.00	03/28/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Jones' Defendants' Objection To Motion For Order & Sanctions (Dkt. 743.00)	No
575.00	03/28/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Jones' Defendants' Objection To Motion For Contempt(Dkt. 750.00)	No
576.00	03/28/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  transcript of 3/23/22 hearing	No
577.00	03/29/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines	No
578.00	03/29/2022	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  Withdrawal of DN 577.00 (due to missing Exhibit A)	No
579.00	03/29/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 3/29/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
579.10	03/29/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 3/29/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

580.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE  Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff William Sherlach	No
581.00	03/29/2022	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Plaintiffs' Motion On Consent For Commission To Take Out-of-State Deposition of Robert Dew <i>RESULT: Granted 3/29/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
581.10	03/29/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 3/29/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
582.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE  Plaintiff William Sherlach's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
583.00	03/30/2022	P	REPLY  Pls' Reply in Support of Pls' Motion for Finding of Civil Contempt	No
584.00	03/30/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  transcript of 3/16/22 hearing	No
585.00	03/30/2022	D	MOTION TO REARGUE/RECONSIDER  Motion For Reconsideration as To the Start of AlexJones' Daily Escalating Fine <i>RESULT: Denied 3/30/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
585.10	03/30/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Denied 3/30/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
586.00	03/30/2022	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION  ruling on motion for contempt #573	No
587.00	03/31/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  transcript of 3/30/22 hearing	No
588.00	03/31/2022	D	MOTION FOR STAY  Jones Defendants' Motion For Stay of Execution <i>RESULT: Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
588.10	04/01/2022	C	ORDER  Stay is denied <i>RESULT: Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
589.00	04/01/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Pls' Objection to Motion for Emergency Stay <i>RESULT: Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
589.10	04/01/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
589.50	03/31/2022	D	APPEAL TO APPELLATE COURT ALL FEES PAID  re: contempt finding and sanctions	No
589.60	04/01/2022	D	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL  motion for review of denial of motion for stay	No
589.70	04/04/2022	C	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL  emergency motion for stay is denied	No
590.00	04/04/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Alex Jones' Notice of Compliance With Court's Contempt Order Concerning Daily Fines	No
591.00	04/04/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Notice of Compliance with Court's Contempt Order	No
592.00	04/06/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  	No
593.00	04/07/2022	D	MOTION FOR ORDER  Jones Defendants' Motion For Order Declaring Alex Jones Purged Of Contempt And Returning Paid Fines <i>RESULT: Order 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
593.10	04/14/2022	C	ORDER  The clerk is directed to return the \$75,00.00 <i>RESULT: Order 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
594.00	04/07/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jones Defendants' Supplement To Notice of Compliance (Dkt. 794.00) & Motion For Order (Dkt. 796.00)	No
595.00	04/07/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT: Granted 4/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No

595.10	04/07/2022	C	ORDER	No
RESULT: Granted 4/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
596.00	04/07/2022	C	ORDER Briefing re: awarded fees and costs of deposition RESULT: Order 4/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
597.00	04/08/2022	P	REPLY Plaintiffs' Response to the Jones Defendants' Motion for Order (DN 796 & 797) RESULT: Order 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
597.10	04/14/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
598.00	04/08/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE William Sherlach's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 4/8/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
599.00	04/12/2022	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME Pls' MET re Briefing Schedule wrt Costs and Fees Awarded at 3/30/22 Contempt Hrg., On Consent RESULT: Order 4/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
599.10	04/13/2022	C	ORDER granted by agreement RESULT: Order 4/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
600.00	04/14/2022	P	NOTICE Supplemental Filing In Support Of Plaintiffs' Request for Fees and Costs	No
600.10	06/02/2022	C	ORDER defense fees brief due 6/10, reply 6/14 by 2:00 pm RESULT: Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
601.00	04/18/2022	D	NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY Infowars, LLC; Infowars Health, LLC; and Prison Planet TV, LLC's Notice Of Bankruptcy RESULT: Order 4/18/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
601.10	04/18/2022	C	ORDER 4/20/22 status conference will still go forward RESULT: Order 4/18/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
602.00	04/18/2022	D	NOTICE Notice of Removal to Bankruptcy Court RESULT: Order 4/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
602.10	04/19/2022	C	ORDER no further action by court until remanded back RESULT: Order 4/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
603.00	04/19/2022	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION	No
604.00	05/31/2022	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE	Yes
604.10	06/02/2022	C	ORDER order re: hearing date etc. RESULT: Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
605.00	06/01/2022	P	NOTICE Notice of Filing Remand Order Entered in U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Connecticut, on 6/1/22	No
606.00	06/01/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Pls' Disclosure of Expert Witness Clint Watts	No
607.00	06/01/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Pls' Disclosure of Expert Witness Gary Krantz	No
608.00	06/01/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE Pls' Obj. to Mtn to Withdraw Appearances	Yes
609.00	06/01/2022	C	REMANDED FROM BANKRUPTCY COURT	No
610.00	06/02/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Dr. Heidi Beirich	No
611.00	06/02/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Oren Segal	No
612.00	06/02/2022	C	ORDER order re: trial dates and expert's availability RESULT: Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
613.00	06/02/2022	C	ORDER	No

			agreement to remove certain defendants <i>RESULT: Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	
614.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE William Sherlach's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
615.00	06/06/2022	D	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER Genesis's proposed briefing schedule concerning its m/summary judgment <i>RESULT: Order 6/6/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
615.10	06/06/2022	C	ORDER order with new Summary Judgement filing dates <i>RESULT: Order 6/6/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
616.00	06/07/2022	C	ORDER Trial Preparation Order <i>RESULT: Order 6/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
617.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiff's Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Anthony Campanelli	No
618.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiff's Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Steven Brill	No
619.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Dr. Heidi Beirich	No
620.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Oren Segal	No
621.00	06/07/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Motion for Sanctions for Bad Faith Removal	No
622.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Clint Watts	No
623.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Gary Krantz	No
624.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Joshua Rockoff	No
625.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Paul Steiger	No
626.00	06/08/2022	D	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER Jones Defendants' Motion To Continue Trial And Reset Deadlines	No
626.10	06/08/2022	C	ORDER order re: case scheduling <i>RESULT: Order 6/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
627.00	06/09/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript of 6/2/22 status conference	No
628.00	06/10/2022	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION NEW Motion for Commission to Take Out-of-State Deposition of Sprout Social - Unredacted per DN 536.10	No
629.00	06/10/2022	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION NEW Opposition To Request For Attorney's Fees & Costs (Dkt. 784.00 and 808.00)	No
630.00	06/13/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER NEW Plaintiff's Motion to Amend the Protective Order - On Consent	No
631.00	06/13/2022	C	ORDER NEW re: 6/15 status conference and attorneys fees <i>RESULT: Order 6/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
631.10	06/13/2022	C	ORDER NEW Amended order #631 re: hearing <i>RESULT: Order 6/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i> Last Updated: Entry Number - 06/13/2022	No
632.00	06/14/2022	P	AFFIDAVIT NEW Atty. Blumenthal's Affidavit Re: Attempts to Resolve Issue of Fees and Costs (per DN 631.00)	No
633.00	06/16/2022	P	AFFIDAVIT NEW Atty. Blumenthal's Affidavit Re: Attempt to Resolve Issue of Fees and Costs (per 6/15/22 hearing)	No
633.50	06/16/2022	C	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL NEW appeal dismissed all defendants except Alex Jones	No
634.00	06/17/2022	C	ORDER NEW	No

Ruling on attorney fees re: Jones deposition <i>RESULT: Order 6/17/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>				
635.00	06/20/2022	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  <small>NEW</small> Jones Defendants' Withdrawal of Motion For Permission To Withdraw Appearance (Dkt. 604.00)	No
636.00	06/21/2022	C	ORDER  <small>NEW</small> order marking off 6/22/22 hearing <i>RESULT: Order 6/21/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
637.00	06/21/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  <small>NEW</small> Transcript of 6/15/22 status conference	No

Consolidated Cases				
<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Caption</u>	<u>Disp. Date</u>	<u>Disp. Code</u>	
<u>UWY-CV18-6046436S</u>	LAFFERTY, ERICA Et AI v. JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et AI			
<u>UWY-CV18-6046438S</u>	SHERLACH, WILLIAM Et AI v. JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et AI			

Scheduled Court Dates as of 06/23/2022				
UWY-CV18-6046437-S - SHERLACH, WILLIAM v. JONES, ALEX Et AI				
#	Date	Time	Event Description	Status
1	06/24/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
2	06/28/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
3	06/29/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
4	06/30/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
5	07/01/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
6	07/05/2022	10:00AM	Remote Hearing	Off
7	07/13/2022	10:00AM	Remote Trial Management Conference	Proceeding
8	08/02/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
9	08/03/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
10	08/04/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
11	08/05/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
12	08/09/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
13	08/10/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
14	08/11/2022	10:00AM	Hearing	Proceeding
15	08/11/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
16	08/12/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
17	08/16/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
18	08/17/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
19	08/18/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
20	08/19/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
21	08/23/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
22	08/24/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
23	08/25/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
24	08/26/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
25	08/30/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
26	08/31/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
27	09/01/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
28	09/02/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
29	09/06/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
30	09/07/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
31	09/08/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
32	09/09/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
33	09/13/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding
34	09/14/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Proceeding

Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6076475-S	:	SUPERIOR COURT
	:	
WILLIAM SHERLACH & ROBERT PARKER	:	J.D. OF FAIRFIELD
	:	
v.	:	AT BRIDGEPORT
	:	
ALEX JONES, ET AL.	:	NOVEMBER 29, 2018

AMENDED COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil action for damages leading from the mass shooting that happened at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012.

2. Just before 9:30 AM that morning, Adam Lanza shot his way into the locked school with a Bushmaster XM15-E2S.

3. In less than five minutes, he shot and killed 20 first-grade children and 6 adults. Two others were wounded.

4. That tragic day left behind 26 families that will never be whole again.

5. Every day since, those families have struggled to reconcile themselves with the irrevocable loss of their beloved sons, daughters, sisters, brothers, and mothers.

6. Even though overwhelming—and indisputable—evidence exists showing exactly what happened at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012, certain individuals have persistently perpetuated a monstrous, unspeakable lie: that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged, and that the families who lost loved ones that day are actors who faked their relatives' deaths.

7. Defendant Alex Jones is a conspiracy-theorist radio and internet personality who holds himself out as a journalist. He is the most prolific among those fabricators. But he does not work alone: along with his various business entities, Jones is the chief amplifier for a group that has worked in concert to create and propagate loathsome, false narratives about the Sandy Hook shooting and its victims, and promote their harassment and abuse.

8. Jones, along with these others, has persisted in the perpetuation and propagation of this outrageous, deeply painful, and defamatory lie in the face of a mountain of evidence to the contrary, and with no supporting evidence.

9. Alex Jones does not in fact believe that the Sandy Hook Shooting was a hoax—and he never has.

10. Nevertheless, time and again, Jones has accused the Sandy Hook families, who are readily identifiable, of faking their loved ones' deaths, and insisted that the children killed that day are actually alive.

11. Jones has deliberately employed these false narratives about the Sandy Hook shooting, the victims, and their families as part of a marketing scheme that has brought him and his business entities tens of millions of dollars per year.¹

12. Jones has an audience of millions. He has repeatedly urged them to "investigate" the Sandy Hook shooting. He has purposely published statements by other people who falsely assert that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax.

13. As a result of Jones's campaign, the families and survivors of the Sandy Hook shooting have been forced to endure malicious and cruel abuse at the hands of ruthless and unscrupulous people.

14. On a regular basis, the families and survivors have faced physical confrontation and harassment, death threats, and a sustained barrage of harassment and verbal assault on social media.

15. They have confronted strange individuals videotaping them and their children.

16. Some of them have moved to undisclosed locations to avoid this harassment.

17. The plaintiffs bring this action in defense of the values protected by the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, not in derogation of it.

18. Like any marketplace, the marketplace of ideas that the First Amendment was meant to protect cannot function properly without accountability for reprehensible conduct like the defendants'. In fact, the defendants themselves have proven this to be so by their successful propagation of the blatantly false and harmful aspersions described in this Complaint.

19. The First Amendment has never protected demonstrably false, malicious statements like the defendants'. This is because "there is no constitutional value in false statements of fact. Neither the intentional lie nor the careless error materially advances society's interest in 'uninhibited, robust and wide-open' debate on public issues."²

¹ See Seth Brown, *Alex Jones's Media Empire Is a Machine Built to Sell Snake-Oil Diet Supplements*, N.Y. MAG. (May 4, 2017), <http://nymag.com/selectall/2017/05/how-does-alex-jones-make-money.html>; Alex Seitz-Wald, *Alex Jones: Conspiracy, Inc.*, SALON (May 2, 2013), https://www.salon.com/2013/05/02/alex_jones_conspiracy_inc/.

² *Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.*, 418 U.S. 323, 340 (1974) (quoting *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254, 270 (1964)). While the Supreme Court has afforded "a measure of strategic protection" to certain kinds of falsehoods to ensure that speech protected by the First Amendment has "the breathing space to survive," it has never wavered in its determination that falsehoods have no First Amendment value. *Id.* at 342. None of the Court's protective doctrines interfere with the plaintiffs' claims in this case.

20. This lawsuit is about holding Jones and the other defendants accountable for the effects of their outrageous, malicious, and deeply hurtful lies.

PARTIES

21. The plaintiffs are the immediate family of one child and one educator killed at Sandy Hook Elementary School, in Newtown, Connecticut, on December 14, 2012.

22. Plaintiff Robert “Robbie” Parker is the father of murdered first-grader Emilie Parker, who was six years old at her death on December 14, 2012. He resides in King County, Washington.

23. The plaintiff, William Sherlach, is the spouse of Mary Sherlach, who was a 56-year-old school psychologist killed at Sandy Hook Elementary School, in Newtown, Connecticut, on December 14, 2012. He resides in Fairfield County, Connecticut.

24. The defendants are out-of-state business entities and individuals residing in Connecticut, Texas, Florida, and Minnesota.

25. Defendant Alex Emric Jones (“Alex Jones” or “Jones”) is a radio and internet personality who holds himself out as a journalist and is a resident of Austin, Texas. He is the host of shows including “The Alex Jones Show,” and owns and operates the websites Infowars.com and PrisonPlanet.com. Jones’s shows have millions of weekly listeners and are syndicated on approximately 60 radio stations. Jones can be served at his place of business, Infowars, at 3019 Alvin Devane Blvd, Suite 300-350, Austin, Texas 78741.

26. Defendant Infowars, LLC (“Infowars”) is a Texas limited liability company that produces and broadcasts Alex Jones’ Infowars, which holds itself out as a news and journalism platform. It may be served through its attorney, Eric Taube, at its principal offices located at 100 Congress Avenue, 18th Floor, Austin, Texas 78701.

27. Defendant Free Speech Systems, LLC is a Texas limited liability company. It owns Infowars.com. It may be served through its attorney, Eric Taube, at its principal offices located at 100 Congress Avenue, 18th Floor, Austin, Texas 78701.

28. Defendant Infowars Health LLC is a Texas limited liability company. It may be served through its attorney, Eric Taube, at its principal offices located at 100 Congress Avenue, 18th Floor, Austin, Texas 78701.

29. Defendant Prison Planet TV LLC is a Texas limited liability company. It may be served through its attorney, Eric Taube, at its principal offices located at 100 Congress Avenue, 18th Floor, Austin, Texas 78701.

30. All the above-mentioned Texas business-entity defendants are owned, controlled, and/or operated by defendant Alex Jones and are employed to hold and generate revenue for him. They and Jones shall hereinafter be referred to collectively as “the Jones defendants.”

31. Defendant Wolfgang Halbig holds himself out as a journalist and investigator and resides in Sorrento, Florida. He is the creator and operator of the defamatory and predatory websites SandyHookJustice.com and MonteFrank.com.

32. Defendant Cory T. Sklanka holds himself out as a journalist and investigator and resides in Meriden, Connecticut. He has worked closely with Halbig in Halbig's Sandy Hook "investigative" work, including acting as driver and camera operator when Halbig has visited Connecticut to conduct those activities, and, on information and belief, participating in the operation of SandyHookJustice.com and co-hosting Sandy Hook Justice broadcasts.

33. Defendant Genesis Communications Network, Inc., is a privately held company incorporated in Minnesota. It distributes radio programs produced by Alex Jones and Infowars, and was founded by Ted Anderson, who has appeared many times on Jones's shows, to promote his other business, defendant Midas Resources.³ Its principal offices are located at 190 Cobblestone Lane, Burnsville, Minnesota, 55337.

34. Defendant Midas Resources, Inc., is a privately held company incorporated in Minnesota. Its principal offices are located at 190 Cobblestone Lane, Burnsville, Minnesota, 55337. It has sold precious metals, dietary supplements, and other items as advertised by and in cooperation with defendant Genesis Communications and the Jones defendants.⁴

THE DEFENDANTS' KNOWLEDGE, ASPIRATIONS, AND INFLUENCE

35. Jones has a radio audience of more than two million people. The Alex Jones Show is syndicated on more than 60 radio stations. On the internet, Jones and Infowars reach millions more. Jones's YouTube channel has more than 2.3 million subscribers.

36. Jones has repeatedly expressly stated that he aspires to spur his followers to action, and has acknowledged that his exhortations have that effect. This is especially true with regard to the Sandy Hook shooting.

37. For instance, on June 12, 2017, The Alex Jones Channel posted a video. Speaking of his interview with Megyn Kelly on NBC and specifically about Sandy Hook, Jones stated, "That's why you keep missing the main calculation. I am a precision-guided heavy munition coming in on top of you So I hit the barbed wire, and everyone comes in over me. Have you seen what we've done how talk radio now sounds just like me Have you seen how the whole paradigm is globally shifting and you can't hold it back?"

38. Later in the video, Jones stated, "I'm making it safe for everyone else to speak out just like Trump's doing, on a much bigger scale."

³ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M-aQntV8meQ>; Nate Blakeslee, *Alex Jones Is About to Explode*, TEX. MONTHLY (Mar. 2010) <https://www.texasmonthly.com/politics/alex-jones-is-about-to-explode/>.

⁴ *Id.*

39. Jones has not just attempted to give his followers permission to question reality. He also has specifically urged them to action.

40. His followers have shown themselves ready to comply.

41. On November 27, 2016, for instance, Jones spoke at length about “Pizzagate,” a baseless conspiracy theory alleging that Democratic operatives were running a child-sex ring out of a Washington, D.C. pizza restaurant. He urged his followers to “investigate” the matter.

42. “You have to go investigate it for yourself,” he told them. “But I will warn you, this story that’s been the biggest thing on the internet for several weeks, Pizzagate as it’s called, is a rabbit hole that is horrifying to go down . . . Something’s going on. Something’s being covered up. This needs to be investigated.”

43. Jones’s followers came running to his call, as he knew they would.

44. The pizzeria received hundreds of threats. Its owner told *The New York Times*, “[W]e’ve come under constant assault. I’ve done nothing for days but try to clean this up and protect my staff and friends from being terrorized.”

45. The owner and staff, along with some of their families, were harassed on social media websites. The owner received death threats. Even musical groups that had performed at the pizzeria and nearby businesses were harassed.

46. On December 4, 2016, Edgar Maddison Welch, a self-described Alex Jones follower, drove from Salisbury, North Carolina, to Washington, D.C., and fired three rounds into the pizzeria with an AR-15-style rifle.

47. Welch told police that his objective was to “self-investigate” the Pizzagate conspiracy theory. In fact, just days before embarking on his violent “self-investigation,” Welch urged a friend to watch “PIZZAGATE: The Bigger Picture,” an Infowars video.

48. Shortly thereafter, Jones removed his November 27, 2016 video and scrubbed references to his advocacy of the Pizzagate conspiracy theory.

49. Similarly, many of the persons who have directly harassed or abused Sandy Hook families have been motivated by Jones’s urging that his listeners “investigate.”

50. In 2017, a Florida woman was sentenced to prison for threatening the father of a child killed at Sandy Hook.

51. She made four voicemail and email threats to the father, with statements like “you gonna die, death is coming to you real soon” and “LOOK BEHIND YOU IT IS DEATH.”

52. The woman’s defense lawyer stated that she was primarily motivated by what she had read and seen on Infowars.com, Jones’s website.

53. On numerous occasions, Jones has hosted on his show Wolfgang Halbig, a self-styled investigator who is amongst the most prominent of those people who falsely claim that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax.

54. Halbig is a 70-year-old former police officer and school administrator living in Florida. He has made more than 22 trips to Connecticut relating to the allegations of this Complaint, including delivering highly confrontational testimony before the Newtown Board of Education, and was warned by police that he would be charged with harassment unless he ceased contacting Newtown residents.

55. Defendant Sklanka has facilitated Halbig's harassing and defamatory activities in Connecticut. He has acted as Halbig's driver, camera operator, helped Halbig operate his website, and co-hosted broadcasts asserting that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax. On information and belief, Sklanka assisted and was present with Halbig when he filmed and harassed children families at the St. Rose of Lima church in Newtown, Connecticut on June 2, 2015.

56. On information and belief, Cory Sklanka actively assisted in operating the websites MonteFrank.com and SandyHookJustice.com through at least September 2016.

57. Cory Sklanka knew that Wolfgang Halbig's objective in his activities in Connecticut, on his websites, and in his broadcasts, was to publish Halbig's outrageous, hurtful, and defamatory statements about the plaintiffs, and to harass the plaintiffs, as alleged in this Complaint. In fact, he facilitated Halbig's activities, and agreed with Halbig to facilitate Halbig's activities, with the knowing purpose of achieving this objective, as well as the objective of giving Halbig's harassment and publications maximum reach.

58. Sklanka knew that the websites' purpose was to publish defamatory and otherwise legally actionable false statements about the plaintiffs, including that: (1) the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax; (2) children or educators did not die in the Sandy Hook shooting; (3) the families of victims in the Sandy Hook shooting are actors or otherwise lying about their loved ones' deaths; and (4) families of Sandy Hook victims are engaging in fraud to profit from their loved ones' deaths. In fact, that is why he assisted Halbig in his "investigatory," defamatory, and harassing activities.

59. On information and belief, on September 3, 2016, Sklanka posted under a pseudonym, or facilitated the posting of, an article on MonteFrank.com, asserting:

Avielle Richman is a fabricated identity using the real life person Lenie Urbina of Sandy Hook, CT. Jeremy Richman of The Avielle Foundation continues to commit Fraud against the American public and against the world, collecting donations under false pretenses that his daughter died.⁵

⁵ Barry Miller, *Update: Wolfgang Halbig Threatened & MonteFrank.com Is Not Going Down*, MonteFrank.com (Sept. 3, 2016), <https://archive.is/e44tV#selection-203.0-203.284>.

60. The article also asserted:

Now that “Avielle Richman” and Lenie Urbina have been exposed as being the same person, we know that the “Avielle Foundation” is actively engaging in fraud and theft of donor’s [sic] money. The Richman and Urbina families have been caught red-handed and have a lot of explaining to do. This includes Sandy Hook Medical Examiner Dr. H. Wayne Carver who claimed to have performed an autopsy on “Avielle”/Lenie.⁶

61. Sklanka also hosted and published his own podcasts on YouTube about the Sandy Hook shooting under the username “SleightofSin.” Based on witness statements, the plaintiffs believe podcasts contain publications by Sklanka within the statute of limitations asserting that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax and that the families of victims fabricated their children’s deaths.

62. A video already in the plaintiffs’ possession indicates that Sklanka participated in and/or co-hosted a podcast published on March 25, 2015 in which he stated that “Sandy Hook . . . was all crappy acting,” and that he was “sick of people being destroyed by an event [Sandy Hook] that was fabricated, let alone other people that are possibly being destroyed due to an event that didn’t happen.”

63. In a video already in the plaintiffs’ possession, Sklanka described working closely with Halbig on Sandy-Hook-related activities. The participant speaking immediately after Sklanka noted that Halbig would be appearing on Alex Jones’s show the following day, and encouraged the podcast’s listeners to listen to that broadcast “on GCN” and “bombard the caller lines . . . and comment sections” to give “encouragement to the Wolf to get this action moving” and for “Alex Jones to investigate.” Another participant then argued that they should persuade Alex Jones to send Rob Dew to Connecticut with Halbig “to report.” Sklanka stated in response: “I second that.”

64. Faced with this lawsuit, Sklanka deleted his YouTube profile and other social media accounts, thus hiding those videos from public view. However, with reasonable discovery, the plaintiffs believe they would gain access to additional videos containing legally actionable publications by Sklanka and be able to enumerate them in greater detail.

65. The plaintiffs were not aware of Sklanka’s activities with Halbig until within the past year. Because Sklanka operated behind the scenes and under a pseudonym, the plaintiffs had no reason to know of his role in the activities related to this complaint.

66. Kevin Laprade, an Infowars videographer, accompanied Halbig on at least some of his “investigative” trips to Connecticut.⁷

67. Halbig has filed numerous Freedom of Information Act requests over the years since the shooting, seeking police documents, insurance claims, portable toilet orders, and other types of information.

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ <https://www.facebook.com/mert.melfa/videos/539807396217619/>.

68. Halbig has raised more than \$100,000, largely on GoFundMe.com, for his activities.

69. Tiffany Moser helped Halbig search Newtown Board of Education documents for evidence that the school was closed before the shooting. When she reported that all the evidence indicated that the school had been open, Halbig dismissed her.

70. Halbig was banned from St. Rose of Lima, a Newtown church, after he and others were seen videotaping children entering and exiting the building. On information and belief, Sklanka participated in this venture.

71. In May 2014, Halbig spoke at a public meeting of the Newtown Board of Education, in Newtown, Connecticut.

72. After the meeting, Leonard Pozner emailed Halbig, seeking to persuade him to leave the Sandy Hook families alone. Halbig never responded, but another person did. He stated: “Wolfgang does not wish to speak with you unless you exhume Noah’s body and prove to the world you lost your son.”

73. Halbig’s activities were well known when he first appeared on the Alex Jones Radio Show, and throughout the times during which Jones hosted his appearances; in fact, Jones invited and hosted him on his show, time and again, precisely because of his activities.

74. On his show, Jones expressly encouraged Halbig to continue these activities on numerous occasions.

75. During that broadcast of the Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones repeatedly advertised Halbig’s website, sandyhookjustice.com.

76. Halbig’s website made numerous false, outrageous, and defamatory statements about the plaintiffs.

- A. For instance, the website accused Jeremy Richman, father of Avielle Richman, who was murdered at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012, of having fabricated his daughter’s identity and faked her death “to steal money from hard-working Americans.” Those false and outrageous accusations were accompanied by pictures of Jeremy, Avielle, and an unrelated Newtown child.
- B. Halbig’s website further stated that “Jeremy Richman & Jennifer Hensel continue to deceive and defraud the American public and collect donations for The Avielle Foundation, for Avielle Richman claiming she is dead, when in reality, she is alive and was never their daughter.” It further stated: “The corruption, fraud, and treason must stop, especially at the Bridgeport, Connecticut Law Firm of Pullman & Comley LLC, managers of the My Sandy

Hook Family Fund, actively engaging in FRAUD by soliciting donations from the public for a murder victim who is still alive.”⁸

- C. Halbig’s website contained links to numerous Infowars and/or Alex Jones videos claiming that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax, as well as videos that contained imagery and the names of some of the plaintiffs’ children.⁹
- D. It also published images, text, and video asserting that plaintiffs William Aldenberg and David Wheeler are in fact the same person, who is a crisis actor.
- E. Faced with invasion-of-privacy lawsuits, Halbig took down his website in August 2016. However, he has continued to publish false, malicious, and defamatory statements regarding the Sandy Hook shooting and its victims continually through Facebook, email, and various radio and internet media outlets.

77. Halbig has also published Facebook posts containing images and text asserting that plaintiffs William Aldenberg and David Wheeler are in fact the same person, and that person is a crisis actor.¹⁰ His Facebook page continues to display those publications.

78. Jones was aware that Halbig had published such statements through his website and other outlets. In fact, Jones brought Halbig onto his shows for the very purpose of eliciting and publishing such statements.

79. In addition to hosting Halbig on his show time and again, Jones specifically directed and encouraged Halbig to continue his Sandy-Hook-related activities in Connecticut. Jones and other Infowars personnel specifically solicited that their audience contribute funds to Halbig so that he could continue his efforts.

80. In fact, Jones and Infowars sent Infowars personnel to Connecticut to conduct live “reporting” on Halbig’s activities and publish his false, defamatory, and outrageous accusations about the plaintiffs.

81. For instance, on May 29, 2015, The Alex Jones Channel published an Infowars video, now posted on YouTube with the title “School Administrator Exposes Sandy Hook Stonewall.”¹¹

⁸ <https://web.archive.org/web/20160322115755/http://sandyhookjusticereport.com:80/monte-frank/avielle-richman-1-20-students-supposedly-died-sandy-hook-school-shooting-verified-28-year-veteran-forensic-expert-witness-girl-photos-lenie-urbina/>.

⁹ <https://web.archive.org/web/20150731014342/http://www.sandyhookjustice.com:80/>.

¹⁰ See, e.g., <https://www.facebook.com/wolfgang.halbig.1/posts/196562527355324>; <https://www.facebook.com/wolfgang.halbig.1/posts/195439914134252>.
<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=196561627355414&set=pb.100010047343967.-2207520000.1526661423.&type=3&theater>.

¹¹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SO8Xb-t4nT4>.

82. The video was introduced by David Knight. The video then cut to Dan Bidondi, an Infowars reporter, who was reporting from Halbig's Freedom of Information Act hearing in Hartford, Connecticut.

83. Infowars reporter Dan Bidondi had traveled to Hartford, CT to "report" on this hearing on behalf of Infowars and Alex Jones.

84. On information and belief, other Infowars employees, apparent agents, and/or agents, including videographer Kevin Laprade,¹² traveled to and were located in Hartford, Connecticut, for the creation of this video.

85. Sklanka acted as Halbig's driver, and actively facilitated his appearance on Infowars.¹³

86. On July 7, 2015, The Alex Jones Show broadcast a video now posted on YouTube with the title "Retired FBI Agent Investigates Sandy Hook: MEGA MASSIVE COVER UP."¹⁴

87. Jones noted, referring to the May 29, 2015 video, "I knew that we'd sent a reporter, Dan Bidondi, there for days, to cover the city council hearings about it, the fact that they're sealing everything."¹⁵

88. Jones continued, "Bidondi did a great job. I just wish I had known about this. I would have gone up there. Halbig tried to get me to go. I just am trying to launch the TV network and the new website and everything else."

89. Jones and Infowars purposefully sought to direct their message and spur "investigation" of the Sandy Hook families and the Newtown, Connecticut community in other ways, as well. For example, Jones took calls from listeners who called claiming to live close to Newtown, Connecticut, and encouraged them to continue their "investigations."¹⁶

90. Jones and the rest of the Jones defendants acted together to develop, disseminate, and propagate the false statements described in this Complaint.

91. Similarly, Sklanka and Halbig acted together, and they both acted together with the Jones defendants, to develop, disseminate, and propagate many of the false statements described in this Complaint.

92. Jones and other Infowars personnel mentioned in this Complaint were at all relevant times servants, agents, apparent agents, employees, and/or joint venturers of the Jones defendants.

¹² <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10209638407182257&set=a.3495510351748.2134051.1391267684&type=3&theater>.

¹³ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4_2FznkfcBU.

¹⁴ <https://www.mediamatters.org/embed/clips/2016/11/29/51284/gcn-alexjones-20150707-shooting>; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8YV1eWq8YSc>.

¹⁵ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jCOe3qIgyFA>.

¹⁶ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TGCfi_ot0CU.

93. Defendant Halbig was at all relevant times a servant, agent, apparent agent, employee, and/or joint venturer of the Jones defendants.

94. Defendant Sklanka was at all relevant times a servant, agent, apparent agent, employee, and/or joint venturer of Halbig and the Jones defendants.

95. Defendants GENESIS COMMUNICATIONS and MIDAS RESOURCES both participated in this conspiracy and independently distributed the publications contained in this Complaint.

THE DEFENDANTS' SANDY-HOOK-BASED BUSINESS MODEL

96. The Jones defendants and their co-conspirators' conduct is based on a simple motive: greed. The defendants' business model is based on their fabrication, propagation, and amplification of conspiracy-minded falsehoods like those about Sandy Hook. It is a very lucrative business model.

97. The Jones defendants have developed and cultivated an audience through the propagation of narratives revolving around paranoia, social anxiety, and political discord, a known motivator for some people.¹⁷

98. The false claim that the Sandy Hook shooting was a government-sponsored hoax designed to lead to gun control was therefore a prime narrative for attracting, augmenting, and agitating Jones's audience.

99. Jones and his subordinates hold themselves out as trusted newsmen, assiduously assuming the paraphernalia and symbology of television and radio journalism. This is obvious to anyone watching or listening to Infowars content: the consciously deepened voice; the news-anchor's huge Lucite desk; the shuffling of papers; the clipped news-anchor's diction and regular tone modulation; the title-and-picture callouts by story; the breaking-news broadcast opening and transition graphics using Infowars logos; the regular references to Infowars "reporters" and "investigations."

100. Once he has their attention and trust, Jones exploits his audience by selling them products in line with the paranoid worldview he promotes. In his internet-based and broadcast radio shows, the Jones defendants hawk "open currency" precious metals, pre-packaged food and dietary supplements, "male enhancement" elixirs and radiation-defeating iodine tablets, gas masks and body armor, and various customized AR-15 "lower receivers" (the extruded metal frame that encloses the breach, ammunition feed and firing mechanism of the rifle).¹⁸

101. According to non-parties Bankrate LLC and Celebrity Net Worth, in mid-2017, Alex Jones himself had a personal net worth of approximately \$10,000,000. According to non-

¹⁷ See generally Richard J. Hofstadter, *The Paranoid Style in American Politics, and Other Essays* (1964).

¹⁸ <https://www.INFOWARStore.com/gear/personal-protective-gear/victory-series-2-minuteman-limited-edition-80-lower-ar-receiver.html>.

party Worth of the Web, an Internet-based clearinghouse that brokers sales among existing websites and web-based businesses, Infowars.com is worth approximately \$68,000,000.

102. In May 2013, just six months after the Sandy Hook shootings, when his false narrative about those events was beginning to crescendo, an investigative reporter estimated that Alex Jones was making approximately \$10,000,000 annually, which excluded any additional revenue attributable to book and DVD sales, remunerated speaking engagements and on-line merchandise sales, promotional tie-ins and royalties.¹⁹

103. In other words, the Jones defendants concoct elaborate and false paranoia-tinged conspiracy theories because it moves product and they make money. Jones and his subordinates say what they say not because they are eager to educate or even to entertain their audience. Rather, they deliberately stoke social anxiety and political discord in their listeners, because distrust in government and cultural tribalism motivate those listeners to buy their products.

104. News reports confirm that Jones and his business entities engage in the kind of conduct described in this Complaint not because they truly believe what they are saying, but rather because it increases profits.

105. Former employees described “money-bomb fundraisers” that raised \$100,000 in a day (for a for-profit entity), and programming being chosen based on its “being sensational and making money.” “People were just so taken by the information we’d been pushing they’d do anything,” one said.²⁰

106. In fact, during the legal proceedings in which Jones sought custody of his three children, Jones’s own attorney argued that Jones’s two decades of activities were mere “performance art” and that “he’s playing a character.”²¹

THE CAMPAIGN OF ABUSE

107. Jones’s and Infowars’s campaign of abuse began only days after the plaintiffs lost their loved ones to Adam Lanza’s murderous rampage.

108. On December 19, 2012, Infowars.com published an article entitled “FATHER OF SANDY HOOK VICTIM ASKS ‘READ THE CARD?’ SECONDS BEFORE TEAR-JERKING PRESS CONFERENCE.”²²

¹⁹ Alex Seitz-Wald, *Alex Jones: Conspiracy, Inc.*, Salon (May 2, 2013).

²⁰ Charlie Warzel, Alex Jones Will Never Stop Being Alex Jones, *Buzzfeed* (May 3, 2017), https://www.buzzfeed.com/charliewarzel/alex-jones-will-never-stop-being-alex-jones?utm_term=.yqXeXzdLEZ#.qewdqoN0m2.

²¹ Corky Siemaszko, *InfoWars’ Alex Jones Is a ‘Performance Artist,’ His Lawyer Says in Divorce Hearing*, NBCNews (Apr. 17, 2017), <https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/not-fake-news-infowars-alex-jones-performance-artist-n747491>.

²² Infowars.com, *Father of Sandy Hook Victim Asks ‘Read the Card?’ Seconds Before Tear Jerking Press Conference*, Infowars (Dec. 19, 2012), <https://www.infowars.com/father-of-sandy-hook-victim-asks-read-the-card-seconds-before-tear-jerking-press-conference/>.

109. That article alleges that plaintiff Robbie Parker, father of six-year-old Emilie Parker, read off a card at a press conference the day after his daughter was killed. The article asked, “Is the establishment media trying to steer the victims’ reactions?”

110. An embedded video was entitled: “Sandy Hook Shooting Exposed As a Fraud.”

111. At the bottom of the article, a “Statement from Alex Jones” said, “It appears that members of the media or government have given him a card and are telling him what to say as they steer reaction to this event, so this needs to be looked into.”

112. On January 8, 2013, Infowars.com published an article entitled: “COLLEGE PROFESSOR SAYS ‘CRISIS ACTORS’ MAY HAVE PLAYED PART IF SANDY HOOK WAS INDEED A HOAX.”²³

113. The article quoted James Tracy, a former Professor at Florida Atlantic University who has since been fired: “After such a harrowing event why are select would-be family members and students lingering in the area and repeatedly offering themselves for interviews? . . . A possible reason is that they are trained actors working under the direction of state and federal authorities and in coordination with cable and broadcast network talent to provide tailor-made crisis acting that realistically drives home the event’s tragic features.”

114. In the narratives and parlance of mass-shooting conspiracy theorists, “crisis actors” are actors employed by government agencies or other powerful actors to stage shootings, in which they play victims, witnesses, and bystanders.

115. The article is accompanied by an embedded video with the title “Sandy Hook Mass Media Psyop: Outtakes and Bloopers.”

116. A reasonable person would understand these statements, including the title of the embedded video, to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

January 27, 2013

117. On January 27, 2013, the Alex Jones Channel posted a video now advertised on YouTube under the title: “Why People Think Sandy Hook is A Hoax.”²⁴ Just over a month had passed since the shooting at Sandy Hook.

118. Jones appeared in that video. During the video, he stated, “Now again, in the last month and a half, I have not come out and clearly said that this was a staged event. Unfortunately, evidence is beginning to come out that points more and more in that direction, and we’re going to show you that evidence in the moment. Now a lot of the tens of millions of video views on

²³ Infowars.com, *College Professor says ‘Crisis Actors’ May Have Played Part if Sandy Hook was Indeed a Hoax*, Infowars (Jan. 8, 2013), <https://www.infowars.com/college-professor-says-crisis-actors-may-have-played-part-if-sandy-hook-was-indeed-a-hoax/>.

²⁴ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tM5ZdO-IgEE>.

YouTube concerning the Sandy Hook hoax surround CNN, and what appears to be people who've been coached, people who have been given cue cards, people who are behaving like actors."

119. Jones later stated, "I clearly believe from the evidence, children were really killed at Sandy Hook, and it's a real tragedy. But the fact that there having people script things and that answers are being scripted, is incontrovertible."

120. Later in the video, Jones stated, "One of the big issues out there that has people asking questions is Robbie Parker, who reportedly lost one of his daughters. And people see the photos out there where it looks like Obama's meeting with all three of his children, and things like that. And, when you watch the footage, I know grieving parents do strange things, but it looks like he's saying, 'Okay, do I read off the card,' he's laughing, and then he goes over, and starts, um, basically breaking down and crying."

121. Jones then played a video of plaintiff Robert Parker making a statement the day after the shooting. Parker lost his daughter, Emilie, in the Sandy Hook shooting.

122. Under the video was a chyron with the words "Odd Parent Reaction from SandyHook [sic]."

123. As the video of Parker played, Jones commented over it. "I haven't touched this," he said. "All I know is they're seizing on it. They staged fast and furious . . . that killed thousands, our government, to blame the Second Amendment, they'd stage anything."

124. Later in the broadcast, Jones said, "This needs to be investigated. They're clearly using this to go after our guns . . . Something though, really, is starting to get suspicious here . . . But the fact that this whole thing could be staged, it's just mindblowing. Tell us what you think. Great job to all the people out there with the crowdsourcing, that are resourcing all these clips."

125. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

126. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

May 27, 2013

127. On May 27, 2013, Dr. Steve Pieczenik appeared on the Alex Jones Radio Show in an episode advertised on YouTube under the title "Sandy Hook was A Total False Flag!"²⁵

128. During that appearance, Pieczenik stated, "Sandy Hook was a total false flag. There was no individual involved; there was no Asperger's; there was no 24 kids who were killed."

²⁵ The Alex Jones Channel, *Dr. Steve Pieczenik: Sandy Hook was A Total False Flag!*, YouTube (Mar. 27, 2013), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5EfyD7Wu5fQ&t=18s>.

129. In the parlance and narratives of mass-shooting conspiracy theorists, “false flag” is the idea that a shooting or other attack was staged by the government or other powerful forces.

130. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

131. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

132. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

March 14, 2014

133. On March 14, 2014, The Alex Jones Radio show broadcast an episode advertised on YouTube under the title “Sandy Hook, False Narratives Vs. The Reality.”²⁶ During that episode Jones hosted Wolfgang Halbig.

134. Jones stated, “We’ve got people clearly coming up and laughing and then doing the fake crying. We’ve clearly got people where it’s actors playing different parts of different people.”

135. He continued, “I’ve looked at it and undoubtedly there’s a cover up, there’s actors, they’re manipulating, they’ve been caught lying, and they were pre-planning before it and rolled out with it.”

136. Jones stated, “The biggest piece of evidence is that [former New York Mayor Michael] Bloomberg had his social network folks ready two days before.”

137. After claiming that he and Infowars had proven that the Boston Marathon bombing was a hoax, Jones later stated, “These people got to be stopped. If they’ll stage the Boston bombing and Sandy Hook, they will do anything. The good news is that most people I’ve seen don’t believe Sandy Hook. I think it blew up in their face.”

138. Later, in conversation with Halbig, Jones stated, “Obviously I agree with you, something’s going on, they’re covering up, it’s all staged.”

139. Later in the conversation, referring to the Sandy Hook shooting, Halbig stated “I think it was a major drill, portrayed as one of the best illusions ever.”

140. Jones stated, “We want to send—maybe even I’ll go—we want to send reporters up there, Wolfgang, with you, and I understand how dangerous this is so maybe my reporters don’t want to go, they probably will want to go, but maybe it’s a mission I should go on, there’s just so much to run here.”

²⁶ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=esIvAO2aIlw>; <https://www.mediamatters.org/embed/clips/2016/11/29/51283/gcn-alexjones-20140314-shooting>.

141. The audience for these broadcasts has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

May 13, 2014

142. On May 13, 2014, The Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast an episode advertised on YouTube under the title “Bombshell: Sandy Hook Massacre Was A DHS Illusion Says School Safety Expert.”²⁷

143. That day, Jones hosted Wolfgang Halbig.

144. During this video, Halbig stated: “I think the reason they’re not answering those questions ‘cause I think it’s going to expose their whole scam.”

145. Jones asked Halbig, “What are the big smoking guns . . . what are the big red flags?”

146. Halbig responded, “The red flags is that you’re looking at \$29 million . . . and there are 39 other community nonprofit organizations within Newtown that received a lot of funds.”

147. Jones interjected: “You’re saying a motive for the locals to go along with the fraud is money.”

148. Later, Jones prompted Halbig, “What about the kids going in circles, back and forth, the same people, into the school for the helicopters; it looked like a fake drill . . . just go through those points.”

149. Jones summarized, stating, “The cover up is the prima facie proof of the larger crime, and that we’re being lied to.”

150. He continued, “The whole thing, you’ve got ‘em jumping the gun . . . that Bloomberg was saying get ready the day before, get ready to fundraise on mass shootings . . . had a false start, didn’t you, Bloomy.”

151. Jones was asserting that former Mayor of New York City Michael Bloomberg knew about the shooting beforehand. The clear implication of this statement is that the shooting was “staged” and a hoax.

152. During that show, Jones stated, in reference to the Sandy Hook shooting, “Kids going in circles, totally staged . . . I mean clearly, it’s a drill, just like the Boston bombing.”

153. Halbig understood Jones. He responded, “Children did not die, teachers did not die, on December 14, 2012.” As he spoke, video of Robbie Parker at the December 15, 2012 press conference played.

²⁷ Alex Jones Channel, *Bombshell: Sandy Hook Massacre Was A DHS Illusion Says School Safety Expert*, YouTube (May 13, 2014), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x2a1FwYEZS4>.

154. Halbig further stated, “Alex, we’ve never had a time in our history, where Sandy Hook, a school massacre, the biggest illusion ever portrayed by Homeland Security and FEMA . . .”

155. During Jones’s and Halbig’s discussion stating that the Sandy Hook shooting was faked, video of plaintiff Robert Parker’s press conference played above the chyron “Odd Parent Reaction from SandyHook [sic],” pausing it and playing it in slow motion during parts where it appears Parker is smiling.

156. During that show, Jones stated, in reference to the Sandy Hook shooting, “I mean it’s fake! Blue screens, it’s fake! . . . You got parents laughing [mocking laughing], ‘watch this,’ and then [mocking crying] method acting, [mocking crying and wailing] ‘Oh, my child!’ I mean, it’s just ridiculous! You’ve got coroners that start laughing—and I don’t mean uncomfortably, I mean like laughing—with the State Police when they’re giving press conferences. I mean, it just is the fakest thing since the three-dollar bill!”

157. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

158. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

159. These statements were also contained in another video posted to YouTube, entitled “Sandy Hook Red Flags Ignored by Newtown School Board.”²⁸

160. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

September 25, 2014

161. On September 25, 2014, the Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast an episode advertised on YouTube under the title “FBI Says Nobody Killed at Sandy Hook Massacre.”²⁹

162. This assertion was a complete fabrication: the FBI had made no such statement.

163. During the September 25, 2014 broadcast of the Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones and Halbig asserted that FBI crime statistics showed that no one was killed at Sandy Hook.

164. This was a false statement. FBI crime statistics showed no such thing.

²⁸ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YiXpr30oUkA>.

²⁹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N1RlzXvGy2s>. This video has since been removed “for violating YouTube’s policy on harassment and bullying,” but is now available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eqVqmFy4KW0>.

165. Jones stated that video from the day of the shooting showed that the same children were cycled in and out of the school and that no emergency helicopters were sent to the school, and were “clearly staged.”

166. Jones stated that two supposed former high-level national-defense officials had declared that Sandy Hook and the Boston bombing were faked.

167. Halbig asserted that “there were no trauma helicopters” sent to Newtown, that the other 600 children at the school could not be found, and that Sandy Hook Elementary School was “a toxic waste dump . . . the filthiest, most deplorable school . . . that I have ever seen.”

168. Jones asserted that the school was “a cut-out” used as a stage for the event.

169. Jones and Halbig both stated “I wish it was real instead of fake.”

170. Halbig stated that Connecticut state troopers “used they [sic] script,” that “it’s nothing but corruption in Connecticut,” and that 16 Connecticut state troopers lied under oath.

171. Halbig accused a company called Obsidian, located in Washington, D.C., of scripting well-known crises around the world, including Sandy Hook.

172. Halbig stated that “nobody died” at Sandy Hook. He stated that “they never allowed the paramedics or EMTs ever inside the school.”

173. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

September 26, 2014

174. On September 26, 2014, Infowars.com published an article by Adan Salazar entitled “SANDY HOOK INVESTIGATOR: CONNECTICUT PD HAD FBI FALSIFY CRIME STATISTICS.”

175. The article repeatedly quoted Wolfgang Halbig, who alleged that he had “discovered various anomalies which have led him to believe the entire incident was a contrived event.”

176. The article contained the following quote: “The American people need to wake up and listen to what these exercises are,” says Halbig. “A Homeland Security FEMA Capstone Exercise, it starts at the White House, at the president’s desk, goes all the way through Congress, through the Attorney General, down through the FBI, all the way down to the local government. It is a whole community event, and that’s what I think happened at Sandy Hook.”

177. The article quoted Halbig as saying that there was “a ‘script’ followed during the event.”

178. It quoted him again, saying, “I’m telling you nobody died [at Sandy Hook] . . . I’ve been a school administrator for 30 some odd years. When I have a child with a broken arm, or I’ve got a fight on the school bus, or a child’s been stabbed or shot, the first thing we get ready for are lawsuits. Alex, if there would have been just 2 or 3 lawsuits filed by the parents or loved ones who lost someone that day at Sandy Hook, I’d go away.”

179. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

180. On information and belief, the article has been viewed by hundreds of thousands of persons.

December 12, 2014

181. On December 12, 2014, Infowars Nightly News broadcast an episode now advertised on YouTube under the title “The Ultimate Sandy Hook Debate As The 2nd Anniversary Looms.”³⁰

182. The episode consisted of a debate between Keith Johnson (a self-described conspiracy theorist with americanfreepress.net) and Halbig, about Sandy Hook, moderated by an Infowars personality named Rob Dew.

183. During that broadcast, Halbig asserted that Sandy Hook Elementary School had been used as a toxic waste dump before December 14, 2012, and therefore no shooting took place there.

184. Halbig stated, “On behalf of Dawn Hochsprung, who supposedly was the principal and was shot [in the massacre] . . . I can tell you this with certainty: Dawn Hochsprung would never, ever, in her life, have allowed her school . . . to look so filthy and so deplorable . . . she would have never . . . allowed her school to become a toxic waste dump exposing every one of her children and school staff members to serious lifelong health risks The doors are rotten, they’re filthy . . . there’s mildew.”

185. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

186. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

December 28, 2014

187. On December 28, 2014, during The Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones took a call from Kevin, a listener who called in claiming to live close to Newtown, Connecticut.³¹

³⁰ The Alex Jones Channel, *The Ultimate Sandy Hook Debate As The 2nd Anniversary Looms*, YouTube (Dec. 12, 2014), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6aK0P-WxjU8>.

³¹ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TGCfi_ot0CU.

188. Kevin said, “I’m calling about Sandy Hook. My take on it is . . . The whole thing is pretty much the next step in reality TV, because with other false flags, like 9/11 or Oklahoma City, or the Boston bombing, at least something happened. With Sandy Hook, there’s no there there. You’ve got a bunch of people walking around a parking lot, pretty much what it comes down to.”

189. Jones interrupted Kevin. “No, no, I’ve had the investigators on, the state police have gone public, you name it,” he said. “The whole thing is a giant hoax. And the problem is, how do you deal with a total hoax? How do you even convince the public something’s a total hoax?”

190. Kevin responded, “I always tell people the same thing: go out and prove the official story. And . . . I know the millisecond this happened, with that now-fake picture of the kids being led out of the school, that there’s nothing that’s going to sell this agenda like dead elementary school kids.”

191. Jones interrupted Kevin again. “The general public doesn’t know the school was actually closed the year before,” he said. “They don’t know they’ve sealed it all, demolished the building. They don’t know that they had the kids going in circles in and out of the building as a photo-op. Blue screen, green screens, they got caught using.”

192. Jones continued, “People just instinctively know that there’s a lot of fraud going on. But it took me about a year with Sandy Hook to come to grips with the fact that the whole thing was fake. I mean, even I couldn’t believe it. I knew they jumped on it, used the crisis, hyped it up. But then I did deep research—and my gosh, it just pretty much didn’t happen.”

193. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

194. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

195. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

January 2, 2015

196. On January 2, 2015, Infowars.com published an article by Adan Salazar entitled “MYSTERY: SANDY HOOK VICTIM DIES (AGAIN) IN PAKISTAN.”

197. The article alleged that the “face . . . one of the children supposedly killed in the December 2012 Sandy Hook shooting in Newtown, Connecticut” had “without explanation . . . appeared in multiple photos and reports” related to an attack conducted by the Pakistani Taliban on a Pakistan Army school on December 16, 2015.

198. “Can the photo’s misuse simply be brushed off as another bumbling Google image search mistake?” the article stated. “Or could it be willful subterfuge aimed at poking fun at those who question the validity of the Sandy Hook event?”

199. The pictures used were images of Noah Pozner.

200. Upon information and belief, hundreds of thousands of people have viewed this article.

January 13, 2015

201. On January 13, 2015, during a broadcast of The Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones said, “Yeah, so, Sandy Hook is a synthetic completely fake with actors, in my view, manufactured. I couldn’t believe it at first. I knew they had actors there, clearly, but I thought they killed some real kids. And it just shows how bold they are, that they clearly used actors. I mean they even ended up using photos of kids killed in mass shootings here in a fake mass shooting in Turkey—so yeah, or Pakistan. The sky is now the limit. I appreciate your call.”³²

202. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

203. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

204. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

February 12, 2015

205. On February 12, 2015, during the broadcast of The Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones, David Knight, and Rob Dew discussed the December 12, 2014 “debate” broadcast by Jones and Infowars and discussed in paragraphs 181 through 186 of this Complaint.³³

206. Jones, Knight, and Dew recounted several purported arguments that the Sandy Hook shooting was faked, including that rescue helicopters weren’t called, the investigation was “classified,” “ambulances weren’t even at the school” and were “stuck at the firehouse,” and that former New York Mayor Michael Bloomberg “alert[ed] his people the day before to get ready for a big push.”

207. Rob Dew stated “it looks like something went on there.”

208. Jones interrupted him saying, “A drill.”

³² <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ap-DvMoiMOY>.

³³ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v= QKCAo54hPk>.

209. Dew continued, “It stinks to high heaven. You got the actor father who comes out [and] gets into character. I was a theater major. I worked with actors. I used to watch them get ready before they would go out and cry like that.”

210. Jones interjected, “You have a degree in theater!”

211. Dew continued, “I was in the play *Our Town*. I’m sitting there watching these other people about to go out and do the funeral scene and they’re pumping themselves up, doing exactly what [Robbie] did [gasping, labored breathing].”

212. Jones interjected, “First he’s laughing and smiling, and then”

213. Dew continued, “And then he’s totally sad, crying, and he was cracking jokes right before. That’s an actor. That’s an actor. I’m sorry [shrugs shoulders demonstratively].”

214. Then, a zoomed-in video of Robbie Parker at the press conference was played as Jones and Dew commented on it.

215. “I mean there it is,” Dew said. “He’s smiling.”

216. Jones said, “Then he huffs and puffs and gets into”

217. Dew said, “That is a tell-tale sign of somebody acting right there. Now I don’t know for a fact that this guy’s an actor. That looks like acting all the way.”

218. Later, they discussed the HONR Network, a group associated with Leonard Pozner dedicated to opposing Sandy Hook hoaxing. Jones stated, “Well we’ll just start investigating that. And I guess I’m gonna have to probably go up to Newtown. I’m gonna probably go investigate Florida as well.”

March 4, 2015

219. On March 4, 2015, The Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast an episode now advertised on YouTube under the title “New Bombshell Sandy Hook Information In-Bound.”³⁴

220. Jones accepted a caller, “Eric from Connecticut,” with whom he discussed the Sandy Hook shooting. Eric stated, “One more thing, with my own personal investigation with this, is you find out who Robbie Parker works for, and you really start going down the rabbit hole.” They discussed about how Parker was purportedly a “solicitor for the chips [sic] program,” a database where “you register your kids,” to which Jones responded, “The DNA, the Masons pushed that.”

221. As they spoke, a mirrored computer screen played a muted YouTube video (from user “Russianvids”) depicting Robbie Parker speaking at the December 15, 2012 press conference.

³⁴ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7ib5WkULBY>.

222. The title of the Youtube video was depicted, as well: “Sandy Hook Shooting Hoax Fraud Robbie Parker Actor Exposed Smiling Laughing then Fake Crying [sic].”

223. As the video played, a mouse cursor selected the words “Robbie Parker” in the title of the video, highlighting them to the viewer. Throughout the episode, Jones could be seen manipulating an Apple-brand cordless mouse. On information and belief, Jones was the person who highlighted the words “Robbie Parker.”

224. Jones then hosted Halbig on the episode.

225. During the broadcast, Jones asked Halbig to explain why Sandy Hook was “completely phony.”

226. Halbig stated the school was not in operation at the time of the shooting.

227. Jones stated that the school was suddenly reopened, received a “falling report” [sic], and did not look like a real school.

228. Halbig stated that it was the “most filthiest [sic] most deplorable school” and “loaded with lead paint . . . asbestos . . . PCP.”

229. Halbig stated that trauma helicopters were not called and that paramedics were not allowed to enter the school.

230. Jones stated, “I’m saying it was a drill, a giant piece of theater, did they really kill some kids? I don’t know.”

231. Referring to video of parents of children killed in the shooting, Jones stated that “they . . . bring in actors to break down and cry. . . used the same actors as different people.”

232. Both Jones and Halbig stated that Connecticut police ate lunch inside the school the day of the shooting.

233. Halbig encouraged listeners to contact the Newtown school board and ask them his questions.

234. A reasonable person would understand Jones’s and Halbig’s statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

235. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

236. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

May 28, 2015

237. On May 28, 2015, Infowars Nightly News broadcast an episode now advertised on YouTube under the title: “Sandy Hook: The Lies Keep Growing.”³⁵

238. David Knight hosted Halbig on Infowars Nightly News.

239. Halbig accused Newtown police chief Mike Kehoe of committing perjury.

240. Halbig once again appeared, and stated that the school was unsanitary and unsafe.

241. Halbig’s website was repeatedly advertised and listeners were encouraged to support him financially.

242. A reasonable person would understand Halbig’s statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

243. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

244. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

May 29, 2015

245. On May 29, 2015, The Alex Jones Channel published an Infowars video, now posted on YouTube with the title “School Administrator Exposes Sandy Hook Stonewall.”³⁶

246. The video was introduced by David Knight. The video then cut to Dan Bidondi, an Infowars reporter, who was reporting from Wolfgang’s Freedom of Information Act hearing in Hartford, Connecticut.

247. The video cut back and forth between excerpts from the hearing and interviews of Halbig by Bidondi in the hallway outside the hearing room.

248. Infowars reporter Dan Bidondi traveled to Hartford, CT to report on this hearing on behalf of Infowars and Alex Jones.

249. On information and belief, other Infowars agents, including the person doing the video recording, traveled to and were located in Hartford, Connecticut, for the creation of this video.

³⁵ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ml3KVj2nVRA>.

³⁶ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SO8Xb-t4nT4>.

250. Later on May 29, 2015, the Alex Jones Channel published another Infowars video on YouTube, entitled “New Sandy Hook Questions Arise from FOIA Hearing.”³⁷ The video featured David Knight interviewing Wolfgang Halbig by streaming video.

251. During the interview, Halbig stated that Sandy Hook Elementary School was “a toxic waste dump . . . and yet, we have parents who would allow their children to attend that type of a school? I don’t believe so. And if they did, those parents are negligent for putting their children at risk.”

252. Halbig was clearly suggesting that the school was empty on the day of the shooting, and therefore that the shooting did not happen—and that the Sandy Hook parents are lying about their children’s deaths.

253. On information and belief, these statements were heard by hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

July 7, 2015

254. On July 7, 2015, The Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast a video now posted on YouTube with the title “Retired FBI Agent Investigates Sandy Hook: MEGA MASSIVE COVER UP.”³⁸

255. Jones noted, referring to the May 29, 2015 video, “I knew that we’d sent a reporter Dan Bidondi there for days, to cover the city council hearings about it, the fact that they’re sealing everything.”³⁹

256. Jones rehearsed a number of his favorite arguments that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged. He stated, “It looked like a carnival. It looked like a big PR stunt. It came out that Bloomberg, a day before, sent an email out to his gun control groups, in all 50 states, saying, ‘prepare to roll, major operation coming up.’ . . . We have videos, that look just incredibly suspicious, people are laughing and everything, and then they start huffing and puffing and start crying on TV, which is pure acting method, you’ve got a degree, Rob, in theater. . . . This is something that even laypeople notice.”

257. This statement is clearly referring to Robbie Parker, and is most reasonably interpreted to be stating that Robbie was an actor and faked his daughter’s death.

258. “[T]he more we look at Sandy Hook,” Jones said, “I don’t want to believe it’s a false flag. I don’t know if kids really got killed, but you’ve got green screen with Anderson Cooper . . . and then his nose disappears. It’s fake! The whole thing, it’s—I don’t know what happened.”

³⁷ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5cll79t7Mrw>.

³⁸ <https://www.mediamatters.org/embed/clips/2016/11/29/51284/gcn-alexjones-20150707-shooting>;
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8YV1eWq8YSc>.

³⁹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jCOe3qIgyFA>.

259. He continued, “It’s kind of, you see a hologram at Disney World in the haunted house, I don’t know how they do it, it’s not real. When you take your kids to the haunted house and there are ghosts flying around, it’s not real, it’s staged . . . I don’t know what the trick is here, I got a good suspicion. But when you’ve got Wolfgang Halbig . . . he went and investigated, no paperwork, no nothing, it’s bull.”

260. Later, Jones stated, “But what about how for a mass shooting in Pakistan, they got photos of Sandy Hook kids,” and referring to an Infowars article, stated, “it’s like the same P.R. company is running this . . . and then they try to hit us with fake copyright deals whenever we show this.”

261. Jones put the article on the screen and read the headline: “Mystery: Sandy Hook Victim Dies (Again) in Pakistan.”

262. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

263. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

264. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

265. On that same day, The Alex Jones Show broadcast a longer video entitled “Full Show – Government is Manufacturing Crises.”⁴⁰ It contained the same statements as the video entitled “Retired FBI Agent Investigates Sandy Hook: MEGA MASSIVE COVER UP.”

266. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

November 17, 2016

267. On November 17, 2016, the Alex Jones Show broadcast an episode in which Alex Jones claimed that he had never claimed that Sandy Hook was a hoax. Almost immediately thereafter, he rehearsed a number of his common arguments that Sandy Hook was a hoax.

268. These included that “Anderson Cooper is using a green screen, his nose disappears”; “they have kids going in circles back into the buildings”; “the building was closed years before”; “it was filthy”; “no emergency helicopters were launched”; and “they’re sealing the death certificates and everything.”

269. Jones continued, “we’ve sent reporters up there, man, and that place is like *Children of the Corn* or something. I mean it is freaking weird.”

⁴⁰ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O34Oeg6GUIk>.

270. Jones further referenced “weird videos of reported parents of kids laughing and then all of a sudden they do the hyperventilating to cry to go on TV,” suggesting that parents of children killed at Sandy Hook were acting.⁴¹ Specifically, it seems Jones was referring to plaintiff Robert Parker’s statements during a press conference of December 15, 2012, which Jones has frequently described as “classic acting.”

271. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

272. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

273. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

November 18, 2016

274. On November 18, 2016, Jones broadcast a video now advertised on YouTube under the title, “Alex Jones Final Statement on Sandy Hook.”⁴²

275. During that video, Jones stated, “I want to reach out to my listeners as well and just clarify where I stand on the reported tragedy at Sandy Hook that took place at that elementary school.”

276. He continued: “For the last three or four years, it’s been mainstream media’s number-one attack against me to say that I said there was never anyone that actually died there. I’ve hosted debates against both sides, and I’ve been criticized by both sides—people that say that no one died there and people who say that the official story is exactly as we’ve been told. And I’ve always said that I’m not sure about what really happened, that there’s a lot of anomalies and there has been a cover-up of whatever did happen there.”

277. He stated: “There’s a few clips Hillary used in her campaign of me out of context saying I can see how people that look at all this evidence say no kids died there and this whole thing is a giant hoax, but at the same time there is some evidence that people died there. They take that out of context and misrepresent it. That’s why they’re the deceptive corporate media. But for those who do have an attention span for, say, 10 minutes or so, I will present to you the questions. And I’m going to be quite frank, I don’t know what really happened. I know there are real mass shootings. I know people lose children. I’m a father. It hurts my heart. So I don’t know what the truth is. All I know is the official story of Sandy Hook has more holes in it than Swiss cheese.”

278. Jones continued, rehearsing several of his most commonly employed arguments that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged. Referencing Veronique de la Rosa’s interview with Anderson Cooper, he stated, “That shows some kind of cover-up happening. And then I saw

⁴¹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KxwnPqwxeg>; <https://www.mediamatters.org/blog/2016/11/17/trump-ally-alex-jones-doubles-down-sandy-hook-conspiracy-theories/214524>.

⁴² <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MwudDfz1yAk>.

Anderson Cooper—I’ve been in TV for twenty-something years, I know a blue-screen or a green-screen—turn and his nose disappeared. Then I saw clearly that they were using footage on the green screen looped, because it would show flowers and other things during other broadcasts that were moving, and then basically cutting to the same piece of footage.”

279. Then he stated: “Then we see footage of one of the reported fathers of the victims, Robbie Parker, doing classic acting training, where he’s laughing and joking and then they go hey ‘we’re live’ and he goes ‘Oh,’ and [mocking, exaggerated moaning].” Jones was referring to plaintiff Robert Parker’s statements during a press conference of December 15, 2012, which he has frequently described as “classic acting.” Jones then played the video of Parker at the press conference.

280. Jones stated, “This is a tragedy. I wish it never would have happened. But quite frankly, I wish that the official story was true because that’s a lot less scary than them staging something like this. But when you think about how they staged [weapons of mass destruction] to kill over a million Iraqis, when you think about all the other hoaxes, all the other lies, all the other rigging, and the way they’re freaking out about it and trying to cover up every level of it, it just makes me ask what really happened there?”

281. In closing, Jones stated: “So, if children were lost at Sandy Hook, my heart goes out to each and every one of those parents. And the people who say they’re parents that I see on the news. The only problem is, I’ve watched a lot of soap operas. And I’ve seen actors before. And I know when I’m watching a movie and when I’m watching something real. Let’s look into Sandy Hook.”

282. The clear implication of these statements is that the accepted account of Sandy Hook—that the plaintiffs lost their loved ones in the shooting—is false, and that the plaintiffs have fabricated their loved ones’ deaths.

283. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

284. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

November 28, 2016

285. On November 11, 2016, plaintiff Erica Lafferty published an op-ed in USA Today asking President-Elect Trump to disavow Alex Jones and other people who falsely assert that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax.⁴³

⁴³ Erica Lafferty, *Mr. Trump, denounce Alex Jones : Sandy Hook Principal’s Daughter*, USA Today (Nov. 25, 2016), <https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2016/11/25/donald-trump-sandy-hook-alex-jones-column/94335420/>.

286. In response to the open letter, Infowars broadcasted a five-minute rant by Owen Shroyer, an Infowars “reporter,” defending Jones and attacking Lafferty.⁴⁴

287. Shroyer directly addressed Lafferty, stating, “Your logic [about gun control] failed your mother.”

288. Shroyer directly addressed Lafferty, accusing her of saying, “without any proof,” that Alex Jones had said that Sandy Hook was a hoax.

289. Shroyer stated, “[Jones is] not the one who’s denying Sandy Hook ever happened.”

290. These statements were obviously untrue: Alex Jones has denied many times that Sandy Hook ever happened.

291. Shroyer stated falsely that Lafferty had asserted that then-President-Elect Trump needed to face the death of a loved one.

292. This statement falsely described Lafferty’s appeal to sympathy as if it were a threat on the family of the President-Elect of the United States.

293. Shroyer repeatedly cited Halbig, saying that he has “done the best reporting” on the Sandy Hook shooting.

294. He stated to Lafferty, “Why are you butting heads with people [Jones and Halbig] that want to find out the truth of what happened to your mother?”

295. The truth about Lafferty’s mother, Dawn Hochsprung, is that she is dead.

296. Dawn Hochsprung is dead because, on the morning of December 14, 2012, she sacrificed her life trying to save her students from Adam Lanza’s murderous rampage at Sandy Hook Elementary School.

March 8, 2017

297. On the March 8, 2017 edition of The Alex Jones Show, Jones hosted Eddie Bravo.

298. During the interview, Bravo stated, “Dr. Steve Pieczenik, and you got some heat for this, this is kind of changing the subject a little bit. Dr. Steve Pieczenik, on your show, said that no kids died at Sandy Hook, that it was a homeland security drill that they passed off as a real—”

299. Jones stated, “He says that. And I’ve been hit really hard with it. I can’t prove it one way or the other. I know Anderson Cooper is standing up there and turns and his whole nose disappears. I work in TV, I know what a blue screen is, bro.”

⁴⁴ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9PdrIrSCLu0>.

300. A reasonable person would understand Jones and Bravo to have been stating that the Sandy Hook massacre was faked, and that the plaintiffs participated in a fraud that was based on lying about the deaths of their loved ones.

301. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones's radio affiliates.

302. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

April 22, 2017

303. On April 22, 2017, the Alex Jones Show broadcast an episode, also released on YouTube, entitled "Sandy Hook Vampires Exposed."⁴⁵

304. During that episode, Jones showed video footage of an interview between one of the Sandy Hook parents and Anderson Cooper. Over this footage, Mr. Jones stated: "And then we've got Anderson Cooper, famously, not just with the flowers blowing and a fake, but when he turns, his nose disappears repeatedly because the green-screen isn't set right. And they don't like to do live feeds because somebody might run up. CNN did that in the Gulf War and admitted it. They just got caught two weeks ago doing it in supposedly Syria. And all we're saying is, if these are known liars that lied about WMDs, and lied to get us in all these wars, and backed the Arab Spring, and Libya, and Syria, and Egypt, and everywhere else to overthrow governments, and put in radical Islamicists [sic], if they do that and have blood on their hands, and lied about the Iraq War, and were for the sanctions that killed half a million kids, and let the Islamicists [sic] attack Serbia, and lied about Serbia launching the attack, when it all came out later that Serbia didn't do it, how could you believe any of it if you have a memory? If you're not Dory from 'Finding Dory,' you know, the Disney movie. Thank god you're so stupid, thank god you have no memory. It all goes back to that."

305. Jones told his audience that they should not "believe any of it."

306. As discussed throughout this complaint, the "faked" Anderson Cooper interview is one of Jones's favorite arguments that the entire Sandy Hook massacre was fabricated and that the plaintiffs were actors who faked their loved ones' death.

307. During the April 22, 2017 broadcast, Jones and an Infowars producer made other statements rehearsing familiar themes from the defendants' campaign of lies and abuse.

308. In conversation with the producer, Jones stated: "And that's on helicopter footage, and then they say it never existed, and later admit it does, and the school was closed until that year, in the videos it's all rotting and falling apart and nobody is even in it, and the kids are going in circles, in and out of the building with their hands up, and they never called rescue choppers. I mean, exactly."

⁴⁵ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rUn1jKhWTXI>.

309. The Infowars producer responded: “There’s some supposed dash footage where the people are smiling and getting their lunches ready, police officers. You think you’re going to have smiling police officers at a time when they’re supposedly bringing out twenty dead kids? And they’re smiling and getting their lunches ready.”

310. Mr. Jones responded: “And they had Port-A-Potties being delivered an hour after it happened, for the big media event.”

311. The Infowars producer responded: “We’ve never seen, there was never been any even blurred photos of any bodies or anything . . . We didn’t even get blurred images with the dead kids in Syria. We got crisp photos.”

312. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones. A reasonable person would also understand the defendants to be stating that the plaintiffs were “Sandy Hook Vampires.”

April 28, 2017

313. On April 28, 2017, Jones held a press conference in which he was asked if he believes that Sandy Hook was a “false flag.” Jones stated: “I think we should investigate everything because the government has staged so much stuff, and then they lie and say that I said the whole thing was totally fake when I was playing devil’s advocate in a debate. I said maybe the whole thing is real, maybe the whole thing is fake. They were using blue screens out there . . . Yes, governments stage things.”⁴⁶

314. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

June 13, 2017

315. On June 13, 2017, Jones posted a video to the InfoWars Facebook page in which he once again rehearsed his lie about the “faked” CNN interview. Jones stated: “But there’s been a cover-up. Anderson Cooper got caught, faking where his location was with blue-screen. I mean, it’s all there.”⁴⁷

316. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

⁴⁶ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=StOyqyt0fkY>.

⁴⁷ <https://www.facebook.com/80256732576/videos/10155465593882577/>.

The Megyn Kelly Interview

The Preview

317. On June 11, 2017, television news personality Megyn Kelly interviewed Jones for her weekly news magazine on NBC News.

318. NBC released a preview of the interview on the internet on the following day.

319. In footage contained in the preview, Jones stated, “Well, Sandy Hook’s complex because I have had debates where, we devil’s advocates have said the whole story is true, and then I have had debates where I have said that none of it is true.”

320. Later, Kelly stated, “When you say parents faked their children’s deaths, people get very angry.”

321. In response, Jones asserted that people do not get angry about the deaths that resulted from the sanctions against Iraq or other tragedies in the world, and complained about the media’s coverage of such events. He did not deny claiming that the plaintiff parents faked their children’s deaths.

322. Kelly stated, “That doesn’t excuse what you did and said about Newtown, and you know it.”

323. Jones stated, “Here’s the difference. I looked at all the angles of Newtown, and I made my statements long before the media even picked up on it.”

324. The most reasonable interpretation of this statement is that Jones was saying that his account—in which he repeatedly stated that the shooting was staged, that parents were actors, and that no children were killed—was more reliable than the established media account, and true.

325. These statements were heard by millions of people.

June 15, 2017 – Infowars

326. During a video released on Infowars on June 15, 2017, Jones stated the following about his interview with Megyn Kelly: “She said things like, ‘Oh, you talked about Newtown not happening and hurt people’s feelings,’ and I explained, ‘No I looked at all different angles, [unintelligible] could have happened, but they staged the WMDs in Iraq and they staged the babies in the incubators, so they’ve lied about babies before . . . I said, they’ve staged babies in incubators in Bush I, and then they did it again . . . so it could’ve been staged, because they stage things.’”

327. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

328. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

Alex Jones's June 18, 2017 Pre-NBC-Broadcast Programming

329. The final version of Jones's interview with Megyn Kelly aired on NBC News on June 18, 2017.

330. Before the interview aired that day, Jones published several pre-show videos on Infowars.

331. During those videos, he made repeated statements suggesting that the Sandy Hook shooting did not happen and/or that the plaintiffs' loved ones did not die there.

- A. In one, he said, "But I'm looking at it I think Newtown did happen. But I'm not the creator of people questioning Newtown and Sandy Hook. My guests covered it, I've covered it."
- B. Later, he said, "My intel is that I've seen the parents and it looked real to me. If I can't prove something one hundred percent I'm not going to go there. We know governments have staged things and you have a right to question it."
- C. He continued, "But you have the total right to question Sandy Hook. You know, I'm tempted to make a documentary about it, just for my own hellish experience. It's like the babies in the incubators . . . none of it was true."
- D. He added, "No, I've been saying since it happened that I don't know whether the official story's true or not. And now you can't prove it one way or the other there's some anomalies. But the parents look pretty legitimate to me."
- E. While interspersed with vacillations, Jones's statements convey a clear message: that the accepted account of the Sandy Hook shooting—that the plaintiffs lost their loved ones there—is untrue, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones. Of special note was his abnormally stilted and "official" tone, which a reasonable person knowing Jones's show would interpret as a "wink" at his audience.

332. Later that day, Jones hosted Dr. Steve Pieczenik as a guest on his program.

333. As discussed in paragraphs 127 through 132 of this complaint, Pieczenik had stated in a previous appearance on Jones's show that no children died at Sandy Hook and that the parents were actors.

334. In introducing Pieczenik on this occasion, Jones stated, "He's a smart guy, he doesn't buy into what happened, reportedly there in Newtown I personally can't prove it one way

or the other so I'm just going to say that my heart goes out to the families and I believe it happened."

335. During his appearance on the show, Pieczenik said, "All the hedge fund owners . . . left down to Miami thanks to Dan Malloy and Sandy Hook. So every one of these paid [Sandy Hook] parents, whoever they may be, are totally, totally disingenuous."

336. In response to Pieczenik's statement, Jones said, in his abnormal, "official" tone, "All I know is that they've staged fake things before."

337. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

338. These statements were heard by hundreds of thousands, if not millions of people.

The June 18, 2017 NBC Broadcast

339. During the interview with Kelly that aired on NBC, Jones made several additional statements suggesting that the shooting never happened.

340. At one point, Kelly read Jones's previous statement describing the Sandy Hook shooting as a hoax, recounted in paragraphs 187 through 195 of this complaint.

341. In response, Jones stated, "At that point—and I do think there was some cover up and some manipulation—that is pretty much what I believed. But then I was also going into devil's advocate, but then we know there's mass shootings and these things happen. So again—"

342. Later, Kelly said, "If you wrongly went out there and said it was a hoax. That's wrong."

343. In response, Jones said, "But what I already answered your question was—listeners and other people are covering this—I didn't create that story."

344. Later, Kelly stated, "But Alex, the parents—one after the other—devastated. The dead bodies that the coroner autopsied."

345. In response, Jones said, "And they blocked all that and they won't release any of it. That's unprecedented—"

346. Later, Kelly asked Jones if he was playing "devil's advocate" when he said "the whole thing is a giant hoax."

347. Jones responded, "Yes, because I remember in, even that day— I will go back for memory—they saying but then some of it looks like it's real. But what do you do when they got the kids going in circles in and out of the building with their hands up. I've watched the footage and it looks like a drill."

348. Even in that statement, while claiming—falsely—that he was playing “devil’s advocate” when making those previous statements, Jones again asserted that the Sandy Hook shooting did not happen.

349. Next, Kelly said, “When you say parents faked their children’s deaths, people get very angry.”

350. Jones responded, “Oh, I know—but they don’t get angry about the half million that Iraq from the sanctions or the don’t get angry about all the legal—”

351. Later, Kelly said, “That doesn’t excuse what you did and said about Newtown, you know it.”

352. Jones responded, “Here’s the difference, here’s the difference—I looked at all the angles of Newtown and I made my statements long before the media even picked up on it.”

353. In a voiceover, Kelly then noted that Jones, despite being “asked . . . numerous times what he now believes . . . never completely disavowed his previous statements.”

354. It then played clip of Jones saying, “I tend to believe that children probably did die there, but then you look at all the evidence on the other side, I can see how other people believe nobody died there.”

355. There is no evidence “on the other side.”

356. A reasonable person would understand Jones’s statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

357. More than three million people saw and heard these statements by Jones.

Alex Jones’s June 18, 2017 Counter-Programming

358. Meanwhile, as the interview played on NBC, Jones himself was broadcasting a live play-by-play video commentary on Infowars.

359. The Sandy Hook segment of the NBC interview began with a Megyn Kelly voiceover describing Jones’s statements that Sandy Hook was a hoax. As the voiceover played, Jones said, “Babies in the incubators.”

360. As evidenced by statements recounted previously in this complaint, “babies in the incubators” is a well-established Alex Jones metonym for a staged, false event.

361. This statement is properly interpreted as a reiteration and reaffirmation of Jones’s previous statements that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged and that no children died there.

362. Later, as his statement that he “looked at all the angles of Newtown” in the NBC interview played in the background, Jones said, “I said I thought it happened before they were picked up. They never showed my final analysis.”

363. This statement appears to be an admission that Jones never actually believed that Sandy Hook was a hoax, even as he maintained unequivocally that it was.

364. On information and belief, these statements were also broadcast on Alex Jones’s radio affiliates.

365. Hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people heard these statements.

June 26, 2017

366. During the June 18, 2017 profile of Jones for her NBC show Sunday Night with Megyn Kelly, Ms. Kelly interviewed one of the Sandy Hook parents, Neil Heslin, about the claims made by Jones, including that “the whole thing was fake” and “a giant hoax.” Addressing Jones’s lies, Heslin told Kelly, “I lost my son. I buried my son. I held my son with a bullet hole through his head.”

367. On June 26, 2017, Infowars broadcast a segment hosted by “reporter” Owen Shroyer in which Shroyer claimed to have reviewed evidence showing it was impossible for Mr. Heslin to have held his son and seen his injury. This broadcast was meant to reinforce and support the underlying lie that the Sandy Hook parents are fakes.⁴⁸

368. Shroyer stated: “The statement [Heslin] made, fact-checkers on this have said cannot be accurate. He’s claiming that he held his son and saw the bullet hole in his head. That is his claim. Now, according to a timeline of events and a coroner’s testimony, that is not possible.”

369. Shroyer’s support for this statement was deceptively edited video footage in which the local medical examiner informed reporters that the slain students were initially identified using photographs rather than in person. However, the Sandy Hook parents were permitted to see and hold their children soon thereafter.

370. Shroyer also played as support for his statement a video clip of Sandy Hook parent Lynn McDonnell, which had been deceptively edited to imply that she was never allowed access to her child’s body. In the unedited interview, Mrs. McDonnell stated that she was in possession of her child’s body.

371. Shroyer stated: “You would remember if you held your dead kid in your hands with a bullet hole. That’s not something you would just misspeak on.” He continued, noting that Heslin was “making a pretty extreme claim that would be a very thing vivid in your memory, holding his dead child.”

⁴⁸ <https://www.infowars.com/zero-hedge-discovers-anomaly-in-alex-jones-hit-piece/>.

372. Shroyer then stated: “The conspiracy theorists on the internet out there have a lot of questions that are yet to be answered. You say whatever you want about the event, that’s just a fact.”

373. In concluding his report, Shroyer stated: “Will there be a clarification from Heslin or Megyn Kelly? I wouldn’t hold your breath. [Laugh]. So now they’re fueling the conspiracy theory claims. Unbelievable.”

374. A reasonable person would understand these statements, including the title of the embedded video, to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

375. These statements were outrageous, recklessly false, and malicious. Along with the original videos, contemporary news accounts stated that the victims’ bodies were released to their loved ones, and funerals where the victims’ bodies were in the custody of their loved ones were widely reported in the press.⁴⁹

376. These statements were heard by at least tens of thousands, if not millions, of people.

July 20, 2017

377. On July 20, 2017, Jones re-broadcast the Shroyer segment of June 26, 2017, as part of his Alex Jones Radio Show programming.⁵⁰

378. During his discussion of the Shroyer segment, Jones said, “Can I prove that New Haven [sic] didn’t happen? No. So I’ve said, for years, we’ve had debates about it, that I don’t know. . . . That was a month ago. He said, ‘I wouldn’t hold my breath looking for a response. We’ve not seen a clarification! I’m the one that called him up after I saw the show that night, and I said, ‘You know, Owen . . . could be that we need to get clarification on what’—‘Oh, I could never find out. The stuff I found was that they never let them see their bodies.’ That’s kind of what’s weird about this.” Then he said, in a tone clearly indicating sarcasm, “Maybe they did. So [making incredulous face] I’m sure it’s all real! But for some reason, they don’t want to let you see those clips together [Shroyer’s segment].”

379. On information and belief, these statements were heard by hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

October 26, 2017

⁴⁹ <https://patch.com/connecticut/newtown/police-no-motive-emerging-in-newtown-school-shooting>; <http://abcnews.go.com/US/photos/sandy-hook-moment-silence-18026580/image-18045101>; https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/funerals-for-newtown-massacre-victims-begin/2012/12/17/ffd0a130-486d-11e2-820e-17eefac2f939_story.html?utm_term=.0ccb4af100.

⁵⁰ <https://www.mediamatters.org/blog/2017/07/21/alex-jones-sandy-hook-dad-needs-clarify-whether-he-actually-held-his-son-s-body-and-saw-bullet-hole/217333>.

380. In an October 26, 2017 video entitled “JFK Assassination Documents To DROP Tonight,” Mr. Jones claimed that the CIA visited Sandy Hook shooter Adam Lanza and recruited him. He claimed that the truth about Lanza is not known because “they bulldozed the house to get rid of it.” Mr. Jones told his audience that Sandy Hook was “as phony as a three-dollar bill, with CNN doing fake newscasts, with blue screens.”

381. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

April 20, 2018

382. Jones has accused the plaintiffs of lying not only about Sandy Hook, but about the pain and torment they have experienced at his hands. In a video on April 20, 2018 entitled, “MSM Continues to Demonize Alex Jones,” Jones mocked the plaintiffs, performing a cruel, false, malicious, and outrageous parody of their suffering: “I think they almost do this to mess with us or something. I’m serious, man... They go, ‘Oh, my gosh, why are you doing that? You hurt me.’ And we’re like, ‘No, no. We’re sorry.’ ‘You’ve hurt me.’ And like five years later, ‘You hurt me. Stop hurting me.’ And we’re like, ‘But we’re not bringing you up.’”

383. As shown by the previous allegations (and the statement itself), Jones and the other defendants have for years repeatedly and continually brought the plaintiffs up, and made cruel, outrageous, reckless, and false allegations about them and the tragedy that robbed them of their loved ones. Indeed, Jones made statements accusing the plaintiffs of fabricating the deaths of their loved ones and suggesting that Sandy Hook was a hoax just months before the first lawsuits were filed against him by Sandy Hook families.

384. In the same video, Jones continued to accuse the plaintiffs of lying about his conduct, falsely asserting that he had not discussed Sandy Hook in years. Performing another mocking imitation of the plaintiffs, Jones stated: “‘Oh, my gosh. Alex has no heart. He is—nothing is sacred. He brought it up again.’ No. You did and lied about it.”

385. Jones also falsely claimed he had never attacked the victims, stating, “I have never gone after the Sandy Hook parents . . . Who in the hell would try to go after people’s parents who have dead children?”

386. The answer to that question, as shown by the previous allegations, is Jones and the other defendants.

387. In that same broadcast, Jones also made additional recklessly false claims, rehearsing a number of his arguments that the Sandy Hook shooting was faked and the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones. For example, Mr. Jones stated, “You can look it up. They stood down in Sandy Hook. They stood down in Parkland. That’s a fact.” Later in the same video, Jones repeated his claim that there was a police “stand down” at Sandy Hook.

388. In the same broadcast, Jones rehearsed his bizarre allegations that Anderson Cooper’s interview of Veronique De La Rosa was faked, stating, “It’s just a background with the

flowers of the town hall and her and Anderson Cooper. And then he turns and his head is shimmery, and his nose disappears, which everybody knows is a chromakey.” Mr. Jones also repeated his claim that Anderson Cooper was working for the CIA, and he continued to assert that the interview was shot in front of a blue-screen rather than the result of digital compression.

Other Statements

389. On information and belief, the defendants have published other similar statements of which the plaintiffs do not know at this time, but would obtain with reasonable discovery. Numerous videos and other broadcasts published by the defendants have recently been removed from the public domain either by the defendants themselves or companies like Twitter, Facebook, and YouTube. Discovery, including documents and witness testimony, is required for the plaintiffs to present the full scope of the defendants’ campaign of outrageous lies and abuse.

THE BACKPEDALING AND ADMISSIONS

390. The Sandy Hook shooting and its aftermath were exhaustively documented by the local and national press; local, state, and federal law enforcement; other government entities; and other means. It is no exaggeration to say that the true and accepted story of Sandy Hook—that the plaintiffs lost their loved ones in Adam Lanza’s murderous rampage—is supported by a mountain of evidence. Most of these records and evidence are publicly available. This in itself is enough to show that the defendants made the outrageous, false, and malicious statements detailed in this complaint with knowledge that the statements were false, or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true. Added to this are the defendants’ deceptive edits to and contextualization of videos, documents, or other records to purportedly support their statements.

391. Above and beyond this, on numerous occasions, some listed in the allegations above, Jones and the other defendants have admitted either that they never believed the Sandy Hook shooting was faked or that they had serious doubts about the truth of their statements, that they made those statements with knowledge that the statements were false, or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true. Those admissions became more frequent after the plaintiffs and other Sandy Hook families filed lawsuits against the defendants related to the defendants’ statements about Sandy Hook, the plaintiffs, and the Sandy Hook families.

April 20, 2018

392. On April 20, 2018, the Alex Jones Show broadcast a video entitled “Watch As Megyn Kelly Opens Old Wounds Of Sandy Hook Victims.”⁵¹

393. In it, Jones made a number of statements indicating that he never actually believed, or else had serious reasons not to believe, his previous statements claiming that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

394. Jones stated, “I can’t prove the shooting didn’t happen.”

⁵¹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uQkAJykqyeo>.

395. Jones stated, “It’s been years since I even played devil’s advocate in debates where I said it all happened like was said, or none of it happened. I could see how people would believe that [the Sandy Hook shooting was faked] because of all the media lying but we’ve investigated it and we think it happened.”

396. Jones acknowledged the power of his platform. “We have our own platform,” he said. “Millions are going to see this. Millions are watching.”

397. “And here’s the deal,” he said. “I know the parents know that I’ve been clear on this for at least four years.” While this statement is false—as this Complaint details, Jones repeatedly claimed that the Sandy Hook shooting was false and that the parents were actors during that period—it is an admission that by Jones that he believed Sandy Hook really happened and the families lost their loved ones during the time he made nearly all the statements in this complaint.

398. He continued, “I began to say that Sandy Hook happened years before and they took that as weakness.”

399. Then, Jones played a video of Neil Heslin’s April appearance on Megyn Kelly Today, alternately pausing it and interjecting his own commentary.

400. When, in the video, Heslin said that Jones’s lies about Sandy Hook were disrespectful to “the law enforcement, the first responders” who served at Sandy Hook the day of the shooting, Jones interjected, “Who stood down!”

401. Then Jones said, “Hit pause.” When the video stopped, Jones screamed into the camera, “You can look it up. They [law enforcement and first responders] stood down in Sandy Hook, they stood down in Parkland. That’s a fact!”

402. Then Jones read an email from Leonard Posner, and a responsive email that Jones stated that he himself dictated. In that response, Jones stated: “Thank you for contacting us to share your point of view. Alex has no doubt that this was a real tragedy.” According to Jones and the text of the email, this email was sent in January 29, 2013. Then, in real time, Jones remarked, “Paul Watson was like threatening to quit, and I wasn’t even saying it didn’t happen, I was just devil’s advocating.” In other words, from the beginning of his campaign of outrageous lies against the plaintiffs, Jones knew and believed that they and the other Sandy Hook families were not actors, had actually lost their loved ones, and that he was lying in making the statements recounted in this Complaint.

403. He continued: “And I’d have these families on right now . . . they don’t do it, because they don’t want to humanize me. They want to lie.” The most reasonable interpretation of this statement is that Jones is accusing the plaintiffs of lying about Jones’s statements about them.

May 23, 2018

404. On May 23, 2018, The Alex Jones Show broadcast a video entitled, on YouTube, “Alex Jones’ Statement On New Sandy Hook Lawsuit.”⁵² He described “the admitted goal” of lawsuits against him (like this one) as being to create the “Alex Jones statute” to “overturn[] the First Amendment.”

405. During the video, Jones made various statements about his state of mind at times relevant in this Complaint.

406. “I kept saying I believe it happened,” he stated. Later in the video, he said, “Before, I just questioned it. . . . Once it was personalized, once I saw the players, the people, I said ‘I think it’s real.’ I had the debate that was already going on.”

407. He also stated: “Three years ago, when the so-called Sandy Hook activists were on my butt saying I was covering it up because I said I thought it happened ‘cause I couldn’t prove it one way or the other. There were some anomalies. I genuinely was trying to research it. I just said ‘I’m done with this. It happened. I’m moving on. Don’t blame gun owners for it.’”

408. Jones was admitting that, at the times relevant to most if not all of the publications contained in this Complaint, he believed that the Sandy Hook shooting happened and that the families lost their loved ones.

June 4, 2018

409. In 2018, Alex Jones and unidentified Infowars personnel repeatedly accosted former Democratic presidential candidate Sen. Bernie Sanders and several of his staff in Los Angeles International Airport. Jones and Infowars videotaped the series of encounters, and later broadcast them on Infowars on June 4, 2018.

410. Later in the video, an unidentified man in the boarding line stepped between Jones and Sanders. He said to Jones: “You deny Sandy Hook, and you’re giving him [Sanders] a hard time?”

411. Jones did not deny that he had denied the Sandy Hook shooting, but instead started asking the unidentified man questions about Hillary Clinton.

412. Later in the conversation, the unidentified man stated to Jones: “You edited videos of Sandy Hook.”

413. Jones responded, “Yeah that’s right.”

414. The unidentified man to Jones said in response, while laughing: “You’re an idiot. You win.”

⁵² <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AfvhhcXPCps>.

415. The unidentified man laughed and made that remark because he realized that Jones had just admitted on camera to deceptively editing videos related to the Sandy Hook shooting that he used as purported evidence to question and deny what happened at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012.

COUNT ONE – Invasion of Privacy by False Light; Civil Conspiracy
(All Plaintiffs v. All Defendants)

416. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

417. The defendants, as part of a campaign of harassment and abuse, broadcast numerous outrageous lies about the plaintiffs that represented such major misrepresentations of the plaintiffs' character, history, activities or beliefs that serious offense may reasonably be expected to be taken by a reasonable person in their position.

418. The false light in which the defendants' statements placed the plaintiffs would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.

419. The defendants had knowledge that their statements were lies, or acted with reckless disregard as to the falsity of their statements and the false light in which the plaintiffs would be placed.

420. These false publications have caused the plaintiffs actual and substantial damages.

421. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of reckless and false statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

422. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

423. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

424. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

425. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

426. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

COUNT TWO – Defamation and Defamation *per se*; Civil Conspiracy
(All Plaintiffs v. All Defendants)

427. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

428. In repeatedly publishing false statements asserting or reasonably understood to be asserting that the plaintiffs' loved ones did not die; and/or that the episode in which they were killed was staged or their loved ones were still alive; and/or the plaintiffs were actors who faked their loved ones' death; the defendants published numerous defamatory statements.

429. These publications were not only individually defamatory, but part of a continuous campaign of statements, starting in 2013 and continuing through at least 2017, stating, asserting, implying and suggesting that the plaintiffs faked their loved ones' death and/or are actors lying about the deaths of their loved ones.

430. The statements contained in the defendants' campaign of harassment and abuse constitute defamation *per se*. The harmful nature of the defamatory statements is self-evident. The defamatory statements implicate the Plaintiffs in heinous criminal conduct. False implications of criminal conduct represent classic defamation *per se*.

431. The defendants' defamatory publications readily identified the plaintiffs to millions of people.

432. The defendants' defamatory publications were broadcast to millions of people.

433. The defendants' defamatory publications have injured the plaintiffs' reputations and images, and they have exposed the plaintiffs to public and private hatred, contempt, and ridicule. These false publications have caused the plaintiffs actual and substantial damages.

434. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of reckless and false statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

435. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

436. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

437. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

438. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

439. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

COUNT THREE – Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress; Civil Conspiracy
(All Plaintiffs v. All Defendants)

440. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

441. In broadcasting their campaign of outrageous and false statements about the plaintiffs, the defendants intended to inflict emotional distress or knew, or should have known, that emotional distress was the likely result of their conduct.

442. The defendants' conduct was extreme and outrageous.

443. The defendants' conduct was the cause of the plaintiffs' distress.

444. The emotional distress sustained by the plaintiffs was severe.

445. The defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

446. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of false and reckless statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

447. The plaintiffs have suffered actual and substantial damages.

448. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

449. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

450. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

451. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

452. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

COUNT FOUR – Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress; Civil Conspiracy
(All Plaintiffs v. All Defendants)

453. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth

herein.

454. The defendants' campaign of outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies created an unreasonable risk of causing the plaintiffs emotional distress.

455. The plaintiffs' distress was foreseeable.

456. The plaintiffs' emotional distress was severe enough that it might result in illness or bodily harm.

457. The defendants' outrageous, cruel, and malicious conduct was the cause of the plaintiff's distress.

458. The plaintiffs have suffered actual and substantial damages.

459. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of reckless and false statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

460. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

461. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

462. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

463. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

464. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

**COUNT FIVE: Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act,
Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a et seq.; Civil Conspiracy
(All Plaintiffs v. All Defendants)**

465. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

466. The defendants unethically, oppressively, immorally, and unscrupulously developed, propagated, and disseminated outrageous and malicious lies about the plaintiffs and their family members, and they did so for profit.

467. This campaign of lies, abuse, and harassment was a deceptive practice and offended

public policy.

468. The defendants' reprehensible conduct caused substantial injury to the plaintiffs and other consumers that is not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to anyone, and that the plaintiffs themselves could not have reasonably avoided.

469. The defendants' conduct was a foreseeable cause of and a substantial factor causing the plaintiffs' injury.

470. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

471. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

472. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

473. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

474. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, THE PLAINTIFFS CLAIM DAMAGES IN EXCESS OF FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND THE FOLLOWING RELIEF AS FURTHER SET FORTH BELOW:

Plaintiffs seek relief as follows:

- A. Monetary damages;
- B. Punitive damages;
- C. Attorneys' fees;
- D. Costs;
- E. Declarative relief;

This matter is within the jurisdiction of this court.

Of this writ, with your doings thereon, make due service and return.

Dated at Bridgeport, Connecticut this 13th day of November, 2018.

THE PLAINTIFFS,

By /s/ WILLIAM M. BLOSS
WILLIAM M. BLOSS
ALINOR C. STERLING
MATTHEW S. BLUMENTHAL
KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
PHONE: (203) 336-4421
FAX: (203) 368-3244
wbloss@koskoff.com
mblumenthal@koskoff.com
JURIS #32250

Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6076475-S	:	SUPERIOR COURT
	:	
WILLIAM SHERLACH	:	J.D. OF FAIRFIELD
	:	
v.	:	AT BRIDGEPORT
	:	
ALEX JONES, ET AL.	:	NOVEMBER 29, 2018

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE CASES

The plaintiffs hereby move under Conn. Practice Book § 9-5 to consolidate *William Sherlach v. Alex Jones, et al*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6076475-S and *Erica Lafferty, et al, v. Alex Jones, et al*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6075078-S. Because all the requirements of Practice Book § 9-5 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52–104 are met, this Motion should be granted.

Under Connecticut law, “courts of general jurisdiction have inherent power to consolidate different causes, or order them tried together, when the circumstances authorize such course.” *DiBella v. Town of Greenwich*, 2012 WL 2899242, at *1 (Conn. Super. May 22, 2012) (Brazzel-Massaro, J.) (quoting *Rode v. Adley Express Co.*, 130 Conn. 274, 277 (1943) (collecting cases)). Cases may be consolidated where the plaintiffs have “any right of relief in respect to or arising out of the same transaction or series of transactions . . . when, if such persons brought separate actions, any common question of law or fact would arise.” Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52–104 (“Joinder of plaintiffs and consolidation of causes”).

These two cases meet all these requirements for consolidation. The claims in the two cases are nearly identical. They arise out of the same transactions and series of transactions, against the same defendants, and for the same conduct. They contain numerous common questions of fact and law. In addition, each case is just commencing and so consolidation is timely.

For all the foregoing reasons, the plaintiffs’ Motion to Consolidate should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/ William M. Bloss
WILLIAM M. BLOSS
ALINOR C. STERLING
MATTHEW S. BLUMENTHAL
KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
bbloss@koskoff.com
asterling@koskoff.com
mblumenthal@koskoff.com
Telephone: (203) 336-4421
Fax: (203) 368-3244
JURIS #32250

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been emailed, this day, to all counsel and *pro se* appearances as follows:

For Wolfgang Halbig:

Wolfgang Halbig – TO BE MAILED
25526 Hawks Run Lane
Sorrento, FL 32776
wolfgang.halbig@comcast.net
Tel: 352-729-2559
Fax: 352-729-2559

For Cory T. Sklanka:

Lawrence L. Connelli, Esq.
Regnier Taylor Curran & Eddy
100 Pearl Street, 4th Floor
Hartford, CT 06103
LConnelli@rtcelaw.com
Tel: 860-249-9121
Fax: 860-527-4343

For Alex Emric Jones, Infowars, LLC, Free Speech Systems, LLC, Infowars Health, LLC and Prison Planet TV, LLC:

Jay M. Wolman, Esq.
100 Pearl Street, 14th Floor
Hartford, CT 06103
jmw@randazza.com
Tel: 702-420-2001
Fax: 305-437-7662

DOCKET NO: FBT-CV18-6076475-S: : SUPERIOR COURT
: :
WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL., : J.D. OF FAIRFIELD
: :
VS. : AT BRIDGEPORT
: :
ALEX JONES, ET AL. : December 14, 2018

LIMITED OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE CASES

Defendants Alex Jones, Infowars, LLC, Free Speech Systems, LLC, Infowars Health, LLC, and Prison Planet TV, LLC, do not oppose Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate Cases (Entry No. 122.00). *William Sherlach, et al. v. Alex Jones, et al.*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6076475-S and *Erica Lafferty, et al. v. Alex Jones, et al.*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6075078-S should be consolidated under Section 9-5 of the Practice Book. In fact, Plaintiffs' motion does not go far enough. Subsequent to the filing of the motion to consolidate, the plaintiffs in the *Sherlach* case, represented by the same counsel, filed a third essentially identical action on December 5, 2018. See *William Sherlach, et al. v. Alex Jones, et al.*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6081366-S. There is no reason why only two of the three matters should be consolidated. Notably, the plaintiffs in the third action filed a subsequent motion to that effect, but it has not been cross-filed here. See *id.* at Entry No. 104.00.

Defendants do object, however, to the characterization of the actions as asserted by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs speak of "the same transactions and series of transactions" and "the same conduct" as part of their argument in favor of consolidation. As set forth in Defendants' pending Special Motion to Dismiss in the *Lafferty* matter (Entry No. 113.00 therein), and as is evident in the various complaints, by and large there was no "conduct" or "transactions"; this matter involves First Amendment protected speech regarding an event, generally without reference to any named plaintiff. But, Defendants do agree that the allegations arise from the same set of facts and involve the same issues, warranting consolidation (in fact, the complaint in the third action is identical to the operative complaint in *William Sherlach, et al. v. Alex Jones, et al.*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-

6076475-S). To that end, the Court has already approved parallel scheduling orders in the two actions identified in the motion.

For the foregoing reasons, the motion to consolidate be allowed, to include the subsequently-filed matter.

Dated: December 14, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,
INFOWARS DEFENDANTS

By:/s/ Jay M. Wolman
Jay M. Wolman – Juris #433791 of
Randazza Legal Group, PLLC
100 Pearl Street, 14th Floor
Hartford, CT 06103
P: 702-420-2001
F: 305-437-7662
Their Attorneys

DOCKET NO: FBTCV186076475S

SHERLACH, WILLIAM
V.
JONES, ALEX Et Al

SUPERIOR COURT

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FAIRFIELD
AT BRIDGEPORT

ORDER 421277

12/17/2018

ORDER

ORDER REGARDING:
11/29/2018 122.00 MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

The foregoing, having been considered by the Court, is hereby:

ORDER: GRANTED

Short Calendar Results Automated Mailing (SCRAM) Notice was sent on the underlying motion.

421277

Judge: BARBARA N BELLIS



State of Connecticut Judicial Branch Superior Court Case Look-up



Superior Court Case Look-up
Civil/Family
Housing
Small Claims

UWY-CV18-6046438-S

SHERLACH, WILLIAM Et AI v. JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et AI

Prefix: X06

Case Type: T50

File Date: 12/05/2018

Return Date: 12/11/2018

[Case Detail](#) | [Notices](#) | [History](#) | [Scheduled Court Dates](#) | [E-Services Login](#) | [Screen Section Help](#) | [Exhibits](#)

[To receive an email when there is activity on this case, click here.](#)

Attorney/Firm Juris Number Look-up

Case Look-up

By Party Name

By Docket Number

By Attorney/Firm Juris Number

By Property Address

[This case is consolidated with one or more cases](#)

Short Calendar Look-up

By Court Location

By Attorney/Firm Juris Number

Motion to Seal or Close

Calendar Notices

Court Events Look-up

By Date

By Docket Number

By Attorney/Firm Juris Number

Legal Notices

Pending Foreclosure Sales

Understanding

Display of Case Information

Contact Us



Comments

Information Updated as of: 06/24/2022

Case Information

Case Type: T50 - Torts - Defamation

Court Location: WATERBURY JD

List Type: No List Type

Trial List Claim:

Last Action Date: 06/23/2022 (The "last action date" is the date the information was entered in the system)

Disposition Information

Disposition Date:

Disposition:

Judge or Magistrate:


Party & Appearance Information

Party	No Fee Party	Category
P-01 WILLIAM SHERLACH Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 12/05/2018	Plaintiff
P-02 ROBERT PARKER Attorney: KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC (032250) 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604	File Date: 12/05/2018	Plaintiff
D-01 ALEX EMRIC JONES Attorney: NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS (408681) 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney: PATTIS & SMITH LLC (423934) 383 ORANGE STREET 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney: CAMERON L ATKINSON (442289) 383 ORANGE ST 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511	File Date: 02/17/2022 File Date: 03/08/2022 File Date: 03/08/2022	Defendant
D-02 INFOWARS, LLC REMOVED		Defendant
D-03 FREE SPEECH SYSTEMS, LLC Attorney: PATTIS & SMITH LLC (423934) 383 ORANGE STREET 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney: NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS (408681) 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511 Attorney: CAMERON L ATKINSON (442289) 383 ORANGE ST 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN, CT 06511	File Date: 06/28/2021 File Date: 02/17/2022 File Date: 03/08/2022	Defendant
D-04 INFOWARS HEALTH LLC REMOVED		Defendant
D-05 PRISON PLANET TV LLC REMOVED		Defendant
D-06 WOLFGANG HALBIG		Defendant

REMOVED

D-07 CORY T. SKLANKA
REMOVED

Defendant


D-08 GENESIS COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK, INC.
Attorney:  BRIGNOLE BUSH & LEWIS (419073)
73 WADSWORTH STREET
HARTFORD, CT 06106

File Date: 04/28/2021

Defendant

D-09 MIDAS RESOURCES, INC.
REMOVED

Defendant

O-01 RICHARD M COAN TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF ERICA GARBATINI
Attorney:  ZEISLER & ZEISLER P.C. (069625)
10 MIDDLE STREET
15TH FLOOR
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604

File Date: 07/22/2021

Trustee

O-02 JAMES H. FETZER PHD
REMOVED

Intervening
Entity


O-03 JAY WOLMAN, ESQ
Attorney: HORTON DOWD BARTSCHI & LEVESQUE PC (038478) File Date: 10/18/2021
90 GILLET STREET
HARTFORD, CT 06105

Intervening
Entity

O-04 OFFICE OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
Non-Appearing











Intervening
Entity





























Viewing Documents on Civil, Housing and Small Claims Cases:

If there is an  in front of the docket number at the top of this page, then the file is electronic (paperless).

- Documents, court orders and judicial notices in electronic (paperless) civil, housing and small claims cases with a return date on or after January 1, 2014 are available publicly over the internet.* For more information on what you can view in all cases, view the [Electronic Access to Court Documents Quick Card](#).
- For civil cases filed prior to 2014, court orders and judicial notices that are electronic are available publicly over the internet. Orders can be viewed by selecting the link to the order from the list below. Notices can be viewed by clicking the **Notices** tab above and selecting the link.*
- Documents, court orders and judicial notices in an electronic (paperless) file can be viewed at any judicial district courthouse during normal business hours.*
- Pleadings or other documents that are not electronic (paperless) can be viewed only during normal business hours at the Clerk's Office in the Judicial District where the case is located.*
- An Affidavit of Debt is not available publicly over the internet on small claims cases filed before October 16, 2017.*

*Any documents protected by law Or by court order that are Not open to the public cannot be viewed by the public online And can only be viewed in person at the clerk's office where the file is located by those authorized by law or court order to see them.

Motions / Pleadings / Documents / Case Status				
Entry No	File Date	Filed By	Description	Arguable
	12/05/2018	P	<u>SUMMONS</u> 	
	12/05/2018	P	<u>COMPLAINT</u> 	
	12/05/2018	P	<u>ADDITIONAL PARTIES PAGE</u> 	
	12/10/2018	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	12/11/2018		<u>APPEARANCE</u> 	
	12/14/2018	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	12/14/2018	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	03/01/2019	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	11/05/2019	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
	02/24/2020	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u> 	

		Appearance	
03/18/2020	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
07/07/2020	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
07/07/2020	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
10/19/2020		<u>APPEARANCE</u>  	
04/28/2021	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
06/28/2021	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
07/21/2021		<u>APPEARANCE</u>  	
07/22/2021	O	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
10/18/2021		<u>APPEARANCE</u>  W. Horton	
10/26/2021		<u>APPEARANCE</u>  J. Fetzer PHD	
02/17/2022	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
03/08/2022	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
03/08/2022	D	<u>APPEARANCE</u>  Appearance	
100.30	12/05/2018	P <u>RETURN OF SERVICE</u> 	No
101.00	12/05/2018	P <u>SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN</u>  Re: Halbig	No
102.00	12/05/2018	P <u>SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN</u>  Re: Genesis Communications Network, Inc. and Midas Resources, Inc.	No
103.00	12/05/2018	P <u>SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN</u>  Re: Alex Jones, Infowars, LLC, Free Speech Systems, LLC, Infowars Health, LLC, Prison Planet TV, LLC	No
104.00	12/11/2018	P <u>MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 1/2/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
104.10	01/02/2019	C <u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 1/2/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
105.00	12/14/2018	P <u>CLAIM FOR JURY OF 6</u> 	No
106.00	12/14/2018	D <u>MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION</u>  Limited Opposition to Motion to Consolidate Cases (Entry No. 104.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/2/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
106.10	01/02/2019	C <u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/2/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
107.00	12/18/2018	D <u>OBJECTION TO MOTION</u>  D-06 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/2/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
107.10	01/02/2019	C <u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/2/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
108.00	12/19/2018	D <u>MOTION TO DISMISS PB 10-30</u>  D-06 <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
108.10	04/29/2019	C <u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
109.00	01/02/2019	P <u>OBJECTION TO MOTION</u>  Objection to Defendant Halbig's Motion to Dismiss <i>RESULT</i> : Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
109.10	04/29/2019	C <u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
































































































































110.00	01/02/2019	P	MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS PB 10-31  Memorandum in Support of Ps' Objection to D Halbig's Motion to Dismiss <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
110.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
111.00	01/07/2019	P	SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN  Supplemental Return December 17, 2018	No
112.00	01/07/2019	P	SUPPLEMENTAL RETURN  Supplemental Return December 27, 2018	No
113.00	01/07/2019	D	SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS / COUNTERCLAIM / CROSS CLAIM 	Yes
113.10	01/08/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
114.00	01/08/2019	D	SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS / COUNTERCLAIM / CROSS CLAIM  to dismiss Complaint	Yes
114.10	01/08/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
115.00	01/08/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  in support of Special Motion to Dismiss (Entry No. 114.00)	No
116.00	01/08/2019	D	SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS / COUNTERCLAIM / CROSS CLAIM  Special Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs Complaint	Yes
116.10	01/08/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
117.00	01/08/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Defendant Midas Resources Inc's Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Dismiss	No
118.00	01/09/2019	D	REPLY  Reply to #109 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
118.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
119.00	01/09/2019	D	REPLY  Reply to #109 - Part II <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
119.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
120.00	01/11/2019	D	AFFIDAVIT  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
120.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
121.00	01/11/2019	D	REPLY  Reply to #109 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 01/14/2019	No
121.10	04/29/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
122.00	01/11/2019	D	REPLY  Reply to #109 - Part II Last Updated: Additional Description - 01/14/2019	No
123.00	01/18/2019	D	MOTION FOR ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
123.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
124.00	01/24/2019	P	NOTICE  Notice of Service of Ps' First Set of Special Interrogatories & Requests for Production	No
125.00	01/30/2019	D	MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE 	No
126.00	01/31/2019	P	MEMORANDUM  re: Defendants' Application for Appearance Pro Hac Vice	No
127.00	01/31/2019	P	EXHIBITS 	No

























Exhibit A to Plaintiffs' Memorandum re: Defendants' Application for Appearance Pro Hac Vice				
128.00	01/31/2019	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply to Memorandum regarding Pro Hac Vice Application of Marc Randazza (Entry No. 126.00)	No
129.00	02/01/2019	D	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion to Transfer Venue and Request for Sanctions <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
129.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
130.00	02/05/2019	P	MEMORANDUM  Supplemental Memo on the Scope of Individual Depositions	No
131.00	02/11/2019	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendant, Cory Sklanka's, Opposition to Plaintiffs' Supplementary Memo on Scope of Ind Depositions	No
132.00	02/13/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Supplemental Memorandum Regarding Depositions (Entry No. 130.00)	No
133.00	02/13/2019	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Def. Halbig's Motion for Venue Change & Sanctions (Dkt. 129.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Sustained 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
133.10	04/22/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT</i> : Sustained 4/22/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
134.00	02/13/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Re: Defendants' Response to the Plaintiffs' Supplementary Memorandum on the Scope of Individual Depo	No
135.00	02/13/2019	P	REPLY  in support of supp memo on the scope of individual depositions	No
136.00	02/13/2019	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Pl. Objection to Halbig Venue Motion & Req. for Sanctions (Entry No. 133.00).	No
137.00	02/15/2019	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  	No
138.00	02/19/2019	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Motion for Protective Order	No
139.00	02/19/2019	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Defendant, Cory Sklanka's, Motion for Entry of Protective Order as to Jones' Motion #137.00	No
140.00	02/19/2019	C	PRESIDING JUDGE REFERRAL TO COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2019 HON JAMES ABRAMS	No
140.10	03/08/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2019 HON JAMES ABRAMS	No
141.00	02/20/2019	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  in Support of Motion for Protective Order (Entry No. 137.00)	No
142.00	02/21/2019	D	PROPOSED ORDER  Protective Order, PB 13-5, per Court Revisions	No
143.00	02/22/2019	P	REPLY  Ps' Response Concerning Proposed Protective Order	No
144.00	02/22/2019	D	PROPOSED ORDER  Protective Order, PB 13-5, per Court Revisions, with edit per Plaintiffs' Response	No
145.00	02/22/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13  	No
146.00	02/25/2019	D	MOTION FOR ORDER  Request for Sactions	No
147.00	03/01/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER OF COMPLIANCE – PB SEC 13-14 (INTERR/PROD – 13-6/13-9)  Motion to Compel	No
148.00	03/04/2019	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  	No
149.00	03/05/2019	P	NOTICE  of Consent to Referral to Complex Litigation Docket	No
150.00	03/06/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  	No

























TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDER					
151.00	03/07/2019	P	MEMORANDUM  in Response to Jones Defendants' MET to Comply with Discovery of 3.6.19	No	
152.00	03/08/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
153.00	03/08/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/8/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
154.33	03/08/2019	C	TRANSFERRED FROM SUPERIOR COURT JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FAIRFIELD	No	
155.33	03/08/2019	C	TRANSFERRED TO SUPERIOR COURT JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF WATERBURY	No	
156.00	03/18/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
156.10	03/20/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
157.00	03/19/2019	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Objection to Jones Ds' Third Motion for Extension of Time <i>RESULT:</i> Sustained 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
157.10	03/20/2019	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Sustained 3/20/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
158.00	03/19/2019	P	CASEFLOW REQUEST (JD-CV-116)  Re: #156.00 & #157.00	No	
159.00	03/20/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  for sanctions against the Jones defendants	No	
160.00	03/21/2019	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  re Motion for Sanctions # 159.00	Yes	
161.00	03/21/2019	D	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13 	No	
162.00	03/25/2019	P	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Suppl Memo in Support of Motion for Sanctions Against the Jones Defendants	No	
163.00	04/02/2019	P	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Second Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Motion for Sanctions	No	
164.00	04/10/2019	P	NOTICE  Notice of Filing Served Requests for Production	No	
165.00	04/11/2019	D	MOTION FOR ORDER OF COMPLIANCE – PB SEC 13-14 (INTERR/PROD – 13-6/13-9) 	No	
166.00	04/16/2019	D	AFFIDAVIT 	No	
167.00	04/18/2019	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel Compliance	No	
168.00	04/22/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Compliance	No	
169.00	04/18/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Halbig's motion for order incl. discipline clerk	Yes	
170.00	04/18/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Halbig's dismiss lack personal and subject matter	Yes	
171.00	04/18/2019	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Halbig's motion sanctions for pretrial publicity	Yes	
172.00	04/22/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  for discovery regarding compliance	No	
173.00	04/25/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Re: Additional Motion to Compel Jones Defendants' Responses	No	
174.00	04/30/2019	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion for Relief Concerning the Alex Jones False Affidavit	No	
175.00	04/30/2019	P	NOTICE  Notice of Matters Ready for Argument	No	
176.00	04/30/2019	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  For Further Discovery Proceedings	No	


























177.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  Re: Alex Emric Jones	No
178.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  Re: Free Speech Systems, LLC	No
179.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  Re: Infowars LLC	No
180.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  Re: Infowars Health LLC	No
181.00	05/20/2019	P	<u>NOTICE OF SERVICE OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION PB 13-22</u>  Re: Prison Planet TV LLC	No
182.00	05/29/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Motion to Compel Adequate Responses to Ps' Limited, Court-Ordered Requests for Production	No
183.00	05/30/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Motion to Compel Production of Alex Jones' Personal Email Metadata	No
184.00	06/10/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Supplemental Memo ISO Motion to Compel Adequate Responses to Pltfs Limited Court Ordered RFPs	No
185.00	06/14/2019	P	<u>OBJECTION TO MOTION</u>  Objection to Jones Defendants' Request for Clarification	No
186.00	06/14/2019	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Motion for Ruling on Other Outstanding Discovery Issues	No
187.00	06/17/2019	P	<u>NOTICE</u>  Plaintiffs Motion for Review of Broadcast by Alex Jones Threatening Plaintiffs' Counsel	No
188.00	06/19/2019	C	<u>TRANSCRIPT</u>  Transcript of 6.18.19 hearing	No
189.00	06/21/2019	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Disclsoure RESULT: Order 6/21/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
190.00	07/01/2019	C	<u>SCHEDULING ORDER</u>  Agreed to by counsel on 6/18/19 status conference Last Updated: Additional Description - 07/02/2019	No
191.00	07/18/2019	P	<u>NOTICE</u>  Notice of Filing Motion for Rectification RESULT: Order 7/31/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
191.10	07/31/2019	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Order 7/31/2019 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
192.00	05/04/2020	D	<u>MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE</u>  RESULT: Order 5/5/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
192.10	05/05/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order scheduling 6/9/2020 telephone hearing RESULT: Order 5/5/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
193.00	05/06/2020	D	<u>MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE</u>  RESULT: Order 5/6/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
193.10	05/06/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order scheduling 6/9/20 telephone hearing RESULT: Order 5/6/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 05/06/2020	No
194.00	05/20/2020	D	<u>WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION</u>  TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL	No
195.00	05/29/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  6/9/2020 hearing is off RESULT: Order 5/29/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
196.00	06/24/2020	D	<u>MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE</u>  RESULT: Order 6/24/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
196.10	06/24/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Order 6/24/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
197.00	07/07/2020	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order marking off 7/9/20 hearing RESULT: Order 7/7/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
197.50	07/23/2020			No

























APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL 				
Sanctions orders affirmed				
198.00	07/29/2020	C	ORDER  order postponing the 7/30 status conference <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/29/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
199.00	08/14/2020	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE  as counsel for Cory T. Sklanka <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 9/8/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
199.10	08/17/2020	C	ORDER  Order scheduling 9/8/20 remote hearing <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/17/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
199.20	09/08/2020	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 9/8/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
200.00	10/09/2020	D	MOTION TO STRIKE  Plaintiffs' Complaint <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
200.10	11/18/2021	C	ORDER  See memorandum of decision #444 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
201.00	10/09/2020	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  in support of Motion to Strike (Entry No. 200)	No
202.00	10/23/2020	D	BRIEF  Defendants' Brief in Support of Continued Stay (see Lafferty Entry No. 296.00)	No
203.00	10/23/2020	P	WITHDRAWAL OF ACTION AGAINST PARTICULAR DEFENDANT(S) – CASE REMAINS PENDING  against Cory Sklanka	No
204.00	10/23/2020	P	MEMORANDUM  Concerning the Status of Discovery	No
205.00	10/27/2020	C	ORDER  Order: Strike filing dates / denial request stay <i>RESULT:</i> Order 10/27/2020 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
206.00	10/27/2020	D	WITHDRAWAL  116.00 and 117.00 withdrawal without prejudice subject to finalization of the settlement	No
207.00	11/06/2020	D	OBJECTION TO DEPOSITION  Melinda Flores <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
207.10	04/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
208.00	11/06/2020	D	OBJECTION TO DEPOSITION  Daria Karpova <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
208.10	04/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
209.00	11/09/2020	P	REPLY  to Jones Defs Objections to Depo Notices of Karpova and Flores <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
209.10	04/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
210.00	11/12/2020	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion to Re-Compel Compliance <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
210.10	05/14/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
211.00	11/16/2020	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  re: Procedures for Noticing and Taking Depositions <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
211.10	05/12/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
212.00	11/16/2020	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Supplement in Support of Reply to Jones Ds' Objections to Notices of	No

























Deposition RESULT: Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
212.10	04/29/2021	C	ORDER	No
RESULT: Order 4/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
213.00	11/18/2020	D	NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
214.00	11/18/2020	P	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION	No
215.00	11/18/2020	D	REMOVED TO FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
216.00	04/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF ACTION AGAINST PARTICULAR DEFENDANT(S) – CASE REMAINS PENDING As to Wolfgang Halbig	No
217.00	04/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF ACTION AGAINST PARTICULAR DEFENDANT(S) – CASE REMAINS PENDING As to Midas Resources, Inc.	No
218.00	03/05/2021	C	REMANDED FROM FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT	No
219.00	04/14/2021	C	ORDER Order re: status conferences and strike deadlines RESULT: Order 4/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
220.00	04/15/2021	C	ORDER Scheduling order by Court RESULT: Order 4/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
221.00	04/16/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT 4/14/21 on the record status conference Last Updated: Additional Description - 04/16/2021	No
222.00	04/21/2021	P	MOTION FOR DEFAULT -FAILURE TO APPEAR PB 17-20 as to Genesis Communications Network, Inc. RESULT: Granted 4/26/2021 BY THE CLERK	No
222.10	04/26/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Order 4/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
222.20	04/27/2021	C	ORDER Court vacates the order as done too early RESULT: Order 4/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
223.00	04/23/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE BRIEF re respond to Jones Ds' Motion to Strike RESULT: Order 4/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
223.10	04/26/2021	C	ORDER Order re: objection due today or motion is granted RESULT: Order 4/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 04/26/2021	No
224.00	04/28/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM Reply in Support of Objections (Entry Nos. 207.00 & 208.00)	No
225.00	04/29/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION Plaintiffs' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Motion to Strike	No
226.00	05/05/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5 Emergency Motion re May 6 & 7 Depositions RESULT: Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
226.10	05/06/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
227.00	05/05/2021	D	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION Re: Emergency Motion 226.00	No
228.00	05/05/2021	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) Re: Emergency Motion 226.00	Yes
229.00	05/05/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Memorandum in Response to the Jones Defendants' Emergency Motion for Protective Order RESULT: Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
229.10	05/06/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
230.00	05/05/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM Reply in Support of Emergency Motion for Protective Order RESULT: Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
230.10	05/06/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Order 5/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No























231.00	05/05/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion to Re-Compel Compliance, Entry No. 210.00 <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
231.10	05/14/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
232.00	05/05/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Limited Opposition to Motion re Deposition Procedure, Entry No. 211.00 <i>RESULT: Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
232.10	05/12/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
233.00	05/05/2021	C	ORDER  Order re: hearing on 5/6/21 <i>RESULT: Order 5/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
234.00	05/05/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Plaintiffs Motion to Compel Compliance with Pl's 2nd Set of Requests for Production <i>RESULT: Withdrawn 5/6/2021 BY THE PLAINTIFF</i>	No
235.00	05/06/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION  #234.00-PI Motion to Compel Compliance w Ps' Second Set of Reqs for Production	No
236.00	05/07/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 5/6/21 status conference	No
237.00	05/11/2021	D	MOTION FOR STAY  AND NOTICE OF VIOLATION OF DUTY OF CANDOR <i>RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
237.10	05/19/2021	C	ORDER  briefing schedule <i>RESULT: Order 5/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
237.20	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
238.00	05/12/2021	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply Memo in Support of Their Motion for Order Re Procedures for Noticing and Taking Depositions <i>RESULT: Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
238.10	05/12/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 5/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
239.00	05/14/2021	P	REPLY  Reply in Support of DN 309, Motion to Re-Compel Compliance <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
239.10	05/14/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 5/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
240.00	05/19/2021	C	ORDER  filing deadlines from 5/19/21 status conference <i>RESULT: Order 5/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
241.00	05/20/2021	D	OBJECTION RE DISCOVERY OR DISCLOSURE  OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS <i>RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
241.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
242.00	05/21/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendants' Supplement to Motion for Stay (Entry No. 237.00)	No
243.00	05/21/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  And Objections to Haarmann & Riley Deposition Notices <i>RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
243.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
244.00	05/27/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion for Protective Order <i>RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
244.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
245.00	05/28/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to Motion for Stay and Claim of Candor Violation	No

























RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
245.10	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
246.00	05/28/2021	P	MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY  Motion to Substitute Estate of Jeremy Richman for Individual Plaintiff Jeremy Richman RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
246.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
246.20	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
247.00	05/28/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Memo of Law in Supp. of Mot. to Substitute Estate of Jeremy Richman for Indiv. Pl. Jeremy Richman	No
248.00	06/01/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Emergency Motion for Protective Order re 6-3-21 production RESULT: Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
248.10	06/02/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
249.00	06/01/2021	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re Emergency Motion 248.00	Yes
250.00	06/01/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Re Motion for Protective Order/Objections Entry No. 243.00 RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
250.10	06/01/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
251.00	06/01/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to Jones Defendants' Emergency Motion for Protective Order RESULT: Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
251.10	06/02/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
252.00	06/02/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Supplement to Objection to Emergency Motion for Protective Order	No
253.00	06/04/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  In Support of Motion to Strike, Entry 200.00	No
254.00	06/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Substitute (246.00) RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
254.10	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
255.00	06/07/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Re Motion for Stay/Notice of Lack of Candor Entry 237.00 RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
255.10	06/07/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
256.00	06/08/2021	P	MOTION TO MODIFY - GENERAL  Motion to Modify Protective Order RESULT: Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
256.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
257.00	06/08/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re Corporate Representative Depositions 6/23-24 RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
257.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
258.00	06/09/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Mot for Ext of Time to Object or Otherwise Respond to Re-Notice of Deposition of Richard Coan	No
259.00	06/09/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re:PI Motion for Extension of Time Richard Coan ND RESULT: Order 6/10/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes























259.10	06/10/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/10/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
260.00	06/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Mot for Ext of Time to Obj or Otherwise Resp to Re-ND of J. Hensel as Executrix of Est of J. Richman <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
260.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
261.00	06/11/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion for Extension 258.00	No
262.00	06/11/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Re Motion for Extension of Time <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
262.10	06/14/2021	C	ORDER  order re: 6/16/21 due date objection to ext of tim <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
263.00	06/11/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
263.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
263.20	06/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
264.00	06/15/2021	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Motion re Corp Rep Depositions Entry 257.00	Yes
265.00	06/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re: Defendants' Notice of Deposition of Jennifer Hensel as Executrix of Estate of Jeremy Richman <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
265.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
265.20	06/30/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
266.00	06/16/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Re Executrix Motion for Extension Entry No. 260.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
266.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
267.00	06/16/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Modify Entry No. 256.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
267.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
268.00	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  Due date for filing protective order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
268.10	06/16/2021	C	ORDER  order correcting the reply due date <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/16/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
269.00	06/16/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion for Protective Order Regarding Corporate Designee Deposition Notices	No
270.00	06/17/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Motion for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition of Daniel J. Bidondi <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
270.10	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
271.00	06/17/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 6/16/21 status conference	No
272.00	06/18/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Re Corporate Representative Depositions Entry No. 257.00	No
273.00	06/18/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re Plaintiffs' Depositions	No

RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
273.10	06/30/2021	C	ORDER  granted in part denied in part RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
274.00	06/23/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion for Commissions Entry 263.00 RESULT: Order 6/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
274.10	06/29/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
275.00	06/25/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Executrix MPO Entry No. 265.00 RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
275.10	06/30/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
276.00	06/28/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Compliance with First Special Discovery Requests Entry 124.00	No
277.00	06/28/2021	D	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
277.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
278.00	06/28/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion re Plaintiff Deposition Entry No 273.00 RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
278.10	06/30/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 6/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
279.00	06/29/2021	P	REPLY  To Plaintiffs Motion for Commission	No
280.00	06/29/2021	P	REPLY  ISO Ps Mot for Prot Ord Against Jones Ds ND J. Hensel as Exec of W/D P Estate of Jeremy Richman	No
281.00	06/30/2021	P	REPLY  ISO Motion for Protective Order Regarding Ps' Depositions	No
282.00	06/30/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13  Answer and Respond to Jones Defendants' ROGS and RFPS dated May 14, 2021 RESULT: Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
282.10	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  objection due by 7/921 RESULT: Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
282.20	07/09/2021	C	ORDER  Discovery deadlines RESULT: Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
283.00	07/01/2021	D	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION 	No
284.00	07/01/2021	D	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  RESULT: Denied 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
284.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
284.20	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Denied 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
285.00	07/02/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion for Commission re Bidondi Entry No. 270.00	No
286.00	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  ruling on settlement deposition questions RESULT: Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
287.00	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  Sanctions filing deadlines RESULT: Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
288.00	07/02/2021	C	ORDER  Strike filing deadlines RESULT: Order 7/2/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
























289.00	07/06/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION</u>  Motion for Commission to Take Out-of-State Deposition (Kurt Nimmo) <i>RESULT: Granted 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
289.10	07/30/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT: Granted 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
290.00	07/06/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION</u>  Motion for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition of Timothy Fruge	No
291.00	07/06/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR SANCTIONS – PB SEC 13-4 (EXPERT)</u>  Motion for Sanctions re Confidential Disclosure-07-06-2021	No
292.00	07/06/2021	P	<u>WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION</u>  291.00 - Inadvertently filed under wrong heading	No
293.00	07/06/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  for Sanctions Based on the Jones Defendants' Violation of the Protective Order <i>RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
293.10	08/05/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
294.00	07/06/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Motion for Sanctions re Flores Production (Redacted) <i>RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
294.10	07/29/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  opposition due 7/27/21, reply due 8/3/21 <i>RESULT: Order 7/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
294.20	08/06/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  See ruling on #324. <i>RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
295.00	07/06/2021	P	<u>MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT</u>  Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading <i>RESULT: Order 7/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
295.10	07/08/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Hearing on 7/21/21 <i>RESULT: Order 7/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
295.20	07/29/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT: Order 7/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
296.00	07/06/2021	P	<u>MOTION TO QUASH</u>  Motion to Quash and/or for Protective Order re Richard Coan Subpoena Duces Tecum <i>RESULT: Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
296.10	07/21/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
296.20	08/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT: Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
296.30	11/08/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT: Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
297.00	07/07/2021	C	<u>TRANSCRIPT</u>  Transcript of 7/2/21 status conference	No
298.00	07/08/2021	P	<u>MEMORANDUM</u>  Pls.' Response to Jones Defs.' Motion for Commission for Deposition of Wolfgang Halbig <i>RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
298.10	07/21/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT: Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
299.00	07/09/2021	D	<u>MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION</u>  Limited Objection to Motion for Extension Entry No. 282.00 <i>RESULT: Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
299.10	07/09/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  See ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 7/9/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
300.00	07/12/2021	P	<u>OBJECTION TO MOTION</u>  Plaintiffs' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Motion for Commission (Hillary Clinton) <i>RESULT: Sustained 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
300.10	08/05/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u> 	No























RESULT: Sustained 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
301.00	07/13/2021	P	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Plaintiffs' Omnibus Objections to the Infowars Defendants' Interrogatories to Each Plaintiff RESULT: Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
301.10	08/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
302.00	07/13/2021	P	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Plaintiffs' Omnibus Objections to the Infowars Defendants' Requests for Production to Each Plaintiff RESULT: Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
302.10	08/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
303.00	07/13/2021	P	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Plaintiff's Supplemental Objection to Infowars Defendants' RFPs (Marital Privilege Objection)	No
304.00	07/13/2021	P	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Plaintiffs' Objection to Infowars Defendants' RFPs for Jennifer Hensel (as individual and executrix) RESULT: Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
304.10	08/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
305.00	07/16/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION</u>  Supplemental Motion for Commission for OOS Depo. of Timothy Fruge 7-16-21 RESULT: Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
305.10	07/21/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
306.00	07/16/2021	D	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  LLC Defendant Objections to 2nd Interrogatories and 3rd RPDs RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
306.10	08/24/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
307.00	07/16/2021	D	<u>OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10</u>  Alex Jones Objections to 2nd Interrogatories and 3rd RPDs RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
307.10	08/24/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
308.00	07/16/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER</u>  Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Limiting Jones Defendants' Deposition Examinations RESULT: Order 7/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
308.10	07/19/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Order 7/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
308.20	07/23/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Order 7/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
309.00	07/19/2021	D	<u>REPLY</u>  To Motion for Sanctions Based on The Jones Defendants' Violation of The Protective Order	No
310.00	07/20/2021	P	<u>MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION</u>  Suppl. Mot. for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition (Robert Jacobson) RESULT: Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
310.10	07/21/2021	C	<u>ORDER</u>  RESULT: Granted 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
311.00	07/20/2021	P	<u>REPLY</u>  Reply ISO Mot for Sanctions Based on the Jones Ds' Violation of the Protective Order	No
312.00	07/20/2021	P	<u>MEMORANDUM</u>  Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum re: Plaintiffs' Motion to File Unredacted Pleading Under Seal	No
313.00	07/20/2021	P	<u>MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER</u>  Plaintiffs' MET to Complete Fact Discovery and Disclose Plaintiffs' Experts RESULT: Granted 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
























313.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
313.20	07/30/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
314.00	07/21/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Seal Entry No. 295.00	No
315.00	07/21/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motions for Commissions Entry No. 289, 290, 305 & 310 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
315.10	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
316.00	07/21/2021	D	MOTION TO STRIKE  m/strike by defendant Genesis Communications Network <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 11/24/2021 BY THE CLERK	Yes
316.10	11/24/2021	C	ORDER  See memorandum of decision #451 <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 11/24/2021 BY THE CLERK	No
317.00	07/21/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  mem/support m/strike by defendant Genesis Communications Network, Entry 316.00	No
317.25	07/21/2021	C	ORDER  order all counsel sign all filings <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
317.50	07/21/2021	P	DOCUMENT SEALED <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 07/29/2021	No
317.51	07/21/2021	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  Last Updated: Entry Number - 07/29/2021	Yes
317.52	07/30/2021	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  Corrected sealing order re: #317.50	Yes
317.53	07/30/2021	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  corrected error in docket number re: #317.52	Yes
317.54	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on #324. <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
317.60	07/22/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  transcript of 7/21/21 seal hearing and status conf	No
318.00	07/22/2021	O	MOTION TO QUASH  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
318.10	07/26/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 8/6/21 and reply due 8/20/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
318.20	08/23/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
319.00	07/23/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion to Limit Deposition Examination Entry 308.00	No
320.00	07/23/2021	O	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
320.10	07/26/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 8/6/21 and reply due 8/20/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 7/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
321.00	07/26/2021	P	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION  Affidavit Re Attempts to Resolve the Jones Ds' Objs to Ps' Second Reqs for Production	No
322.00	07/27/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Plaintiffs Motion for Sanction Entry No. 294.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
322.10	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on #324. <i>RESULT:</i> Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
323.00	07/28/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Motion for Extension of Time to File Objection to D Genesis' Motion to Strike	No























RESULT: Granted 8/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
323.10	08/18/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Granted 8/18/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
324.00	07/30/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Amended Mot for Sanctions (Redacted 294.00) [filed once in Lafferty and twice in Sherlach I on 7/27] RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
324.10	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  part 1 RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
324.11	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  part 2 RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
325.00	07/30/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion for Extension of Time (Entry No. 313.00) RESULT: Order 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
325.10	07/30/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 7/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
326.00	08/03/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply re Motion for Commission (Clinton) Entry No 283.00 & 284.00 RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
326.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
327.00	08/03/2021	P	REPLY  ISO Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions (DN 294.00) RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
327.10	08/05/2021	C	RECORD CORRECTION  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Legend Code - 08/06/2021	No
327.20	08/06/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 8/6/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
328.00	08/04/2021	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
328.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
329.00	08/04/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13  re: Service of Compliance to Jones Defts, Rogs,and Non ESI and Non Medical Record Disc. RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
329.10	08/05/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on motion to modify RESULT: Order 8/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
330.00	08/04/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION OF DISCOVERY OR DEPOSITION DISPUTE (JD-CV-119)  re: Motion for Extension of Time Motion No. 329.00	No
331.00	08/06/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Partial Opposition to Motion for Extension (Entry No. 329.00)	No
332.00	08/06/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motions to Quash Subpoena to Trustee (296.00 & 318.00) RESULT: Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
332.10	11/08/2021	C	RECORD CORRECTION  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Entry Number - 11/08/2021	No
332.20	11/08/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
333.00	08/09/2021	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  For Leave to File Surreply re Motion for Sanction (294.00) RESULT: Denied 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
333.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER 	No
























RESULT: Denied 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
334.00	08/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION-COURT ORDER	No	
335.00	08/10/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION Limited Opposition to the Jones Ds' Mot for Leave to File Surreply in Opp to Motion for Sanctions RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
335.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
336.00	08/12/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) PB Sec 13-10 Notice of Claim of Objections for Adjudication with Attached Affidavit	Yes	
337.00	08/13/2021	D	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY OBJECTION Re Plaintiffs Objections (Entry Nos. 298, 301, 302, 303, 304)	No	
338.00	08/17/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication re: DN 323.00 (MET for Objection to Genesis Motion to Strike)	Yes	
339.00	08/20/2021	P	NOTICE Pls? Resp. to Defs. Notice of Filing Claim of Obj. for Adjudication (DN 444)	No	
340.00	08/20/2021	P	NOTICE Notice of Filing Corrected Affidavit for DN 339.00	No	
341.00	08/24/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Motion for Sanctions re Google Analytics and Social Media Data RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
341.10	08/24/2021	C	ORDER objection 9/14, reply 9/23, surreply 9/25 RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
341.20	09/30/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
341.21	09/30/2021	C	ORDER order 340.20 continued RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
342.00	08/24/2021	C	ORDER D brief 9/9, P brief 9/24, reply 10/1 RESULT: Order 8/24/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
343.00	08/24/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (341.00) RESULT: Withdrawn 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes	
343.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Withdrawn 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
344.00	08/25/2021	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION Plaintiffs' Objection to Genesis Defendant's Motion to Strike (DN 316.00)	No	
345.00	09/02/2021	D	NOTICE Notice of Receipt of Settlement Documents	No	
346.00	09/07/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT Motion for Order Regarding and to Seal the Jones Defendants' Notice of Possession of Documents RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Additional Description - 09/08/2021	Yes	
346.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER objection due 10/7, reply due 10/12 RESULT: Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
346.20	10/20/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
347.00	09/09/2021	D	MOTION FOR ORDER Motion for Order to Overrule Objections to RPD 2 and 3 RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
347.10	10/01/2021	C	ORDER RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
348.00	09/09/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions re Manufactured Trial Balances RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
348.10	09/23/2021	C		No	
























			ORDER  objection due 10/7, reply due 10/15 <i>RESULT: Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	
348.20	11/15/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling entered on the record on today's date <i>RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
349.00	09/14/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion for Sanctions re Analytics Entry No. 341.00 <i>RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
349.10	09/30/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 9/30/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
350.00	09/16/2021	P	MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY  PI E. Lafferty's Mot. to Subst R. Coan, Trustee of the Bkrptcy Est for Indiv PI E. Lafferty <i>RESULT: Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
350.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 10/7, reply due 10/15 <i>RESULT: Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
350.20	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
351.00	09/16/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION  Me in Sup of PI E. Lafferty's Mot to Sub R. Coan, Trust. of the Bkrpt. Est for Indiv PI E. Lafferty	No
352.00	09/20/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 9/17/21 telephonic conference call	No
353.00	09/22/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Genesis's reply to plaintiffs' objection to m/strike	No
354.00	09/22/2021	P	MOTION TO STRIKE  Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike Genesis's Late Reply Brief (DN 353.00) <i>RESULT: Denied 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
354.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Denied 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
355.00	09/22/2021	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  objection to m/strike reply <i>RESULT: Sustained 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
355.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Sustained 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
356.00	09/23/2021	P	REPLY  Plaintiffs' Reply ISO Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions re Google Analytics (341.00)	No
357.00	09/23/2021	D	NOTICE  Notice of Filing Trial Court Decision re Entry 347.00	No
358.00	09/23/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Seal Entry No. 343.00	No
359.00	09/23/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE DISCOVERY MOTION OR REQUEST PB CH13  PLAINTIFFS? MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE PRODUCTION OF ESI AND MEDICAL RECORDS <i>RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
359.10	09/23/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 10/1, reply due 10/5 <i>RESULT: Order 9/23/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
359.20	10/05/2021	C	ORDER  granted as to supp. complaine/ PB13-15 cont duty <i>RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
360.00	09/24/2021	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  Surreply to Motion for Sanctions Entry 341.00 <i>RESULT: Granted 9/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
360.10	09/25/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Granted 9/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
361.00	09/24/2021	P	OBJECTION RE DISCOVERY OR DISCLOSURE  Plaintiffs' Objection to Jones Defendants' Motion to Overrule Objection to	No

RFPs 2 & 3 (DN 347) RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
361.10	10/01/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion. RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
362.00	09/27/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 8/24/21 status conference	No
363.00	09/30/2021	P	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  To file objection to Reiland Affidavit	No
364.00	10/01/2021	D	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Reply re Motion to Overrule - Entry 347.00 RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
364.10	10/01/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion. RESULT: Order 10/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
365.00	10/01/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion for Extension--Entry 359.00 RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
365.10	10/05/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
366.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Sherlach's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
367.00	10/01/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Robert Parker's Responses to Defendants' Interrogatories Dated May 14, 2021	No
368.00	10/05/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Motion for Additional Protective Measures to Protect Plaintiffs' Disclosures RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
368.10	10/05/2021	C	ORDER  objection due 10/8/21 by noon, no reply RESULT: Order 10/5/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
369.00	10/05/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Request for Adjudication re DN 368.00	Yes
370.00	10/05/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 9/23/21 hearing / status conference	No
371.00	10/05/2021	P	REPLY  Reply In Support Of Ps' Motion for Ext of Time to Complete Production of ESI and Medical Records	No
372.00	10/07/2021	P	MEMORANDUM  Memorandum in Support of Default Based on the Jones Defendants' Litigation Misconduct	No
373.00	10/07/2021	C	ORDER  re: 10/20/21 hearing on seal/dismiss/sanctions RESULT: Order 10/7/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
374.00	10/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion to Substitute Trustee - Entry 350.00 RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
374.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
375.00	10/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion for Sanctions re Trial Balances - Entry 348.00 RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
375.10	11/15/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling entered on the record on today's date RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
376.00	10/07/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Objection to Motion to Seal Notice - Entry 346.00 RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
376.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on underlying motion RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

























377.00	10/08/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion for Protective Measures - Entry 368.00	No
378.00	10/12/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Jones Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' Discovery Requests	No
379.00	10/12/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Sherlach's Notice of Compliance Dated 10/8/21 (re: Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
380.00	10/12/2021	P	REPLY  ISO Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal (346.00) the Jones Defendants' Notice of Possession of Documents	No
381.00	10/14/2021	D	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  and Notice of Lodging Jacobson Deposition Transcript RESULT: Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/14/2021	Yes
381.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  Any objection must be filed on or before 11/3/21 RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
381.20	11/17/2021	C	ORDER  Granted in part; denied in part RESULT: Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
381.30	11/29/2021	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  sealing #453.50 unredacted Jacobson deposition	Yes
382.00	10/15/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  Plaintiffs' Motion for One-Day Extension Of Time to file Reply to DN 375.00 RESULT: Order 10/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
382.10	10/15/2021	C	ORDER  One day extension granted over objection. RESULT: Order 10/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
382.11	10/15/2021	C	ORDER  Supp. order 10/20/21 due date RESULT: Order 10/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
383.00	10/15/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication re: DN 382.00 (One-day MET for Reply re Trial Balances)	Yes
384.00	10/15/2021	P	REPLY  Plaintiffs' Reply ISO Motion to Substitute R. Coan, Trustee for Plaintiff Erica Lafferty (DN 330.00)	No
385.00	10/18/2021	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM  Plaintiffs' Reply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (DN 348.00 & DN 375.00)	No
385.10	01/20/2022	C	DOCUMENT SEALED	No
386.00	10/18/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (DN 385.00) RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/19/2021	Yes
386.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  Any objection must be filed on or before 11/3/21 RESULT: Order 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
386.20	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
386.30	01/20/2022	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  order sealing #385.10	Yes
387.00	10/19/2021	C	ORDER  subpoena due date 5:00 pm 10/19/21 RESULT: Order 10/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
388.00	10/19/2021	P	NOTICE  Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Copy of R. Jacobson's Subpoena (pursuant to DN 387.00)	No
389.00	10/20/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to request for entry of default (Entry No. 372.00)	No
390.00	10/20/2021	D	MOTION FOR ORDER  TO RECUSE JUDGE BELLIS RESULT: Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
390.10	10/21/2021	C	ORDER 	No

Response due 10/27/21, reply due 11/3/21 RESULT: Order 10/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS				
390.20	11/04/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
391.00	10/20/2021	D	AFFIDAVIT  IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RECUSE	No
392.00	10/20/2021	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  For Leave to File Surreply re Trial Balances (Entry No. 348.00) -Redacted RESULT: Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
392.10	10/20/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Granted 10/20/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
392.20	01/20/2022	C	DOCUMENT SEALED	No
393.00	10/21/2021	D	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Re Unredacted Affidavit of Robert Roe (Entry 392.00) RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/21/2021	Yes
393.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
393.20	01/20/2022	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  order sealing #392.20	Yes
394.00	10/21/2021	C	ORDER  Show Cause hearing notification RESULT: Order 10/21/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
395.00	10/22/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  10/20/21 hearing transcript	No
396.00	10/22/2021	C	ORDER  order re: 11/5/21 and 11/17/21 hearings RESULT: Order 10/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
397.00	10/22/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  For Sanctions RE: Google Analytics & Social Medial Data (Unredacted Version of DN 341.00)	No
398.00	10/22/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Re Questions to Joshua Owens RESULT: Denied 10/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
398.10	10/25/2021	C	ORDER  denied RESULT: Denied 10/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
399.00	10/22/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  To Allow Deposition Questioning (and Objection to Defendants' Motion for Protective Order) RESULT: Order 10/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
399.10	10/25/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on motion for protective order RESULT: Order 10/25/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
400.00	10/22/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (DN 399.00) RESULT: Denied 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/25/2021	Yes
400.10	11/17/2021	C	ORDER  privacy interest overridden by public's interest RESULT: Denied 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
401.00	10/25/2021	P	NOTICE  Plaintiffs' Notice of Violation of the Protective Order	No
402.00	10/26/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to purported Notice of Violation (Entry 401.00)	No
403.00	10/26/2021	C	ORDER  R. Jacobson depo to Chief Disciplinary Counsel RESULT: Order 10/26/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
404.00	10/26/2021	O	MOTION TO INTERVENE  motion intervene & motion recuse Judge Bellis RESULT: Rejected 10/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
404.10	10/27/2021	C	ORDER  RESULT: Rejected 10/27/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

405.00	10/26/2021	P	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE BRIEF  For Leave to File Attached Surreply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (DN 348.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
405.10	10/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
405.20	01/20/2022	C	DOCUMENT SEALED	No
406.00	10/26/2021	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Unredacted Pleading (DN 405.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated: Party Type - 10/27/2021	Yes
406.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
406.20	01/20/2022	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  order sealing #405.20	Yes
407.00	10/27/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response concerning m/intervene, entry 404.00 in this docket	No
408.00	10/27/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendant Genesis Communications's response to codefendants m/recuse, entry 390.00 in this docket	No
409.00	10/27/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Recuse Judge Bellis (DN 519) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
409.10	11/04/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/4/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
410.00	10/27/2021	D	EXHIBITS  Statewide Grievance Decision	No
411.00	10/28/2021	D	NOTICE  Notice of Filing Grievance Decision re Entry Nos. 390.10 & 410.00	No
412.00	10/28/2021	D	EXHIBITS  A-P AS AN ATTACHMENT TO #391 AFFIDAVIT	No
413.00	10/29/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to Motion for Leave to File Sur-Surreply - Entry 405.00 <i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
413.10	10/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT:</i> Overruled 10/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
414.00	11/01/2021	P	NOTICE  Notice of Plaintiff R. Coan's Partial Withdrawal of DN 296 & DN 318	No
415.00	11/01/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission (Youtube) <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
415.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER  court will do in camera review if necessary <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
416.00	11/01/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission (Twitter) <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
416.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER  court will do in camera review if necessary <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
417.00	11/01/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION  Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission (Facebook, Inc.) <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
417.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER  court will do in camera review if necessary <i>RESULT:</i> Granted 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
418.00	11/02/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion to Compel Consent re Social Media <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
418.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER  order to attach to subpoena	No

<i>RESULT: Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>				
419.00	11/03/2021	P	NOTICE  Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Supplemental Exhibit F (Proposed Subpoena) to DN 415.00 (Youtube, LLC)	No
420.00	11/03/2021	P	NOTICE  Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Supplemental Exhibit E (Proposed Subpoena) to DN 417.00 (Facebook, Inc)	No
421.00	11/03/2021	P	NOTICE  Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Supplemental Exhibit E (Proposed Subpoena) to DN 416.00 (Twitter, Inc)	No
422.00	11/03/2021	D	REPLY  IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RECUSE JUDGE BELLIS	No
423.00	11/03/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Response to Motion to Seal - Entry 386.00 <i>RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
423.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
424.00	11/04/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Compliance with document requests to Owen Shroyer	No
425.00	11/04/2021	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Objections to document requests to Owen Shroyer	No
426.00	11/08/2021	C	ORDER  due dates re: #415-421 obj due 11/15, reply 11/19 <i>RESULT: Order 11/8/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
427.00	11/09/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript 11/5/21 hearing and status conference	No
428.00	11/09/2021	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Objections to document requests to Christopher Daniels	No
429.00	11/09/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Compliance with document requests to Christopher Daniels	No
430.00	11/10/2021	O	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT  Motion to Seal Response to Order to Show Cause <i>RESULT: Withdrawn 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
430.10	11/29/2021	C	ORDER  <i>RESULT: Withdrawn 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
431.00	11/10/2021	O	REPLY  Response to 10/20/2021 Order to Show Cause, Entry Nos. 395, 396 <i>RESULT: Order 11/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
431.10	11/12/2021	C	ORDER  Show caused continued to 12/15/21 <i>RESULT: Order 11/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
432.00	11/12/2021	D	DOCUMENT SEALED <i>RESULT: Denied 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
432.10	11/12/2021	C	ORDER  Sealed by court PB 4-7 personal identifying info <i>RESULT: Order 11/12/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
432.11	11/15/2021	C	ORDER SEALING FILE OR DOCUMENT  <i>RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
432.20	11/15/2021	C	ORDER  depo questioning stayed for 30 days <i>RESULT: Denied 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
433.00	11/12/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion to Allow Dep. Questioning of Kit Daniels & Objection to Defs.' Mot. for Protective Order <i>RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
433.10	11/15/2021	C	ORDER  See ruling on motion for protective order. <i>RESULT: Order 11/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
434.00	11/15/2021	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5  Redacted Entry No. 432.00 - Re: Questions to Christopher ?Kit? Daniels	No
435.00	11/15/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Re: Plaintiffs? Motions for Commission and Order (Entry Nos. 415.00, 416.00,	No
























			417.00, and 418.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	
435.10	12/14/2021	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 12/14/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
436.00	11/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order re: Halbig Deposition <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
436.10	11/17/2021	C	ORDER obj due 11/29/21; reply due 12/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
436.20	12/03/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
437.00	11/15/2021	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION Court's ruling on the motion for sanctions	No
438.00	11/16/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Re: Motions to Seal (Entry No. 400.00 and 406.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
438.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
439.00	11/16/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER For Additional Protection to Protect Plaintiffs' Disclosures (Expedited Adjudication Requested) <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
439.10	11/17/2021	C	ORDER obj due 11/29/21; reply due 12/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
439.20	12/03/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
440.00	11/17/2021	P	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Plaintiffs' Supp. Memorandum in Opposition to Motions to Seal (386.00, 393.00, 406.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
440.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
441.00	11/17/2021	C	ORDER brief re: seal due D 11/29/21, P 12/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/17/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
442.00	11/18/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript 11/15/21 hearing	No
443.00	11/18/2021	C	RECORD CORRECTION Memorandum of decision re: Strike #297 Last Updated: Legend Code - 11/18/2021	No
444.00	11/18/2021	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION Memorandum of decision re: Strike #200	No
445.00	11/19/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript of 11/17/21 hearing	No
446.00	11/19/2021	P	REPLY Pl. Omnibus Reply to Ds' Obj. to Mtns. for Commission and to Mtn. to Compel Consent - Social Media	No
447.00	11/19/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Robert Parker's Notice of Compliance Dated 11/19/2021 (RE Defendants' Requests for Production)	No
448.00	11/19/2021	P	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5 Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order to Limit Prior Discovery Orders <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
448.10	11/29/2021	C	ORDER Reply due 12/13/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
449.00	11/19/2021	C	ORDER motions #415-418 surreply due 12/3/21 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 11/19/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
























450.00	11/22/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77)  Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication of DN 448.00 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
450.10	11/22/2021	C	ORDER  Objection due by 9:30 am 11/29/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/22/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
450.50	11/23/2021	C	PETITION FOR CERTIFICATION  SC DENIAL of petition for certification	No
451.00	11/24/2021	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION  Decision on motion to strike #316	No
452.00	11/24/2021	D	NOTICE OF DEFENSE PB 17-34 	No
453.00	11/26/2021	D	NOTICE  Notice of Filing minimally redacted Jacobson Transcript	No
453.50	11/29/2021	C	DOCUMENT SEALED	No
454.00	11/29/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION  Opposition to MPOs Entry Nos. 436, 439 & 448 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
454.10	12/03/2021	C	ORDER  see ruling underlying motion #439 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/3/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
455.00	11/29/2021	D	OBJECTION  obj. to plaintiff's motion for protective order limiting prior orders	No
456.00	11/29/2021	C	ORDER  Brief due 12/13/21 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 11/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
457.00	11/29/2021	O	REPLY  Response to 10/20/2021 Order to Show Cause, Entry Nos. 395, 396	No
458.00	11/29/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Defendants? Supp. Brief in Support of Motions to Seal (Nos. 386.00, 393.00, 406.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
458.10	01/19/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT</i> : Order 1/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
459.00	11/30/2021	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10  Objections to document requests to Blake Roddy	No
460.00	11/30/2021	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Compliance with document requests to Blake Roddy	No
461.00	12/01/2021	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Joint Motion for Limited Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
461.10	12/01/2021	C	ORDER  approved <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/1/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
462.00	12/03/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 11/29/21 hearing	No
463.00	12/03/2021	P	REQUEST  Request Pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. Sec. 52-220 that Hearing in Damages be to a Jury	No
464.00	12/03/2021	P	REPLY  Reply ISO Motion for Additional Protective Measures to Protect Plaintiffs' Disclosures (DN 439.00)	No
465.00	12/03/2021	P	AFFIDAVIT  Atty. Mattei's Affidavit Re: Parties' Conference to Resolve Objections to Social Media Subpoenas	No
466.00	12/03/2021	D	MEMORANDUM  Surreply in Opposition to Motions for Commission (Entry Nos. 415, 416, 417)	No
467.00	12/03/2021	P	REPLY  Reply to the Jones Defendants? Opposition to Pls? Mot for Protective Order RE Halbig Depo	No
468.00	12/03/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Robert Parker's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/3/2021 (RE:	No

Defendants' RPDs)					
469.00	12/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME	No	
To File Reply In Support Of Motion for Protective Order to Limit Prior Discovery Orders (DN 448.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS					
469.10	12/13/2021	C	ORDER deadlines discussed at 12/15/21 status conference <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/13/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
469.20	12/15/2021	C	ORDER surrept one week after reply <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
470.00	12/10/2021	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Motion to Compel Compliance Directed to Genesis <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
470.10	12/15/2021	C	ORDER obj by 12:00 12/29 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
470.20	12/29/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
471.00	12/10/2021	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) Plaintiffs' Request for Adjudication re: DN 469.00	Yes	
472.00	12/13/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Defendants' Brief on Contesting Liability Post-Default	No	
473.00	12/13/2021	P	BRIEF Pl. Memorandum of Law Re: Notice of Defenses	No	
474.00	12/13/2021	P	OBJECTION Objection to the Jones Defendants' Notice of Defenses <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
474.10	12/15/2021	C	ORDER memorandum by 12/22, response by 12/24 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
475.00	12/15/2021	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission for Deposition of David Jones <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
475.10	12/15/2021	C	ORDER objection by 12/22, reply by 12/29 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
475.20	12/29/2021	C	ORDER <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
476.00	12/15/2021	C	ORDER Sanctions briefs due 12/31/21 and 1/14/22 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/15/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
477.00	12/20/2021	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Robert Parker's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 12/17/2021 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No	
478.00	12/22/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Commission re David Jones (Entry No. 475.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
478.10	12/29/2021	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
479.00	12/22/2021	D	MEMORANDUM Response to Objection to Notice of Defenses (Entry Nos. 452.00, 473.00)	No	
480.00	12/23/2021	P	REPLY Pls' Reply in Support of Pls' Objection to the Jones Defendants' Notice of §17-34(a) Defenses	No	
481.00	12/29/2021	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION Genesis's objection to motion to compel	No	
482.00	12/29/2021	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Infowars Defendants Opposition to Motion to Compel Genesis Entry 470.00 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No	
482.10	12/29/2021	C	ORDER	No	

			see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 12/29/2021 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	
483.00	12/30/2021	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION Withdrawal of DN 448.00 Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order to Limit Prior Discovery Orders	No
484.00	12/30/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript of 12/15/21 hearing - morning	No
485.00	12/30/2021	C	TRANSCRIPT Transcript of 12/15/21 hearing - afternoon	No
486.00	01/05/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER Plaintiffs' Motion Concerning Subpoena to Facebook, Inc. <i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
486.10	01/12/2022	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
487.00	01/05/2022	P	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) Plaintiffs' Request for Expedited Adjudication of DN 486.00 <i>RESULT: Order 1/6/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	Yes
487.10	01/06/2022	C	ORDER Any objection must be filed on or before 1/12/22 <i>RESULT: Order 1/6/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
488.00	01/06/2022	D	OBJECTION RE DISCOVERY OR DISCLOSURE Response to Re-Notice of Deposition of Blake Roddy	No
489.00	01/06/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Re-Notice of Deposition of Blake Roddy	No
490.00	01/06/2022	C	LIST OF EXHIBITS (JD-CL-28/JD-CL-28a) Show cause hearing 10/20/21 & 12/25/21	No
491.00	01/12/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent For the Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
491.10	01/12/2022	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Granted 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
492.00	01/12/2022	D	MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion Concerning Subpoena to Facebook, Inc. (Entry No. 486.00) <i>RESULT: Order 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
492.10	01/12/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 1/12/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
493.00	01/13/2022	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10 Objections to document requests to Timothy Fruge	No
494.00	01/13/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Compliance with document requests to Timothy Fruge	No
495.00	01/14/2022	O	REPLY MEMORANDUM Defendant's Response to Disciplinary Counsel's Post Hearing Memorandum in Aid of Disposition	No
496.00	01/14/2022	O	REPLY MEMORANDUM Corrected Copy of Entry No. 495.00 - D's Resp. to Disciplinary Counsel's Post Hearing Memo.	No
497.00	01/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Robert Parker's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 1/14/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
498.00	01/21/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Concerning Plaintiffs' I&RP dated 1.24.19 and 5.5. 2021.	No
499.00	01/24/2022	C	ORDER hard copy of article due 1/31/22 <i>RESULT: Order 1/24/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
500.00	01/24/2022	O	REPLY MEMORANDUM	No
501.00	01/27/2022	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM Reply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (copy of #385, re-filed with revised redactions per #386.20)	No
502.00	01/27/2022	P	REPLY MEMORANDUM	No



























Sur-Surreply Re Manufactured Trial Balances (re-filed with revised redactions per #406.10)				
503.00	01/28/2022	D	AFFIDAVIT Refiled Affidavit of Robert Roe (392.00) as redacted per Order 393.10	No
504.00	01/28/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT transcript of 1/19/22 hearing	No
505.00	01/28/2022	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION Memorandum of Decision re: Show Cause	No
505.10	01/28/2022	C	NOTICE counsel emailed decision on 1/28/22	No
505.50	03/08/2022	C	NOTICE	No
506.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE William Sherlach's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/28/22 RE: Defendants' RPDs	No
507.00	01/28/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Robert Parker's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 1/28/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
508.00	02/04/2022	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PB 13-5 Re: Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition of Lydia Zapata Hernandez <i>RESULT</i> : Order 2/4/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
508.10	02/04/2022	C	ORDER objection due 2/7/22, reply due 2/9/22 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 2/4/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
509.00	02/04/2022	D	REQUEST FOR ADJUDICATION COMPLEX LITIGATION (JD-CL-77) Re: Motion for Protective Order (Entry No. 508.00)	Yes
510.00	02/07/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION Objection to Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Re: Subpoena of Lydia Zapata Hernandez	No
511.00	02/07/2022	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION Re: Motion for Protective Order (Entry No. 508.00)	No
512.00	02/10/2022	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION PI Mot for Commission to Take Out-of-State Deposition of Sprout Social, Inc. <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
512.10	02/16/2022	C	ORDER <i>RESULT</i> : Order 2/16/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
512.20	03/01/2022	C	ORDER <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
513.00	02/10/2022	P	MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENT PI Mot to Seal Unredacted Pleading <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	Yes
513.10	02/16/2022	C	ORDER <i>RESULT</i> : Order 2/16/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
513.20	06/02/2022	C	ORDER denied - unredacted version due within 10 days <i>RESULT</i> : Denied 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS Last Updated : Entry Number - 06/02/2022	No
514.00	02/10/2022	D	OBJECTION TO INTERROGATORIES/PRODUCTION PB 13-8 and 13-10 Objections to Document Requests to Alex Jones <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
514.10	03/08/2022	C	ORDER <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
515.00	02/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE William Sherlach's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
516.00	02/14/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Robert Parker's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RFPs)	No
517.00	02/14/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 2/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
517.10	02/14/2022	C	ORDER <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 2/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No

518.00	02/15/2022	D	<u>NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE</u>  GCN Compliance 2.15.2022	No
519.00	02/24/2022	D	<u>MEMORANDUM</u>  Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Under Seal (No. 513.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
519.10	06/02/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  see ruling on underlying motions <i>RESULT</i> : Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
520.00	02/24/2022	D	<u>MEMORANDUM</u>  Objection to Motion for Commission to Take Out of State Deposition of Sprout Social (No. 512.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Overruled 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
520.10	03/01/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Overruled 3/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
521.00	02/25/2022	C	<u>TRANSCRIPT</u>  Transcript of 2/16/22 status conference	No
522.00	02/25/2022	P	<u>NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE</u>  William Sherlach's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 2/25/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
523.00	02/28/2022	P	<u>REPLY</u>  Reply In Support of Pls' Mot. for Commission re Sprout Social, Inc.	No
524.00	03/02/2022	P	<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>  Re: Parties' Conference to Resolve Objections to RFPs in Notice of Deposition for Alex Jones <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
524.10	03/02/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Defendant's response due by 3/7/22 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
524.11	03/07/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
525.00	03/07/2022	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u>  Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend the Protective Order - On Consent <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
525.10	03/07/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  <i>RESULT</i> : Granted 3/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
526.00	03/08/2022	D	<u>REPLY</u>  Jones Defendants' Reply To Mattei Affidavit (Dkt. 710.00) <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
526.10	03/08/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  The request for adjournment is denied. <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
527.00	03/08/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order re: incorrect appearance issue correction <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
528.00	03/09/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order re: appearances <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/9/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
529.00	03/09/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  order re: deposition of corporate designee <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/9/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
529.10	03/09/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u>  Amended order: Paz depo 3/15-16 <i>RESULT</i> : Order 3/9/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
530.00	03/11/2022	P	<u>NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE</u>  Robert Parker's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 3/11/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
531.00	03/15/2022	P	<u>NOTICE</u>  Joint Notice re Production from Social Media Companies	No
532.00	03/16/2022	D	<u>MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE</u>  motion to withdraw appearance for Genesis Communications Network, Inc. with draft notice	Yes
533.00	03/16/2022	D	<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>  affidavit in support of motion for permission to withdraw appearance, entry 532.00	No

534.00	03/16/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 3/9/22 telephonic status conference Last Updated: Additional Description - 03/16/2022	No
535.00	03/16/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 3/15/22 telephonic status conference	No
536.00	03/21/2022	P	NOTICE  Amended Joint Notice re: Production from Social Media Companies	No
537.00	03/22/2022	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Amended Motion for Protective Order RE: Deposition of Alex Jones <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
537.10	03/22/2022	C	ORDER  Denied, for the reasons stated on the record <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
538.00	03/22/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Plaintiffs' Objection to Defendants' Motion for Protective Order Re: Deposition of Alex Jones <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
538.10	03/22/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
539.00	03/22/2022	C	ORDER  order re: notice in file by 11:00 am 3/23/22 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/22/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
540.00	03/23/2022	D	NOTICE  NOTICE TO THE COURT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S MARCH 22, 2022 ORDER (DKT. NO. 732.00)	No
541.00	03/23/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Pls' Emergency Motion for Order Requiring Alex Jones to Appear for Deposition <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
541.10	03/23/2022	C	ORDER  brief schedule new motion/hearing 3/30/22 2:00 pm <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
542.00	03/23/2022	C	ORDER  Order re: Alex Jones Deposition 3/24/22 <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
543.00	03/23/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Objection to Defendants' Motion For A Capias (Dkt. 734.00)	No
544.00	03/23/2022	P	BRIEF  Pls' Supplemental Brief re Court's Authority to Issue Capias	No
545.00	03/23/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION  Corrected Objection to Defendants' Motion For A Capias (Dkt. 734.00) <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
545.10	03/23/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion/only argument <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
546.00	03/23/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT  Transcript of 3/22/22 hearing/status conference	No
547.00	03/23/2022	P	BRIEF  Pls' Amended Supplemental Brief with Unreported Cases <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
547.10	03/23/2022	C	ORDER  see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT:</i> Order 3/23/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
548.00	03/23/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Pls' Amended Emergency Motion for Order Requiring Alex Jones to Appear for Deposition	No
549.00	03/23/2022	D	MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  Jones Defendants' Renewed Emergency Motion For Protective Order <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/24/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
549.10	03/24/2022	C	ORDER  court available for issues related to this order <i>RESULT:</i> Denied 3/24/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
550.00	03/24/2022	P	NOTICE 	No

Notice that Alex Jones Did Not Appear at His Court-Ordered Deposition					
551.00	03/25/2022	P	MOTION FOR CONTEMPT	Pls' Mot for Finding of Civil Contempt <i>RESULT: Order 3/31/2022 BY THE CLERK</i>	Yes
551.10	03/31/2022	C	ORDER	see memorandum of decision #566 <i>RESULT: Order 3/31/2022 BY THE CLERK</i>	No
552.00	03/28/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION	Jones' Defendants' Objection To Motion For Order & Sanctions (Dkt. 743.00)	No
553.00	03/28/2022	D	OBJECTION TO MOTION	Jones' Defendants' Objection To Motion For Contempt(Dkt. 750.00)	No
554.00	03/28/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT	transcript of 3/23/22 hearing	No
555.00	03/29/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER	Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines	No
556.00	03/29/2022	P	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION	Withdrawal of DN 555.00 (due to missing Exhibit A)	No
557.00	03/29/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER	Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT: Granted 3/29/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
557.10	03/29/2022	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT: Granted 3/29/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
558.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE	Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff William Sherlach	No
559.00	03/29/2022	D	OFFER OF COMPROMISE	Offer of Compromise to Plaintiff Robert Parker	No
560.00	03/29/2022	P	MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION	Plaintiffs' Motion On Consent For Commission To Take Out-of-State Deposition of Robert Dew <i>RESULT: Granted 3/30/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
560.10	03/30/2022	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT: Granted 3/30/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
561.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE	Plaintiff William Sherlach's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
562.00	03/30/2022	P	NOTICE	Plaintiff Robert Parker's Rejection of the Jones Defendants' Offer of Compromise	No
563.00	03/30/2022	P	REPLY	Pls' Reply in Support of Pls' Motion for Finding of Civil Contempt	No
564.00	03/30/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT	transcript of 3/16/22 hearing	No
565.00	03/30/2022	D	MOTION TO REARGUE/RECONSIDER	Motion For Reconsideration as To the Start of AlexJones' Daily Escalating Fine <i>RESULT: Denied 3/30/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
565.10	03/30/2022	C	ORDER	<i>RESULT: Denied 3/30/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
566.00	03/30/2022	C	MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON MOTION	ruling on motion for contempt #551	No
567.00	03/31/2022	C	TRANSCRIPT	transcript of 3/30/22 hearing	No
568.00	03/31/2022	D	MOTION FOR STAY	Jones Defendants' Motion For Stay of Execution Pending Appeal <i>RESULT: Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
568.10	04/01/2022	C	ORDER	Stay is denied <i>RESULT: Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
569.00	04/01/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION	Pls' Objection to Motion for Emergency Stay <i>RESULT: Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
569.10	04/01/2022	C	ORDER		No

			see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 4/1/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	
569.50	03/31/2022	D	APPEAL TO APPELLATE COURT ALL FEES PAID re: contempt finding and sanctions	No
569.60	04/01/2022	D	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL motion for review of denial of motion for stay Last Updated: Entry Number - 06/23/2022	No
569.70	04/04/2022	C	APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL emergency motion for stay is denied	No
570.00	04/04/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Alex Jones' Notice of Compliance With Court's Contempt Order Concerning Daily Fines	No
571.00	04/04/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Notice of Compliance with Court's Contempt Order	No
572.00	04/06/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE	No
573.00	04/06/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Jones Defendants' 4/6/2022 Notice of Compliance With March 30, 2022 Order	No
574.00	04/06/2022	D	MOTION FOR ORDER Jones Defendants' Motion For Order Declaring Alex Jones Purged Of Contempt And Returning Paid Fines <i>RESULT: Order 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
574.10	04/14/2022	C	ORDER The clerk is directed to return the \$75,00.00 <i>RESULT: Order 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
575.00	04/07/2022	D	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Jones Defendants' Supplement To Notice of Compliance (Dkt. 794.00) & Motion For Order (Dkt. 796.00)	No
576.00	04/07/2022	P	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER Plaintiffs' Motion on Consent for Extension of Certain Discovery Deadlines <i>RESULT: Granted 4/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
576.10	04/07/2022	C	ORDER <i>RESULT: Granted 4/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
577.00	04/07/2022	C	ORDER Briefing re: awarded fees and costs of deposition <i>RESULT: Order 4/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
578.00	04/08/2022	P	REPLY Plaintiffs' Response to the Jones Defendants' Motion for Order (DN 796 & 797) <i>RESULT: Order 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
578.10	04/14/2022	C	ORDER see ruling on underlying motion <i>RESULT: Order 4/14/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
579.00	04/08/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE William Sherlach's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 4/8/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
580.00	04/08/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE Robert Parker's Notice of Supplemental Compliance Dated 4/8/22 (RE: Defendants' RPDs)	No
581.00	04/12/2022	P	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME Pls' MET re Briefing Schedule wrt Costs and Fees Awarded at 3/30/22 Contempt Hrg., On Consent <i>RESULT: Order 4/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
581.10	04/13/2022	C	ORDER granted by agreement <i>RESULT: Order 4/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
582.00	04/14/2022	P	NOTICE Supplemental Filing In Support Of Plaintiffs' Request for Fees and Costs	No
582.10	06/02/2022	C	ORDER defense fees brief due 6/10, reply 6/14 by 2:00 pm <i>RESULT: Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No
583.00	04/18/2022	D	NOTICE OF BANKRUPTCY Infowars, LLC; Infowars Health, LLC; and Prison Planet TV, LLC's Notice Of Bankruptcy <i>RESULT: Order 4/18/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS</i>	No

583.10	04/18/2022	C	ORDER  4/20/22 status conference will still go forward <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/18/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
584.00	04/18/2022	D	NOTICE  Notice of Removal to Bankruptcy Court <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
584.10	04/19/2022	C	ORDER  no further action by court until remanded back <i>RESULT:</i> Order 4/19/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
585.00	04/19/2022	D	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION 	No
586.00	05/31/2022	D	MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE 	Yes
586.10	06/02/2022	C	ORDER  order re: hearing date etc. <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
587.00	06/01/2022	P	NOTICE  Notice of Filing Remand Order Entered in U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Connecticut, on 6/1/22	No
588.00	06/01/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS  Pls' Disclosure of Expert Witness Gary Krantz	No
589.00	06/01/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS  Pls' Disclosure of Expert Witness Clint Watts	No
590.00	06/01/2022	P	OBJECTION TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEARANCE  Pls' Obj. to Mtn to Withdraw Appearances	Yes
591.00	06/01/2022	C	REMANDED FROM BANKRUPTCY COURT 	No
592.00	06/02/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS  Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Dr. Heidi Beirich	No
593.00	06/02/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS  Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Oren Segal	No
594.00	06/02/2022	C	ORDER  agreement to remove certain defendants <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
595.00	06/02/2022	C	ORDER  order re: trial dates and expert's availability <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/2/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
596.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  William Sherlach's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
597.00	06/03/2022	P	NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE  Robert Parker's Notice of Supp. Compliance Dated 6/3/22 (RE: Defendants' IRPs)	No
598.00	06/06/2022	D	MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER  Genesis's proposed briefing schedule concerning its m/summary judgment <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/6/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
598.10	06/06/2022	C	ORDER  order with new Summary Judgement filing dates <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/6/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
599.00	06/07/2022	C	ORDER  Trial Preparation Order <i>RESULT:</i> Order 6/7/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
600.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS  Plaintiff's Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Anthony Campanelli	No
601.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS  Plaintiff's Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Steven Brill	No
602.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS  Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Dr. Heidi Beirich	No
603.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS  Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Oren Segal	No
604.00	06/07/2022	P	MOTION FOR ORDER  Motion for Sanctions for Bad Faith Removal	No
605.00	06/07/2022	P	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS  Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Clint Watts	No

606.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u> Plaintiffs' Amended Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Gary Krantz	No
607.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u> Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Joshua Rockoff	No
608.00	06/07/2022	P	<u>DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS</u> Plaintiffs' Disclosure of Expert Witness, Mr. Paul Steiger	No
609.00	06/08/2022	D	<u>MOTION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER</u> Jones Defendants' Motion To Continue Trial And Reset Deadlines	No
609.10	06/08/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u> order re: case scheduling RESULT: Order 6/8/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
610.00	06/09/2022	C	<u>TRANSCRIPT</u> Transcript of 6/2/22 status conference	No
611.00	06/10/2022	P	<u>MOTION FOR COMMISSION FOR DEPOSITION</u> Motion for Commission to Take Out-of-State Deposition of Sprout Social - Unredacted per DN 513.20	No
612.00	06/10/2022	D	<u>MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION</u> Opposition To Request For Attorney's Fees & Costs (Dkt. 784.00 and 808.00)	No
613.00	06/13/2022	P	<u>MOTION FOR ORDER</u> Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend the Protective Order - On Consent	No
614.00	06/13/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u> re: 6/15 status conference and attorneys fees RESULT: Order 6/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
614.10	06/13/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u> Amended order #614 re: hearing RESULT: Order 6/13/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
615.00	06/14/2022	P	<u>AFFIDAVIT</u> Atty. Blumenthal's Affidavit Re: Attempts to Resolve Issue of Fees and Costs (per DN 614.00)	No
616.00	06/16/2022	P	<u>AFFIDAVIT</u> Atty. Blumenthal's Affidavit Re: Attempt to Resolve Issue of Fees and Costs (per 6/15/22 hearing)	No
616.50	06/16/2022	C	<u>APPELLATE COURT MATERIAL</u> appeal dismissed all defendants except Alex Jones	No
617.00	06/17/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u> Ruling on attorney fees re: Jones deposition RESULT: Order 6/17/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
618.00	06/20/2022	D	<u>WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION</u> Jones' Defendants Withdrawal of Motion For Permission To Withdraw (Dkt. 604.00)	No
619.00	06/21/2022	C	<u>ORDER</u> order marking off 6/22/22 hearing RESULT: Order 6/21/2022 HON BARBARA BELLIS	No
620.00	06/21/2022	C	<u>TRANSCRIPT</u> Transcript of 6/15/22 status conference	No

Consolidated Cases

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Caption</u>	<u>Disp. Date</u>	<u>Disp. Code</u>
<u>UWY-CV18-6046436S</u>	LAFFERTY, ERICA Et Al v. JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et Al		
<u>UWY-CV18-6046437S</u>	SHERLACH, WILLIAM v. JONES, ALEX Et Al		

Scheduled Court Dates as of 06/23/2022

UWY-CV18-6046438-S - SHERLACH, WILLIAM Et Al v. JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et Al

#	Date	Time	Event Description	Status
1	06/24/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
2	06/28/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
3	06/29/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
4	06/30/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off
5	07/01/2022	10:00AM	Jury Selection / Trial	Off

Return Date: December 11, 2018	:	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	:	
WILLIAM SHERLACH & ROBERT PARKER	:	OF FAIRFIELD
	:	
v.	:	AT BRIDGEPORT
	:	
ALEX JONES, ET AL.	:	NOVEMBER 15, 2018

COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil action for damages leading from the mass shooting that happened at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012.

2. Just before 9:30 AM that morning, Adam Lanza shot his way into the locked school with a Bushmaster XM15-E2S.

3. In less than five minutes, he shot and killed 20 first-grade children and 6 adults. Two others were wounded.

4. That tragic day left behind 26 families that will never be whole again.

5. Every day since, those families have struggled to reconcile themselves with the irrevocable loss of their beloved sons, daughters, sisters, brothers, and mothers.

6. Even though overwhelming—and indisputable—evidence exists showing exactly what happened at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012, certain individuals have persistently perpetuated a monstrous, unspeakable lie: that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged, and that the families who lost loved ones that day are actors who faked their relatives' deaths.

7. Defendant Alex Jones is a conspiracy-theorist radio and internet personality who holds himself out as a journalist. He is the most prolific among those fabricators. But he does not work alone: along with his various business entities, Jones is the chief amplifier for a group that has worked in concert to create and propagate loathsome, false narratives about the Sandy Hook shooting and its victims, and promote their harassment and abuse.

8. Jones, along with these others, has persisted in the perpetuation and propagation of this outrageous, deeply painful, and defamatory lie in the face of a mountain of evidence to the contrary, and with no supporting evidence.

9. Alex Jones does not in fact believe that the Sandy Hook Shooting was a hoax—and he never has.

10. Nevertheless, time and again, Jones has accused the Sandy Hook families, who are readily identifiable, of faking their loved ones' deaths, and insisted that the children killed that day are actually alive.

11. Jones has deliberately employed these false narratives about the Sandy Hook shooting, the victims, and their families as part of a marketing scheme that has brought him and his business entities tens of millions of dollars per year.¹

12. Jones has an audience of millions. He has repeatedly urged them to "investigate" the Sandy Hook shooting. He has purposely published statements by other people who falsely assert that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax.

13. As a result of Jones's campaign, the families and survivors of the Sandy Hook shooting have been forced to endure malicious and cruel abuse at the hands of ruthless and unscrupulous people.

14. On a regular basis, the families and survivors have faced physical confrontation and harassment, death threats, and a sustained barrage of harassment and verbal assault on social media.

15. They have confronted strange individuals videotaping them and their children.

16. Some of them have moved to undisclosed locations to avoid this harassment.

17. The plaintiffs bring this action in defense of the values protected by the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, not in derogation of it.

18. Like any marketplace, the marketplace of ideas that the First Amendment was meant to protect cannot function properly without accountability for reprehensible conduct like the defendants'. In fact, the defendants themselves have proven this to be so by their successful propagation of the blatantly false and harmful aspersions described in this Complaint.

19. The First Amendment has never protected demonstrably false, malicious statements like the defendants'. This is because "there is no constitutional value in false statements of fact. Neither the intentional lie nor the careless error materially advances society's interest in 'uninhibited, robust and wide-open' debate on public issues."²

¹ See Seth Brown, *Alex Jones's Media Empire Is a Machine Built to Sell Snake-Oil Diet Supplements*, N.Y. MAG. (May 4, 2017), <http://nymag.com/selectall/2017/05/how-does-alex-jones-make-money.html>; Alex Seitz-Wald, *Alex Jones: Conspiracy, Inc.*, SALON (May 2, 2013), https://www.salon.com/2013/05/02/alex_jones_conspiracy_inc/.

² *Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.*, 418 U.S. 323, 340 (1974) (quoting *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254, 270 (1964)). While the Supreme Court has afforded "a measure of strategic protection" to certain kinds of falsehoods to ensure that speech protected by the First Amendment has "the breathing space to survive," it has never wavered in its determination that falsehoods have no First Amendment value. *Id.* at 342. None of the Court's protective doctrines interfere with the plaintiffs' claims in this case.

20. This lawsuit is about holding Jones and the other defendants accountable for the effects of their outrageous, malicious, and deeply hurtful lies.

PARTIES

21. The plaintiffs are the immediate family of one child and one educator killed at Sandy Hook Elementary School, in Newtown, Connecticut, on December 14, 2012.

22. Plaintiff Robert “Robbie” Parker is the father of murdered first-grader Emilie Parker, who was six years old at her death on December 14, 2012. He resides in King County, Washington.

23. The plaintiff, William Sherlach, is the spouse of Mary Sherlach, who was a 56-year-old school psychologist killed at Sandy Hook Elementary School, in Newtown, Connecticut, on December 14, 2012. He resides in Fairfield County, Connecticut.

24. The defendants are out-of-state business entities and individuals residing in Connecticut, Texas, Florida, and Minnesota.

25. Defendant Alex Emric Jones (“Alex Jones” or “Jones”) is a radio and internet personality who holds himself out as a journalist and is a resident of Austin, Texas. He is the host of shows including “The Alex Jones Show,” and owns and operates the websites Infowars.com and PrisonPlanet.com. Jones’s shows have millions of weekly listeners and are syndicated on approximately 60 radio stations. Jones can be served at his place of business, Infowars, at 3019 Alvin Devane Blvd, Suite 300-350, Austin, Texas 78741.

26. Defendant Infowars, LLC (“Infowars”) is a Texas limited liability company that produces and broadcasts Alex Jones’ Infowars, which holds itself out as a news and journalism platform. Its principal offices is at 3019 Alvin Devane Blvd., Suite 300-350, Austin Texas 78741.

27. Defendant Free Speech Systems, LLC is a Texas limited liability company. It owns Infowars.com. Its principal office is located at 910 West May Street, Austin, Texas 78704.

28. Defendant Infowars Health LLC is a Texas limited liability company. Its principal office is located at 509 West 18th Street, Austin, Texas 78701.

29. Defendant Prison Planet TV LLC is a Texas limited liability company. Its principal offices located at 910 West May Street, Austin, Texas 78704.

30. All the above-mentioned Texas business-entity defendants are owned, controlled, and/or operated by defendant Alex Jones and are employed to hold and generate revenue for him. They and Jones shall hereinafter be referred to collectively as “the Jones defendants.”

31. Defendant Wolfgang Halbig holds himself out as a journalist and investigator and resides in Sorrento, Florida. He is the creator and operator of the defamatory and predatory websites SandyHookJustice.com and MonteFrank.com.

32. Defendant Cory T. Sklanka holds himself out as a journalist and investigator and resides in Meriden, Connecticut. He has worked closely with Halbig in Halbig's Sandy Hook "investigative" work, including acting as driver and camera operator when Halbig has visited Connecticut to conduct those activities, and, on information and belief, participating in the operation of SandyHookJustice.com and co-hosting Sandy Hook Justice broadcasts.

33. Defendant Genesis Communications Network, Inc., is a privately held company incorporated in Minnesota. It distributes radio programs produced by Alex Jones and Infowars, and was founded by Ted Anderson, who has appeared many times on Jones's shows, to promote his other business, defendant Midas Resources.³ Its principal offices are located at 190 Cobblestone Lane, Burnsville, Minnesota, 55337.

34. Defendant Midas Resources, Inc., is a privately held company incorporated in Minnesota. Its principal offices are located at 190 Cobblestone Lane, Burnsville, Minnesota, 55337. It has sold precious metals, dietary supplements, and other items as advertised by and in cooperation with defendant Genesis Communications and the Jones defendants.⁴

THE DEFENDANTS' KNOWLEDGE, ASPIRATIONS, AND INFLUENCE

35. Jones has a radio audience of more than two million people. The Alex Jones Show is syndicated on more than 60 radio stations. On the internet, Jones and Infowars reach millions more. Jones's YouTube channel has more than 2.3 million subscribers.

36. Jones has repeatedly expressly stated that he aspires to spur his followers to action, and has acknowledged that his exhortations have that effect. This is especially true with regard to the Sandy Hook shooting.

37. For instance, on June 12, 2017, The Alex Jones Channel posted a video. Speaking of his interview with Megyn Kelly on NBC and specifically about Sandy Hook, Jones stated, "That's why you keep missing the main calculation. I am a precision-guided heavy munition coming in on top of you So I hit the barbed wire, and everyone comes in over me. Have you seen what we've done how talk radio now sounds just like me Have you seen how the whole paradigm is globally shifting and you can't hold it back?"

38. Later in the video, Jones stated, "I'm making it safe for everyone else to speak out just like Trump's doing, on a much bigger scale."

39. Jones has not just attempted to give his followers permission to question reality. He also has specifically urged them to action.

40. His followers have shown themselves ready to comply.

³ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M-aQntV8meQ>; Nate Blakeslee, *Alex Jones Is About to Explode*, TEX. MONTHLY (Mar. 2010) <https://www.texasmonthly.com/politics/alex-jones-is-about-to-explode/>.

⁴ *Id.*

41. On November 27, 2016, for instance, Jones spoke at length about “Pizzagate,” a baseless conspiracy theory alleging that Democratic operatives were running a child-sex ring out of a Washington, D.C. pizza restaurant. He urged his followers to “investigate” the matter.

42. “You have to go investigate it for yourself,” he told them. “But I will warn you, this story that’s been the biggest thing on the internet for several weeks, Pizzagate as it’s called, is a rabbit hole that is horrifying to go down Something’s going on. Something’s being covered up. This needs to be investigated.”

43. Jones’s followers came running to his call, as he knew they would.

44. The pizzeria received hundreds of threats. Its owner told *The New York Times*, “[W]e’ve come under constant assault. I’ve done nothing for days but try to clean this up and protect my staff and friends from being terrorized.”

45. The owner and staff, along with some of their families, were harassed on social media websites. The owner received death threats. Even musical groups that had performed at the pizzeria and nearby businesses were harassed.

46. On December 4, 2016, Edgar Maddison Welch, a self-described Alex Jones follower, drove from Salisbury, North Carolina, to Washington, D.C., and fired three rounds into the pizzeria with an AR-15-style rifle.

47. Welch told police that his objective was to “self-investigate” the Pizzagate conspiracy theory. In fact, just days before embarking on his violent “self-investigation,” Welch urged a friend to watch “PIZZAGATE: The Bigger Picture,” an Infowars video.

48. Shortly thereafter, Jones removed his November 27, 2016 video and scrubbed references to his advocacy of the Pizzagate conspiracy theory.

49. Similarly, many of the persons who have directly harassed or abused Sandy Hook families have been motivated by Jones’s urging that his listeners “investigate.”

50. In 2017, a Florida woman was sentenced to prison for threatening the father of a child killed at Sandy Hook.

51. She made four voicemail and email threats to the father, with statements like “you gonna die, death is coming to you real soon” and “LOOK BEHIND YOU IT IS DEATH.”

52. The woman’s defense lawyer stated that she was primarily motivated by what she had read and seen on Infowars.com, Jones’s website.

53. On numerous occasions, Jones has hosted on his show Wolfgang Halbig, a self-styled investigator who is amongst the most prominent of those people who falsely claim that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax.

54. Halbig is a 70-year-old former police officer and school administrator living in Florida. He has made more than 22 trips to Connecticut relating to the allegations of this Complaint, including delivering highly confrontational testimony before the Newtown Board of Education, and was warned by police that he would be charged with harassment unless he ceased contacting Newtown residents.

55. Defendant Sklanka has facilitated Halbig's harassing and defamatory activities in Connecticut. He has acted as Halbig's driver, camera operator, helped Halbig operate his website, and co-hosted broadcasts asserting that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax. On information and belief, Sklanka assisted and was present with Halbig when he filmed and harassed children families at the St. Rose of Lima church in Newtown, Connecticut on June 2, 2015.

56. On information and belief, Cory Sklanka actively assisted in operating the websites MonteFrank.com and SandyHookJustice.com through at least September 2016.

57. Cory Sklanka knew that Wolfgang Halbig's objective in his activities in Connecticut, on his websites, and in his broadcasts, was to publish Halbig's outrageous, hurtful, and defamatory statements about the plaintiffs, and to harass the plaintiffs, as alleged in this Complaint. In fact, he facilitated Halbig's activities, and agreed with Halbig to facilitate Halbig's activities, with the knowing purpose of achieving this objective, as well as the objective of giving Halbig's harassment and publications maximum reach.

58. Sklanka knew that the websites' purpose was to publish defamatory and otherwise legally actionable false statements about the plaintiffs, including that: (1) the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax; (2) children or educators did not die in the Sandy Hook shooting; (3) the families of victims in the Sandy Hook shooting are actors or otherwise lying about their loved ones' deaths; and (4) families of Sandy Hook victims are engaging in fraud to profit from their loved ones' deaths. In fact, that is why he assisted Halbig in his "investigatory," defamatory, and harassing activities.

59. On information and belief, on September 3, 2016, Sklanka posted under a pseudonym, or facilitated the posting of, an article on MonteFrank.com, asserting:

Avielle Richman is a fabricated identity using the real life person Lenie Urbina of Sandy Hook, CT. Jeremy Richman of The Avielle Foundation continues to commit Fraud against the American public and against the world, collecting donations under false pretenses that his daughter died.⁵

60. The article also asserted:

Now that "Avielle Richman" and Lenie Urbina have been exposed as being the same person, we know that the "Avielle Foundation" is actively engaging in fraud and theft of donor's [sic] money. The Richman and Urbina families have been

⁵ Barry Miller, *Update: Wolfgang Halbig Threatened & MonteFrank.com Is Not Going Down*, MonteFrank.com (Sept. 3, 2016), <https://archive.is/e44tV#selection-203.0-203.284>.

caught red-handed and have a lot of explaining to do. This includes Sandy Hook Medical Examiner Dr. H. Wayne Carver who claimed to have performed an autopsy on “Avielle”/Lenie.⁶

61. Sklanka also hosted and published his own podcasts on YouTube about the Sandy Hook shooting under the username “SleightofSin.” Based on witness statements, the plaintiffs believe podcasts contain publications by Sklanka within the statute of limitations asserting that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax and that the families of victims fabricated their children’s deaths.

62. A video already in the plaintiffs’ possession indicates that Sklanka participated in and/or co-hosted a podcast published on March 25, 2015 in which he stated that “Sandy Hook . . . was all crappy acting,” and that he was “sick of people being destroyed by an event [Sandy Hook] that was fabricated, let alone other people that are possibly being destroyed due to an event that didn’t happen.”

63. In a video already in the plaintiffs’ possession, Sklanka described working closely with Halbig on Sandy-Hook-related activities. The participant speaking immediately after Sklanka noted that Halbig would be appearing on Alex Jones’s show the following day, and encouraged the podcast’s listeners to listen to that broadcast “on GCN” and “bombard the caller lines . . . and comment sections” to give “encouragement to the Wolf to get this action moving” and for “Alex Jones to investigate.” Another participant then argued that they should persuade Alex Jones to send Rob Dew to Connecticut with Halbig “to report.” Sklanka stated in response: “I second that.”

64. Faced with this lawsuit, Sklanka deleted his YouTube profile and other social media accounts, thus hiding those videos from public view. However, with reasonable discovery, the plaintiffs believe they would gain access to additional videos containing legally actionable publications by Sklanka and be able to enumerate them in greater detail.

65. The plaintiffs were not aware of Sklanka’s activities with Halbig until within the past year. Because Sklanka operated behind the scenes and under a pseudonym, the plaintiffs had no reason to know of his role in the activities related to this complaint.

66. Kevin Laprade, an Infowars videographer, accompanied Halbig on at least some of his “investigative” trips to Connecticut.⁷

67. Halbig has filed numerous Freedom of Information Act requests over the years since the shooting, seeking police documents, insurance claims, portable toilet orders, and other types of information.

68. Halbig has raised more than \$100,000, largely on GoFundMe.com, for his activities.

⁶ *Id.*

⁷ <https://www.facebook.com/mert.melfa/videos/539807396217619/>.

69. Tiffany Moser helped Halbig search Newtown Board of Education documents for evidence that the school was closed before the shooting. When she reported that all the evidence indicated that the school had been open, Halbig dismissed her.

70. Halbig was banned from St. Rose of Lima, a Newtown church, after he and others were seen videotaping children entering and exiting the building. On information and belief, Sklanka participated in this venture.

71. In May 2014, Halbig spoke at a public meeting of the Newtown Board of Education, in Newtown, Connecticut.

72. After the meeting, Leonard Pozner emailed Halbig, seeking to persuade him to leave the Sandy Hook families alone. Halbig never responded, but another person did. He stated: “Wolfgang does not wish to speak with you unless you exhume Noah’s body and prove to the world you lost your son.”

73. Halbig’s activities were well known when he first appeared on the Alex Jones Radio Show, and throughout the times during which Jones hosted his appearances; in fact, Jones invited and hosted him on his show, time and again, precisely because of his activities.

74. On his show, Jones expressly encouraged Halbig to continue these activities on numerous occasions.

75. During that broadcast of the Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones repeatedly advertised Halbig’s website, sandyhookjustice.com.

76. Halbig’s website made numerous false, outrageous, and defamatory statements about the plaintiffs.

- A. For instance, the website accused Jeremy Richman, father of Avielle Richman, who was murdered at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012, of having fabricated his daughter’s identity and faked her death “to steal money from hard-working Americans.” Those false and outrageous accusations were accompanied by pictures of Jeremy, Avielle, and an unrelated Newtown child.
- B. Halbig’s website further stated that “Jeremy Richman & Jennifer Hensel continue to deceive and defraud the American public and collect donations for The Avielle Foundation, for Avielle Richman claiming she is dead, when in reality, she is alive and was never their daughter.” It further stated: “The corruption, fraud, and treason must stop, especially at the Bridgeport, Connecticut Law Firm of Pullman & Comley LLC, managers of the My Sandy Hook Family Fund, actively engaging in FRAUD by soliciting donations from the public for a murder victim who is still alive.”⁸

⁸ <https://web.archive.org/web/20160322115755/http://sandyhookjusticereport.com:80/monte-frank/avielle-richman-1-20-students-supposedly-died-sandy-hook-school-shooting-verified-28-year-veteran-forensic-expert-witness-girl-photos-lenie-urbina/>.

- C. Halbig's website contained links to numerous Infowars and/or Alex Jones videos claiming that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax, as well as videos that contained imagery and the names of some of the plaintiffs' children.⁹
- D. It also published images, text, and video asserting that plaintiffs William Aldenberg and David Wheeler are in fact the same person, who is a crisis actor.
- E. Faced with invasion-of-privacy lawsuits, Halbig took down his website in August 2016. However, he has continued to publish false, malicious, and defamatory statements regarding the Sandy Hook shooting and its victims continually through Facebook, email, and various radio and internet media outlets.

77. Halbig has also published Facebook posts containing images and text asserting that plaintiffs William Aldenberg and David Wheeler are in fact the same person, and that person is a crisis actor.¹⁰ His Facebook page continues to display those publications.

78. Jones was aware that Halbig had published such statements through his website and other outlets. In fact, Jones brought Halbig onto his shows for the very purpose of eliciting and publishing such statements.

79. In addition to hosting Halbig on his show time and again, Jones specifically directed and encouraged Halbig to continue his Sandy-Hook-related activities in Connecticut. Jones and other Infowars personnel specifically solicited that their audience contribute funds to Halbig so that he could continue his efforts.

80. In fact, Jones and Infowars sent Infowars personnel to Connecticut to conduct live "reporting" on Halbig's activities and publish his false, defamatory, and outrageous accusations about the plaintiffs.

81. For instance, on May 29, 2015, The Alex Jones Channel published an Infowars video, now posted on YouTube with the title "School Administrator Exposes Sandy Hook Stonewall."¹¹

82. The video was introduced by David Knight. The video then cut to Dan Bidondi, an Infowars reporter, who was reporting from Halbig's Freedom of Information Act hearing in Hartford, Connecticut.

⁹ <https://web.archive.org/web/20150731014342/http://www.sandyhookjustice.com:80/>.

¹⁰ See, e.g., <https://www.facebook.com/wolfgang.halbig.1/posts/196562527355324>; <https://www.facebook.com/wolfgang.halbig.1/posts/195439914134252>.
<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=196561627355414&set=pb.100010047343967.-2207520000.1526661423.&type=3&theater>.

¹¹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SO8Xb-t4nT4>.

83. Infowars reporter Dan Bidondi had traveled to Hartford, CT to “report” on this hearing on behalf of Infowars and Alex Jones.

84. On information and belief, other Infowars employees, apparent agents, and/or agents, including videographer Kevin Laprade,¹² traveled to and were located in Hartford, Connecticut, for the creation of this video.

85. Sklanka acted as Halbig’s driver, and actively facilitated his appearance on Infowars.¹³

86. On July 7, 2015, The Alex Jones Show broadcast a video now posted on YouTube with the title “Retired FBI Agent Investigates Sandy Hook: MEGA MASSIVE COVER UP.”¹⁴

87. Jones noted, referring to the May 29, 2015 video, “I knew that we’d sent a reporter, Dan Bidondi, there for days, to cover the city council hearings about it, the fact that they’re sealing everything.”¹⁵

88. Jones continued, “Bidondi did a great job. I just wish I had known about this. I would have gone up there. Halbig tried to get me to go. I just am trying to launch the TV network and the new website and everything else.”

89. Jones and Infowars purposefully sought to direct their message and spur “investigation” of the Sandy Hook families and the Newtown, Connecticut community in other ways, as well. For example, Jones took calls from listeners who called claiming to live close to Newtown, Connecticut, and encouraged them to continue their “investigations.”¹⁶

90. Jones and the rest of the Jones defendants acted together to develop, disseminate, and propagate the false statements described in this Complaint.

91. Similarly, Sklanka and Halbig acted together, and they both acted together with the Jones defendants, to develop, disseminate, and propagate many of the false statements described in this Complaint.

92. Jones and other Infowars personnel mentioned in this Complaint were at all relevant times servants, agents, apparent agents, employees, and/or joint venturers of the Jones defendants.

93. Defendant Halbig was at all relevant times a servant, agent, apparent agent, employee, and/or joint venturer of the Jones defendants.

¹² <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10209638407182257&set=a.3495510351748.2134051.1391267684&type=3&theater>.

¹³ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4_2FznkfcBU.

¹⁴ <https://www.mediamatters.org/embed/clips/2016/11/29/51284/gcn-alexjones-20150707-shooting>;
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8YV1eWq8YSc>.

¹⁵ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jCOe3qIgyFA>.

¹⁶ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TGCfi_otOCU.

94. Defendant Sklanka was at all relevant times a servant, agent, apparent agent, employee, and/or joint venturer of Halbig and the Jones defendants.

95. Defendants GENESIS COMMUNICATIONS and MIDAS RESOURCES both participated in this conspiracy and independently distributed the publications contained in this Complaint.

THE DEFENDANTS' SANDY-HOOK-BASED BUSINESS MODEL

96. The Jones defendants and their co-conspirators' conduct is based on a simple motive: greed. The defendants' business model is based on their fabrication, propagation, and amplification of conspiracy-minded falsehoods like those about Sandy Hook. It is a very lucrative business model.

97. The Jones defendants have developed and cultivated an audience through the propagation of narratives revolving around paranoia, social anxiety, and political discord, a known motivator for some people.¹⁷

98. The false claim that the Sandy Hook shooting was a government-sponsored hoax designed to lead to gun control was therefore a prime narrative for attracting, augmenting, and agitating Jones's audience.

99. Jones and his subordinates hold themselves out as trusted newsmen, assiduously assuming the paraphernalia and symbology of television and radio journalism. This is obvious to anyone watching or listening to Infowars content: the consciously deepened voice; the news-anchor's huge Lucite desk; the shuffling of papers; the clipped news-anchor's diction and regular tone modulation; the title-and-picture callouts by story; the breaking-news broadcast opening and transition graphics using Infowars logos; the regular references to Infowars "reporters" and "investigations."

100. Once he has their attention and trust, Jones exploits his audience by selling them products in line with the paranoid worldview he promotes. In his internet-based and broadcast radio shows, the Jones defendants hawk "open currency" precious metals, pre-packaged food and dietary supplements, "male enhancement" elixirs and radiation-defeating iodine tablets, gas masks and body armor, and various customized AR-15 "lower receivers" (the extruded metal frame that encloses the breach, ammunition feed and firing mechanism of the rifle).¹⁸

101. According to non-parties Bankrate LLC and Celebrity Net Worth, in mid-2017, Alex Jones himself had a personal net worth of approximately \$10,000,000. According to non-party Worth of the Web, an Internet-based clearinghouse that brokers sales among existing websites and web-based businesses, Infowars.com is worth approximately \$68,000,000.

¹⁷ See generally Richard J. Hofstadler, *The Paranoid Style in American Politics, and Other Essays* (1964).

¹⁸ <https://www.INFOWARStore.com/gear/personal-protective-gear/victory-series-2-minuteman-limited-edition-80-lower-ar-receiver.html>.

102. In May 2013, just six months after the Sandy Hook shootings, when his false narrative about those events was beginning to crescendo, an investigative reporter estimated that Alex Jones was making approximately \$10,000,000 annually, which excluded any additional revenue attributable to book and DVD sales, remunerated speaking engagements and on-line merchandise sales, promotional tie-ins and royalties.¹⁹

103. In other words, the Jones defendants concoct elaborate and false paranoia-tinged conspiracy theories because it moves product and they make money. Jones and his subordinates say what they say not because they are eager to educate or even to entertain their audience. Rather, they deliberately stoke social anxiety and political discord in their listeners, because distrust in government and cultural tribalism motivate those listeners to buy their products.

104. News reports confirm that Jones and his business entities engage in the kind of conduct described in this Complaint not because they truly believe what they are saying, but rather because it increases profits.

105. Former employees described “money-bomb fundraisers” that raised \$100,000 in a day (for a for-profit entity), and programming being chosen based on its “being sensational and making money.” “People were just so taken by the information we’d been pushing they’d do anything,” one said.²⁰

106. In fact, during the legal proceedings in which Jones sought custody of his three children, Jones’s own attorney argued that Jones’s two decades of activities were mere “performance art” and that “he’s playing a character.”²¹

THE CAMPAIGN OF ABUSE

107. Jones’s and Infowars’s campaign of abuse began only days after the plaintiffs lost their loved ones to Adam Lanza’s murderous rampage.

108. On December 19, 2012, Infowars.com published an article entitled “FATHER OF SANDY HOOK VICTIM ASKS ‘READ THE CARD?’ SECONDS BEFORE TEAR-JERKING PRESS CONFERENCE.”²²

109. That article alleges that plaintiff Robbie Parker, father of six-year-old Emilie Parker, read off a card at a press conference the day after his daughter was killed. The article asked, “Is the establishment media trying to steer the victims’ reactions?”

¹⁹ Alex Seitz-Wald, *Alex Jones: Conspiracy, Inc.*, Salon (May 2, 2013).

²⁰ Charlie Warzel, Alex Jones Will Never Stop Being Alex Jones, *Buzzfeed* (May 3, 2017), https://www.buzzfeed.com/charliewarzel/alex-jones-will-never-stop-being-alex-jones?utm_term=.vqXeXzdLEZ#.qewdgoN0m2.

²¹ Corky Siemaszko, *InfoWars’ Alex Jones Is a ‘Performance Artist,’ His Lawyer Says in Divorce Hearing*, NBCNews (Apr. 17, 2017), <https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/not-fake-news-infowars-alex-jones-performance-artist-n747491>.

²² Infowars.com, *Father of Sandy Hook Victim Asks ‘Read the Card?’ Seconds Before Tear Jerking Press Conference*, Infowars (Dec. 19, 2012), <https://www.infowars.com/father-of-sandy-hook-victim-asks-read-the-card-seconds-before-tear-jerking-press-conference/>.

110. An embedded video was entitled: “Sandy Hook Shooting Exposed As a Fraud.”

111. At the bottom of the article, a “Statement from Alex Jones” said, “It appears that members of the media or government have given him a card and are telling him what to say as they steer reaction to this event, so this needs to be looked into.”

112. On January 8, 2013, Infowars.com published an article entitled: “COLLEGE PROFESSOR SAYS ‘CRISIS ACTORS’ MAY HAVE PLAYED PART IF SANDY HOOK WAS INDEED A HOAX.”²³

113. The article quoted James Tracy, a former Professor at Florida Atlantic University who has since been fired: “After such a harrowing event why are select would-be family members and students lingering in the area and repeatedly offering themselves for interviews? . . . A possible reason is that they are trained actors working under the direction of state and federal authorities and in coordination with cable and broadcast network talent to provide tailor-made crisis acting that realistically drives home the event’s tragic features.”

114. In the narratives and parlance of mass-shooting conspiracy theorists, “crisis actors” are actors employed by government agencies or other powerful actors to stage shootings, in which they play victims, witnesses, and bystanders.

115. The article is accompanied by an embedded video with the title “Sandy Hook Mass Media Psyop: Outtakes and Bloopers.”

116. A reasonable person would understand these statements, including the title of the embedded video, to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

January 27, 2013

117. On January 27, 2013, the Alex Jones Channel posted a video now advertised on YouTube under the title: “Why People Think Sandy Hook is A Hoax.”²⁴ Just over a month had passed since the shooting at Sandy Hook.

118. Jones appeared in that video. During the video, he stated, “Now again, in the last month and a half, I have not come out and clearly said that this was a staged event. Unfortunately, evidence is beginning to come out that points more and more in that direction, and we’re going to show you that evidence in the moment. Now a lot of the tens of millions of video views on YouTube concerning the Sandy Hook hoax surround CNN, and what appears to be people who’ve been coached, people who have been given cue cards, people who are behaving like actors.”

²³ Infowars.com, *College Professor says ‘Crisis Actors’ May Have Played Part if Sandy Hook was Indeed a Hoax*, Infowars (Jan. 8, 2013), <https://www.infowars.com/college-professor-says-crisis-actors-may-have-played-part-if-sandy-hook-was-indeed-a-hoax/>.

²⁴ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tM5ZdO-IgEE>.

119. Jones later stated, “I clearly believe from the evidence, children were really killed at Sandy Hook, and it’s a real tragedy. But the fact that there having people script things and that answers are being scripted, is incontrovertible.”

120. Later in the video, Jones stated, “One of the big issues out there that has people asking questions is Robbie Parker, who reportedly lost one of his daughters. And people see the photos out there where it looks like Obama’s meeting with all three of his children, and things like that. And, when you watch the footage, I know grieving parents do strange things, but it looks like he’s saying, ‘Okay, do I read off the card,’ he’s laughing, and then he goes over, and starts, um, basically breaking down and crying.”

121. Jones then played a video of plaintiff Robert Parker making a statement the day after the shooting. Parker lost his daughter, Emilie, in the Sandy Hook shooting.

122. Under the video was a chyron with the words “Odd Parent Reaction from SandyHook [sic].”

123. As the video of Parker played, Jones commented over it. “I haven’t touched this,” he said. “All I know is they’re seizing on it. They staged fast and furious . . . that killed thousands, our government, to blame the Second Amendment, they’d stage anything.”

124. Later in the broadcast, Jones said, “This needs to be investigated. They’re clearly using this to go after our guns . . . Something though, really, is starting to get suspicious here . . . But the fact that this whole thing could be staged, it’s just mindblowing. Tell us what you think. Great job to all the people out there with the crowdsourcing, that are resourcing all these clips.”

125. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

126. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

May 27, 2013

127. On May 27, 2013, Dr. Steve Pieczenik appeared on the Alex Jones Radio Show in an episode advertised on YouTube under the title “Sandy Hook was A Total False Flag!”²⁵

128. During that appearance, Pieczenik stated, “Sandy Hook was a total false flag. There was no individual involved; there was no Asperger’s; there was no 24 kids who were killed.”

129. In the parlance and narratives of mass-shooting conspiracy theorists, “false flag” is the idea that a shooting or other attack was staged by the government or other powerful forces.

²⁵ The Alex Jones Channel, *Dr. Steve Pieczenik: Sandy Hook was A Total False Flag!*, YouTube (Mar. 27, 2013), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5EfyD7Wu5fQ&t=18s>.

130. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

131. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones's radio affiliates.

132. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

March 14, 2014

133. On March 14, 2014, The Alex Jones Radio show broadcast an episode advertised on YouTube under the title "Sandy Hook, False Narratives Vs. The Reality."²⁶ During that episode Jones hosted Wolfgang Halbig.

134. Jones stated, "We've got people clearly coming up and laughing and then doing the fake crying. We've clearly got people where it's actors playing different parts of different people."

135. He continued, "I've looked at it and undoubtedly there's a cover up, there's actors, they're manipulating, they've been caught lying, and they were pre-planning before it and rolled out with it."

136. Jones stated, "The biggest piece of evidence is that [former New York Mayor Michael] Bloomberg had his social network folks ready two days before."

137. After claiming that he and Infowars had proven that the Boston Marathon bombing was a hoax, Jones later stated, "These people got to be stopped. If they'll stage the Boston bombing and Sandy Hook, they will do anything. The good news is that most people I've seen don't believe Sandy Hook. I think it blew up in their face."

138. Later, in conversation with Halbig, Jones stated, "Obviously I agree with you, something's going on, they're covering up, it's all staged."

139. Later in the conversation, referring to the Sandy Hook shooting, Halbig stated "I think it was a major drill, portrayed as one of the best illusions ever."

140. Jones stated, "We want to send—maybe even I'll go—we want to send reporters up there, Wolfgang, with you, and I understand how dangerous this is so maybe my reporters don't want to go, they probably will want to go, but maybe it's a mission I should go on, there's just so much to run here."

141. The audience for these broadcasts has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

²⁶ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=esIvAO2aIlw>; <https://www.mediamatters.org/embed/clips/2016/11/29/51283/gcn-alexjones-20140314-shooting>.

May 13, 2014

142. On May 13, 2014, The Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast an episode advertised on YouTube under the title “Bombshell: Sandy Hook Massacre Was A DHS Illusion Says School Safety Expert.”²⁷

143. That day, Jones hosted Wolfgang Halbig.

144. During this video, Halbig stated: “I think the reason they’re not answering those questions ‘cause I think it’s going to expose their whole scam.”

145. Jones asked Halbig, “What are the big smoking guns . . . what are the big red flags?”

146. Halbig responded, “The red flags is that you’re looking at \$29 million . . . and there are 39 other community nonprofit organizations within Newtown that received a lot of funds.”

147. Jones interjected: “You’re saying a motive for the locals to go along with the fraud is money.”

148. Later, Jones prompted Halbig, “What about the kids going in circles, back and forth, the same people, into the school for the helicopters; it looked like a fake drill . . . just go through those points.”

149. Jones summarized, stating, “The cover up is the prima facie proof of the larger crime, and that we’re being lied to.”

150. He continued, “The whole thing, you’ve got ‘em jumping the gun . . . that Bloomberg was saying get ready the day before, get ready to fundraise on mass shootings . . . had a false start, didn’t you, Bloomy.”

151. Jones was asserting that former Mayor of New York City Michael Bloomberg knew about the shooting beforehand. The clear implication of this statement is that the shooting was “staged” and a hoax.

152. During that show, Jones stated, in reference to the Sandy Hook shooting, “Kids going in circles, totally staged . . . I mean clearly, it’s a drill, just like the Boston bombing.”

153. Halbig understood Jones. He responded, “Children did not die, teachers did not die, on December 14, 2012.” As he spoke, video of Robbie Parker at the December 15, 2012 press conference played.

²⁷ Alex Jones Channel, *Bombshell: Sandy Hook Massacre Was A DHS Illusion Says School Safety Expert*, YouTube (May 13, 2014), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x2a1FwYEZS4>.

154. Halbig further stated, “Alex, we’ve never had a time in our history, where Sandy Hook, a school massacre, the biggest illusion ever portrayed by Homeland Security and FEMA . . .”

155. During Jones’s and Halbig’s discussion stating that the Sandy Hook shooting was faked, video of plaintiff Robert Parker’s press conference played above the chyron “Odd Parent Reaction from SandyHook [sic],” pausing it and playing it in slow motion during parts where it appears Parker is smiling.

156. During that show, Jones stated, in reference to the Sandy Hook shooting, “I mean it’s fake! Blue screens, it’s fake! . . . You got parents laughing [mocking laughing], ‘watch this,’ and then [mocking crying] method acting, [mocking crying and wailing] ‘Oh, my child!’ I mean, it’s just ridiculous! You’ve got coroners that start laughing—and I don’t mean uncomfortably, I mean like laughing—with the State Police when they’re giving press conferences. I mean, it just is the fakest thing since the three-dollar bill!”

157. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

158. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

159. These statements were also contained in another video posted to YouTube, entitled “Sandy Hook Red Flags Ignored by Newtown School Board.”²⁸

160. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

September 25, 2014

161. On September 25, 2014, the Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast an episode advertised on YouTube under the title “FBI Says Nobody Killed at Sandy Hook Massacre.”²⁹

162. This assertion was a complete fabrication: the FBI had made no such statement.

163. During the September 25, 2014 broadcast of the Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones and Halbig asserted that FBI crime statistics showed that no one was killed at Sandy Hook.

164. This was a false statement. FBI crime statistics showed no such thing.

²⁸ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YiXpr30oUkA>.

²⁹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N1RlzXvGy2s>. This video has since been removed “for violating YouTube’s policy on harassment and bullying,” but is now available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eqVqmFy4KW0>.

165. Jones stated that video from the day of the shooting showed that the same children were cycled in and out of the school and that no emergency helicopters were sent to the school, and were “clearly staged.”

166. Jones stated that two supposed former high-level national-defense officials had declared that Sandy Hook and the Boston bombing were faked.

167. Halbig asserted that “there were no trauma helicopters” sent to Newtown, that the other 600 children at the school could not be found, and that Sandy Hook Elementary School was “a toxic waste dump . . . the filthiest, most deplorable school . . . that I have ever seen.”

168. Jones asserted that the school was “a cut-out” used as a stage for the event.

169. Jones and Halbig both stated “I wish it was real instead of fake.”

170. Halbig stated that Connecticut state troopers “used they [sic] script,” that “it’s nothing but corruption in Connecticut,” and that 16 Connecticut state troopers lied under oath.

171. Halbig accused a company called Obsidian, located in Washington, D.C., of scripting well-known crises around the world, including Sandy Hook.

172. Halbig stated that “nobody died” at Sandy Hook. He stated that “they never allowed the paramedics or EMTs ever inside the school.”

173. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

September 26, 2014

174. On September 26, 2014, Infowars.com published an article by Adan Salazar entitled “SANDY HOOK INVESTIGATOR: CONNECTICUT PD HAD FBI FALSIFY CRIME STATISTICS.”

175. The article repeatedly quoted Wolfgang Halbig, who alleged that he had “discovered various anomalies which have led him to believe the entire incident was a contrived event.”

176. The article contained the following quote: “The American people need to wake up and listen to what these exercises are,” says Halbig. “A Homeland Security FEMA Capstone Exercise, it starts at the White House, at the president’s desk, goes all the way through Congress, through the Attorney General, down through the FBI, all the way down to the local government. It is a whole community event, and that’s what I think happened at Sandy Hook.”

177. The article quoted Halbig as saying that there was “a ‘script’ followed during the event.”

178. It quoted him again, saying, “I’m telling you nobody died [at Sandy Hook] . . . I’ve been a school administrator for 30 some odd years. When I have a child with a broken arm, or I’ve got a fight on the school bus, or a child’s been stabbed or shot, the first thing we get ready for are lawsuits. Alex, if there would have been just 2 or 3 lawsuits filed by the parents or loved ones who lost someone that day at Sandy Hook, I’d go away.”

179. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

180. On information and belief, the article has been viewed by hundreds of thousands of persons.

December 12, 2014

181. On December 12, 2014, Infowars Nightly News broadcast an episode now advertised on YouTube under the title “The Ultimate Sandy Hook Debate As The 2nd Anniversary Looms.”³⁰

182. The episode consisted of a debate between Keith Johnson (a self-described conspiracy theorist with americanfreepress.net) and Halbig, about Sandy Hook, moderated by an Infowars personality named Rob Dew.

183. During that broadcast, Halbig asserted that Sandy Hook Elementary School had been used as a toxic waste dump before December 14, 2012, and therefore no shooting took place there.

184. Halbig stated, “On behalf of Dawn Hochsprung, who supposedly was the principal and was shot [in the massacre] . . . I can tell you this with certainty: Dawn Hochsprung would never, ever, in her life, have allowed her school . . . to look so filthy and so deplorable . . . she would have never . . . allowed her school to become a toxic waste dump exposing every one of her children and school staff members to serious lifelong health risks . . . The doors are rotten, they’re filthy . . . there’s mildew.”

185. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

186. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

December 28, 2014

187. On December 28, 2014, during The Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones took a call from Kevin, a listener who called in claiming to live close to Newtown, Connecticut.³¹

³⁰ The Alex Jones Channel, *The Ultimate Sandy Hook Debate As The 2nd Anniversary Looms*, YouTube (Dec. 12, 2014), <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6aK0P-WxjU8>.

³¹ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TGCFi_ot0CU.

188. Kevin said, “I’m calling about Sandy Hook. My take on it is . . . The whole thing is pretty much the next step in reality TV, because with other false flags, like 9/11 or Oklahoma City, or the Boston bombing, at least something happened. With Sandy Hook, there’s no there there. You’ve got a bunch of people walking around a parking lot, pretty much what it comes down to.”

189. Jones interrupted Kevin. “No, no, I’ve had the investigators on, the state police have gone public, you name it,” he said. “The whole thing is a giant hoax. And the problem is, how do you deal with a total hoax? How do you even convince the public something’s a total hoax?”

190. Kevin responded, “I always tell people the same thing: go out and prove the official story. And . . . I know the millisecond this happened, with that now-fake picture of the kids being led out of the school, that there’s nothing that’s going to sell this agenda like dead elementary school kids.”

191. Jones interrupted Kevin again. “The general public doesn’t know the school was actually closed the year before,” he said. “They don’t know they’ve sealed it all, demolished the building. They don’t know that they had the kids going in circles in and out of the building as a photo-op. Blue screen, green screens, they got caught using.”

192. Jones continued, “People just instinctively know that there’s a lot of fraud going on. But it took me about a year with Sandy Hook to come to grips with the fact that the whole thing was fake. I mean, even I couldn’t believe it. I knew they jumped on it, used the crisis, hyped it up. But then I did deep research—and my gosh, it just pretty much didn’t happen.”

193. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

194. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

195. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

January 2, 2015

196. On January 2, 2015, Infowars.com published an article by Adan Salazar entitled “MYSTERY: SANDY HOOK VICTIM DIES (AGAIN) IN PAKISTAN.”

197. The article alleged that the “face . . . one of the children supposedly killed in the December 2012 Sandy Hook shooting in Newtown, Connecticut” had “without explanation . . . appeared in multiple photos and reports” related to an attack conducted by the Pakistani Taliban on a Pakistan Army school on December 16, 2015.

198. “Can the photo’s misuse simply be brushed off as another bumbling Google image search mistake?” the article stated. “Or could it be willful subterfuge aimed at poking fun at those who question the validity of the Sandy Hook event?”

199. The pictures used were images of Noah Pozner.

200. Upon information and belief, hundreds of thousands of people have viewed this article.

January 13, 2015

201. On January 13, 2015, during a broadcast of The Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones said, “Yeah, so, Sandy Hook is a synthetic completely fake with actors, in my view, manufactured. I couldn’t believe it at first. I knew they had actors there, clearly, but I thought they killed some real kids. And it just shows how bold they are, that they clearly used actors. I mean they even ended up using photos of kids killed in mass shootings here in a fake mass shooting in Turkey—so yeah, or Pakistan. The sky is now the limit. I appreciate your call.”³²

202. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

203. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

204. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

February 12, 2015

205. On February 12, 2015, during the broadcast of The Alex Jones Radio Show, Jones, David Knight, and Rob Dew discussed the December 12, 2014 “debate” broadcast by Jones and Infowars and discussed in paragraphs 181 through 186 of this Complaint.³³

206. Jones, Knight, and Dew recounted several purported arguments that the Sandy Hook shooting was faked, including that rescue helicopters weren’t called, the investigation was “classified,” “ambulances weren’t even at the school” and were “stuck at the firehouse,” and that former New York Mayor Michael Bloomberg “alert[ed] his people the day before to get ready for a big push.”

207. Rob Dew stated “it looks like something went on there.”

208. Jones interrupted him saying, “A drill.”

³² <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ap-DvMoiMOY>.

³³ https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_QKCAo54hPk.

209. Dew continued, “It stinks to high heaven. You got the actor father who comes out [and] gets into character. I was a theater major. I worked with actors. I used to watch them get ready before they would go out and cry like that.”

210. Jones interjected, “You have a degree in theater!”

211. Dew continued, “I was in the play *Our Town*. I’m sitting there watching these other people about to go out and do the funeral scene and they’re pumping themselves up, doing exactly what [Robbie] did [gasping, labored breathing].”

212. Jones interjected, “First he’s laughing and smiling, and then . . .”

213. Dew continued, “And then he’s totally sad, crying, and he was cracking jokes right before. That’s an actor. That’s an actor. I’m sorry [shrugs shoulders demonstratively].”

214. Then, a zoomed-in video of Robbie Parker at the press conference was played as Jones and Dew commented on it.

215. “I mean there it is,” Dew said. “He’s smiling.”

216. Jones said, “Then he huffs and puffs and gets into . . .”

217. Dew said, “That is a tell-tale sign of somebody acting right there. Now I don’t know for a fact that this guy’s an actor. That looks like acting all the way.”

218. Later, they discussed the HONR Network, a group associated with Leonard Pozner dedicated to opposing Sandy Hook hoaxing. Jones stated, “Well we’ll just start investigating that. And I guess I’m gonna have to probably go up to Newtown. I’m gonna probably go investigate Florida as well.”

March 4, 2015

219. On March 4, 2015, The Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast an episode now advertised on YouTube under the title “New Bombshell Sandy Hook Information In-Bound.”³⁴

220. Jones accepted a caller, “Eric from Connecticut,” with whom he discussed the Sandy Hook shooting. Eric stated, “One more thing, with my own personal investigation with this, is you find out who Robbie Parker works for, and you really start going down the rabbit hole.” They discussed about how Parker was purportedly a “solicitor for the chips [sic] program,” a database where “you register your kids,” to which Jones responded, “The DNA, the Masons pushed that.”

221. As they spoke, a mirrored computer screen played a muted YouTube video (from user “Russianvids”) depicting Robbie Parker speaking at the December 15, 2012 press conference.

³⁴ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7ib5WkULBY>.

222. The title of the Youtube video was depicted, as well: “Sandy Hook Shooting Hoax Fraud Robbie Parker Actor Exposed Smiling Laughing then Fake Crying [sic].”

223. As the video played, a mouse cursor selected the words “Robbie Parker” in the title of the video, highlighting them to the viewer. Throughout the episode, Jones could be seen manipulating an Apple-brand cordless mouse. On information and belief, Jones was the person who highlighted the words “Robbie Parker.”

224. Jones then hosted Halbig on the episode.

225. During the broadcast, Jones asked Halbig to explain why Sandy Hook was “completely phony.”

226. Halbig stated the school was not in operation at the time of the shooting.

227. Jones stated that the school was suddenly reopened, received a “falling report” [sic], and did not look like a real school.

228. Halbig stated that it was the “most filthiest [sic] most deplorable school” and “loaded with lead paint . . . asbestos . . . PCP.”

229. Halbig stated that trauma helicopters were not called and that paramedics were not allowed to enter the school.

230. Jones stated, “I’m saying it was a drill, a giant piece of theater, did they really kill some kids? I don’t know.”

231. Referring to video of parents of children killed in the shooting, Jones stated that “they . . . bring in actors to break down and cry. . . used the same actors as different people.”

232. Both Jones and Halbig stated that Connecticut police ate lunch inside the school the day of the shooting.

233. Halbig encouraged listeners to contact the Newtown school board and ask them his questions.

234. A reasonable person would understand Jones’s and Halbig’s statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

235. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

236. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

May 28, 2015

237. On May 28, 2015, Infowars Nightly News broadcast an episode now advertised on YouTube under the title: “Sandy Hook: The Lies Keep Growing.”³⁵

238. David Knight hosted Halbig on Infowars Nightly News.

239. Halbig accused Newtown police chief Mike Kehoe of committing perjury.

240. Halbig once again appeared, and stated that the school was unsanitary and unsafe.

241. Halbig’s website was repeatedly advertised and listeners were encouraged to support him financially.

242. A reasonable person would understand Halbig’s statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

243. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

244. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

May 29, 2015

245. On May 29, 2015, The Alex Jones Channel published an Infowars video, now posted on YouTube with the title “School Administrator Exposes Sandy Hook Stonewall.”³⁶

246. The video was introduced by David Knight. The video then cut to Dan Bidondi, an Infowars reporter, who was reporting from Wolfgang’s Freedom of Information Act hearing in Hartford, Connecticut.

247. The video cut back and forth between excerpts from the hearing and interviews of Halbig by Bidondi in the hallway outside the hearing room.

248. Infowars reporter Dan Bidondi traveled to Hartford, CT to report on this hearing on behalf of Infowars and Alex Jones.

249. On information and belief, other Infowars agents, including the person doing the video recording, traveled to and were located in Hartford, Connecticut, for the creation of this video.

³⁵ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MI3KVj2nVRA>.

³⁶ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SO8Xb-t4nT4>.

250. Later on May 29, 2015, the Alex Jones Channel published another Infowars video on YouTube, entitled “New Sandy Hook Questions Arise from FOIA Hearing.”³⁷ The video featured David Knight interviewing Wolfgang Halbig by streaming video.

251. During the interview, Halbig stated that Sandy Hook Elementary School was “a toxic waste dump . . . and yet, we have parents who would allow their children to attend that type of a school? I don’t believe so. And if they did, those parents are negligent for putting their children at risk.”

252. Halbig was clearly suggesting that the school was empty on the day of the shooting, and therefore that the shooting did not happen—and that the Sandy Hook parents are lying about their children’s deaths.

253. On information and belief, these statements were heard by hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

July 7, 2015

254. On July 7, 2015, The Alex Jones Radio Show broadcast a video now posted on YouTube with the title “Retired FBI Agent Investigates Sandy Hook: MEGA MASSIVE COVER UP.”³⁸

255. Jones noted, referring to the May 29, 2015 video, “I knew that we’d sent a reporter Dan Bidondi there for days, to cover the city council hearings about it, the fact that they’re sealing everything.”³⁹

256. Jones rehearsed a number of his favorite arguments that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged. He stated, “It looked like a carnival. It looked like a big PR stunt. It came out that Bloomberg, a day before, sent an email out to his gun control groups, in all 50 states, saying, ‘prepare to roll, major operation coming up.’ . . . We have videos, that look just incredibly suspicious, people are laughing and everything, and then they start huffing and puffing and start crying on TV, which is pure acting method, you’ve got a degree, Rob, in theater. . . . This is something that even laypeople notice.”

257. This statement is clearly referring to Robbie Parker, and is most reasonably interpreted to be stating that Robbie was an actor and faked his daughter’s death.

258. “[T]he more we look at Sandy Hook,” Jones said, “I don’t want to believe it’s a false flag. I don’t know if kids really got killed, but you’ve got green screen with Anderson Cooper . . . and then his nose disappears. It’s fake! The whole thing, it’s—I don’t know what happened.”

³⁷ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5cll79t7Mrw>.

³⁸ <https://www.mediamatters.org/embed/clips/2016/11/29/51284/gcn-alexjones-20150707-shooting>;
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8YV1eWq8YSc>.

³⁹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jCOe3qIgyFA>.

259. He continued, “It’s kind of, you see a hologram at Disney World in the haunted house, I don’t know how they do it, it’s not real. When you take your kids to the haunted house and there are ghosts flying around, it’s not real, it’s staged . . . I don’t know what the trick is here, I got a good suspicion. But when you’ve got Wolfgang Halbig . . . he went and investigated, no paperwork, no nothing, it’s bull.”

260. Later, Jones stated, “But what about how for a mass shooting in Pakistan, they got photos of Sandy Hook kids,” and referring to an Infowars article, stated, “it’s like the same P.R. company is running this . . . and then they try to hit us with fake copyright deals whenever we show this.”

261. Jones put the article on the screen and read the headline: “Mystery: Sandy Hook Victim Dies (Again) in Pakistan.”

262. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

263. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

264. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

265. On that same day, The Alex Jones Show broadcast a longer video entitled “Full Show – Government is Manufacturing Crises.”⁴⁰ It contained the same statements as the video entitled “Retired FBI Agent Investigates Sandy Hook: MEGA MASSIVE COVER UP.”

266. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

November 17, 2016

267. On November 17, 2016, the Alex Jones Show broadcast an episode in which Alex Jones claimed that he had never claimed that Sandy Hook was a hoax. Almost immediately thereafter, he rehearsed a number of his common arguments that Sandy Hook was a hoax.

268. These included that “Anderson Cooper is using a green screen, his nose disappears”; “they have kids going in circles back into the buildings”; “the building was closed years before”; “it was filthy”; “no emergency helicopters were launched”; and “they’re sealing the death certificates and everything.”

269. Jones continued, “we’ve sent reporters up there, man, and that place is like *Children of the Corn* or something. I mean it is freaking weird.”

⁴⁰ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O34Oeg6GUiK>.

270. Jones further referenced “weird videos of reported parents of kids laughing and then all of a sudden they do the hyperventilating to cry to go on TV,” suggesting that parents of children killed at Sandy Hook were acting.⁴¹ Specifically, it seems Jones was referring to plaintiff Robert Parker’s statements during a press conference of December 15, 2012, which Jones has frequently described as “classic acting.”

271. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

272. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

273. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

November 18, 2016

274. On November 18, 2016, Jones broadcast a video now advertised on YouTube under the title, “Alex Jones Final Statement on Sandy Hook.”⁴²

275. During that video, Jones stated, “I want to reach out to my listeners as well and just clarify where I stand on the reported tragedy at Sandy Hook that took place at that elementary school.”

276. He continued: “For the last three or four years, it’s been mainstream media’s number-one attack against me to say that I said there was never anyone that actually died there. I’ve hosted debates against both sides, and I’ve been criticized by both sides—people that say that no one died there and people who say that the official story is exactly as we’ve been told. And I’ve always said that I’m not sure about what really happened, that there’s a lot of anomalies and there has been a cover-up of whatever did happen there.”

277. He stated: “There’s a few clips Hillary used in her campaign of me out of context saying I can see how people that look at all this evidence say no kids died there and this whole thing is a giant hoax, but at the same time there is some evidence that people died there. They take that out of context and misrepresent it. That’s why they’re the deceptive corporate media. But for those who do have an attention span for, say, 10 minutes or so, I will present to you the questions. And I’m going to be quite frank, I don’t know what really happened. I know there are real mass shootings. I know people lose children. I’m a father. It hurts my heart. So I don’t know what the truth is. All I know is the official story of Sandy Hook has more holes in it than Swiss cheese.”

278. Jones continued, rehearsing several of his most commonly employed arguments that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged. Referencing Veronique de la Rosa’s interview with Anderson Cooper, he stated, “That shows some kind of cover-up happening. And then I saw

⁴¹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KxwnPqwxeag>; <https://www.mediamatters.org/blog/2016/11/17/trump-ally-alex-jones-doubles-down-sandy-hook-conspiracy-theories/214524>.

⁴² <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MwudDfz1yAk>.

Anderson Cooper—I’ve been in TV for twenty-something years, I know a blue-screen or a green-screen—turn and his nose disappeared. Then I saw clearly that they were using footage on the green screen looped, because it would show flowers and other things during other broadcasts that were moving, and then basically cutting to the same piece of footage.”

279. Then he stated: “Then we see footage of one of the reported fathers of the victims, Robbie Parker, doing classic acting training, where he’s laughing and joking and then they go hey ‘we’re live’ and he goes ‘Oh,’ and [mocking, exaggerated moaning].” Jones was referring to plaintiff Robert Parker’s statements during a press conference of December 15, 2012, which he has frequently described as “classic acting.” Jones then played the video of Parker at the press conference.

280. Jones stated, “This is a tragedy. I wish it never would have happened. But quite frankly, I wish that the official story was true because that’s a lot less scary than them staging something like this. But when you think about how they staged [weapons of mass destruction] to kill over a million Iraqis, when you think about all the other hoaxes, all the other lies, all the other rigging, and the way they’re freaking out about it and trying to cover up every level of it, it just makes me ask what really happened there?”

281. In closing, Jones stated: “So, if children were lost at Sandy Hook, my heart goes out to each and every one of those parents. And the people who say they’re parents that I see on the news. The only problem is, I’ve watched a lot of soap operas. And I’ve seen actors before. And I know when I’m watching a movie and when I’m watching something real. Let’s look into Sandy Hook.”

282. The clear implication of these statements is that the accepted account of Sandy Hook—that the plaintiffs lost their loved ones in the shooting—is false, and that the plaintiffs have fabricated their loved ones’ deaths.

283. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones’s radio affiliates.

284. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

November 28, 2016

285. On November 11, 2016, plaintiff Erica Lafferty published an op-ed in USA Today asking President-Elect Trump to disavow Alex Jones and other people who falsely assert that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax.⁴³

⁴³ Erica Lafferty, *Mr. Trump, denounce Alex Jones : Sandy Hook Principal’s Daughter*, USA Today (Nov. 25, 2016), <https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2016/11/25/donald-trump-sandy-hook-alex-jones-column/94335420/>.

286. In response to the open letter, Infowars broadcasted a five-minute rant by Owen Shroyer, an Infowars “reporter,” defending Jones and attacking Lafferty.⁴⁴

287. Shroyer directly addressed Lafferty, stating, “Your logic [about gun control] failed your mother.”

288. Shroyer directly addressed Lafferty, accusing her of saying, “without any proof,” that Alex Jones had said that Sandy Hook was a hoax.

289. Shroyer stated, “[Jones is] not the one who’s denying Sandy Hook ever happened.”

290. These statements were obviously untrue: Alex Jones has denied many times that Sandy Hook ever happened.

291. Shroyer stated falsely that Lafferty had asserted that then-President-Elect Trump needed to face the death of a loved one.

292. This statement falsely described Lafferty’s appeal to sympathy as if it were a threat on the family of the President-Elect of the United States.

293. Shroyer repeatedly cited Halbig, saying that he has “done the best reporting” on the Sandy Hook shooting.

294. He stated to Lafferty, “Why are you butting heads with people [Jones and Halbig] that want to find out the truth of what happened to your mother?”

295. The truth about Lafferty’s mother, Dawn Hochsprung, is that she is dead.

296. Dawn Hochsprung is dead because, on the morning of December 14, 2012, she sacrificed her life trying to save her students from Adam Lanza’s murderous rampage at Sandy Hook Elementary School.

March 8, 2017

297. On the March 8, 2017 edition of The Alex Jones Show, Jones hosted Eddie Bravo.

298. During the interview, Bravo stated, “Dr. Steve Pieczenik, and you got some heat for this, this is kind of changing the subject a little bit. Dr. Steve Pieczenik, on your show, said that no kids died at Sandy Hook, that it was a homeland security drill that they passed off as a real—”

299. Jones stated, “He says that. And I’ve been hit really hard with it. I can’t prove it one way or the other. I know Anderson Cooper is standing up there and turns and his whole nose disappears. I work in TV, I know what a blue screen is, bro.”

⁴⁴ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9PdrlrSCLu0>.

300. A reasonable person would understand Jones and Bravo to have been stating that the Sandy Hook massacre was faked, and that the plaintiffs participated in a fraud that was based on lying about the deaths of their loved ones.

301. On information and belief, this episode was also broadcast through Jones's radio affiliates.

302. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

April 22, 2017

303. On April 22, 2017, the Alex Jones Show broadcast an episode, also released on YouTube, entitled "Sandy Hook Vampires Exposed."⁴⁵

304. During that episode, Jones showed video footage of an interview between one of the Sandy Hook parents and Anderson Cooper. Over this footage, Mr. Jones stated: "And then we've got Anderson Cooper, famously, not just with the flowers blowing and a fake, but when he turns, his nose disappears repeatedly because the green-screen isn't set right. And they don't like to do live feeds because somebody might run up. CNN did that in the Gulf War and admitted it. They just got caught two weeks ago doing it in supposedly Syria. And all we're saying is, if these are known liars that lied about WMDs, and lied to get us in all these wars, and backed the Arab Spring, and Libya, and Syria, and Egypt, and everywhere else to overthrow governments, and put in radical Islamicists [sic], if they do that and have blood on their hands, and lied about the Iraq War, and were for the sanctions that killed half a million kids, and let the Islamicists [sic] attack Serbia, and lied about Serbia launching the attack, when it all came out later that Serbia didn't do it, how could you believe any of it if you have a memory? If you're not Dory from 'Finding Dory,' you know, the Disney movie. Thank god you're so stupid, thank god you have no memory. It all goes back to that."

305. Jones told his audience that they should not "believe any of it."

306. As discussed throughout this complaint, the "faked" Anderson Cooper interview is one of Jones's favorite arguments that the entire Sandy Hook massacre was fabricated and that the plaintiffs were actors who faked their loved ones' death.

307. During the April 22, 2017 broadcast, Jones and an Infowars producer made other statements rehearsing familiar themes from the defendants' campaign of lies and abuse.

308. In conversation with the producer, Jones stated: "And that's on helicopter footage, and then they say it never existed, and later admit it does, and the school was closed until that year, in the videos it's all rotting and falling apart and nobody is even in it, and the kids are going in circles, in and out of the building with their hands up, and they never called rescue choppers. I mean, exactly."

⁴⁵ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rUn1jKhWTXI>.

309. The Infowars producer responded: “There’s some supposed dash footage where the people are smiling and getting their lunches ready, police officers. You think you’re going to have smiling police officers at a time when they’re supposedly bringing out twenty dead kids? And they’re smiling and getting their lunches ready.”

310. Mr. Jones responded: “And they had Port-A-Potties being delivered an hour after it happened, for the big media event.”

311. The Infowars producer responded: “We’ve never seen, there was never been any even blurred photos of any bodies or anything . . . We didn’t even get blurred images with the dead kids in Syria. We got crisp photos.”

312. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones. A reasonable person would also understand the defendants to be stating that the plaintiffs were “Sandy Hook Vampires.”

April 28, 2017

313. On April 28, 2017, Jones held a press conference in which he was asked if he believes that Sandy Hook was a “false flag.” Jones stated: “I think we should investigate everything because the government has staged so much stuff, and then they lie and say that I said the whole thing was totally fake when I was playing devil’s advocate in a debate. I said maybe the whole thing is real, maybe the whole thing is fake. They were using blue screens out there . . . Yes, governments stage things.”⁴⁶

314. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

June 13, 2017

315. On June 13, 2017, Jones posted a video to the InfoWars Facebook page in which he once again rehearsed his lie about the “faked” CNN interview. Jones stated: “But there’s been a cover-up. Anderson Cooper got caught, faking where his location was with blue-screen. I mean, it’s all there.”⁴⁷

316. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

⁴⁶ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=StOyqyt0fkY>.

⁴⁷ <https://www.facebook.com/80256732576/videos/10155465593882577/>.

The Megyn Kelly Interview

The Preview

317. On June 11, 2017, television news personality Megyn Kelly interviewed Jones for her weekly news magazine on NBC News.

318. NBC released a preview of the interview on the internet on the following day.

319. In footage contained in the preview, Jones stated, “Well, Sandy Hook’s complex because I have had debates where, we devil’s advocates have said the whole story is true, and then I have had debates where I have said that none of it is true.”

320. Later, Kelly stated, “When you say parents faked their children’s deaths, people get very angry.”

321. In response, Jones asserted that people do not get angry about the deaths that resulted from the sanctions against Iraq or other tragedies in the world, and complained about the media’s coverage of such events. He did not deny claiming that the plaintiff parents faked their children’s deaths.

322. Kelly stated, “That doesn’t excuse what you did and said about Newtown, and you know it.”

323. Jones stated, “Here’s the difference. I looked at all the angles of Newtown, and I made my statements long before the media even picked up on it.”

324. The most reasonable interpretation of this statement is that Jones was saying that his account—in which he repeatedly stated that the shooting was staged, that parents were actors, and that no children were killed—was more reliable than the established media account, and true.

325. These statements were heard by millions of people.

June 15, 2017 – Infowars

326. During a video released on Infowars on June 15, 2017, Jones stated the following about his interview with Megyn Kelly: “She said things like, ‘Oh, you talked about Newtown not happening and hurt people’s feelings,’ and I explained, ‘No I looked at all different angles, [unintelligible] could have happened, but they staged the WMDs in Iraq and they staged the babies in the incubators, so they’ve lied about babies before . . . I said, they’ve staged babies in incubators in Bush I, and then they did it again . . . so it could’ve been staged, because they stage things.’”

327. Especially knowing the defendants’ previous statements, a reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

328. The audience for this broadcast has included hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

Alex Jones's June 18, 2017 Pre-NBC-Broadcast Programming

329. The final version of Jones's interview with Megyn Kelly aired on NBC News on June 18, 2017.

330. Before the interview aired that day, Jones published several pre-show videos on Infowars.

331. During those videos, he made repeated statements suggesting that the Sandy Hook shooting did not happen and/or that the plaintiffs' loved ones did not die there.

- A. In one, he said, "But I'm looking at it I think Newtown did happen. But I'm not the creator of people questioning Newtown and Sandy Hook. My guests covered it, I've covered it."
- B. Later, he said, "My intel is that I've seen the parents and it looked real to me. If I can't prove something one hundred percent I'm not going to go there. We know governments have staged things and you have a right to question it."
- C. He continued, "But you have the total right to question Sandy Hook. You know, I'm tempted to make a documentary about it, just for my own hellish experience. It's like the babies in the incubators . . . none of it was true."
- D. He added, "No, I've been saying since it happened that I don't know whether the official story's true or not. And now you can't prove it one way or the other there's some anomalies. But the parents look pretty legitimate to me."
- E. While interspersed with vacillations, Jones's statements convey a clear message: that the accepted account of the Sandy Hook shooting—that the plaintiffs lost their loved ones there—is untrue, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones. Of special note was his abnormally stilted and "official" tone, which a reasonable person knowing Jones's show would interpret as a "wink" at his audience.

332. Later that day, Jones hosted Dr. Steve Pieczenik as a guest on his program.

333. As discussed in paragraphs 127 through 132 of this complaint, Pieczenik had stated in a previous appearance on Jones's show that no children died at Sandy Hook and that the parents were actors.

334. In introducing Pieczenik on this occasion, Jones stated, "He's a smart guy, he doesn't buy into what happened, reportedly there in Newtown I personally can't prove it one way

or the other so I'm just going to say that my heart goes out to the families and I believe it happened."

335. During his appearance on the show, Pieczenik said, "All the hedge fund owners . . . left down to Miami thanks to Dan Malloy and Sandy Hook. So every one of these paid [Sandy Hook] parents, whoever they may be, are totally, totally disingenuous."

336. In response to Pieczenik's statement, Jones said, in his abnormal, "official" tone, "All I know is that they've staged fake things before."

337. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

338. These statements were heard by hundreds of thousands, if not millions of people.

The June 18, 2017 NBC Broadcast

339. During the interview with Kelly that aired on NBC, Jones made several additional statements suggesting that the shooting never happened.

340. At one point, Kelly read Jones's previous statement describing the Sandy Hook shooting as a hoax, recounted in paragraphs 187 through 195 of this complaint.

341. In response, Jones stated, "At that point—and I do think there was some cover up and some manipulation—that is pretty much what I believed. But then I was also going into devil's advocate, but then we know there's mass shootings and these things happen. So again—"

342. Later, Kelly said, "If you wrongly went out there and said it was a hoax. That's wrong."

343. In response, Jones said, "But what I already answered your question was—listeners and other people are covering this—I didn't create that story."

344. Later, Kelly stated, "But Alex, the parents—one after the other—devastated. The dead bodies that the coroner autopsied."

345. In response, Jones said, "And they blocked all that and they won't release any of it. That's unprecedented—"

346. Later, Kelly asked Jones if he was playing "devil's advocate" when he said "the whole thing is a giant hoax."

347. Jones responded, "Yes, because I remember in, even that day—I will go back for memory—they saying but then some of it looks like it's real. But what do you do when they got the kids going in circles in and out of the building with their hands up. I've watched the footage and it looks like a drill."

348. Even in that statement, while claiming—falsely—that he was playing “devil’s advocate” when making those previous statements, Jones again asserted that the Sandy Hook shooting did not happen.

349. Next, Kelly said, “When you say parents faked their children’s deaths, people get very angry.”

350. Jones responded, “Oh, I know—but they don’t get angry about the half million that Iraq from the sanctions or the don’t get angry about all the legal—”

351. Later, Kelly said, “That doesn’t excuse what you did and said about Newtown, you know it.”

352. Jones responded, “Here’s the difference, here’s the difference—I looked at all the angles of Newtown and I made my statements long before the media even picked up on it.”

353. In a voiceover, Kelly than noted that Jones, despite being “asked . . . numerous times what he now believes . . . never completely disavowed his previous statements.”

354. It then played clip of Jones saying, “I tend to believe that children probably did die there, but then you look at all the evidence on the other side, I can see how other people believe nobody died there.”

355. There is no evidence “on the other side.”

356. A reasonable person would understand Jones’s statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

357. More than three million people saw and heard these statements by Jones.

Alex Jones’s June 18, 2017 Counter-Programming

358. Meanwhile, as the interview played on NBC, Jones himself was broadcasting a live play-by-play video commentary on Infowars.

359. The Sandy Hook segment of the NBC interview began with a Megyn Kelly voiceover describing Jones’s statements that Sandy Hook was a hoax. As the voiceover played, Jones said, “Babies in the incubators.”

360. As evidenced by statements recounted previously in this complaint, “babies in the incubators” is a well-established Alex Jones metonym for a staged, false event.

361. This statement is properly interpreted as a reiteration and reaffirmation of Jones’s previous statements that the Sandy Hook shooting was staged and that no children died there.

362. Later, as his statement that he “looked at all the angles of Newtown” in the NBC interview played in the background, Jones said, “I said I thought it happened before they were picked up. They never showed my final analysis.”

363. This statement appears to be an admission that Jones never actually believed that Sandy Hook was a hoax, even as he maintained unequivocally that it was.

364. On information and belief, these statements were also broadcast on Alex Jones’s radio affiliates.

365. Hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people heard these statements.

June 26, 2017

366. During the June 18, 2017 profile of Jones for her NBC show Sunday Night with Megyn Kelly, Ms. Kelly interviewed one of the Sandy Hook parents, Neil Heslin, about the claims made by Jones, including that “the whole thing was fake” and “a giant hoax.” Addressing Jones’s lies, Heslin told Kelly, “I lost my son. I buried my son. I held my son with a bullet hole through his head.”

367. On June 26, 2017, Infowars broadcast a segment hosted by “reporter” Owen Shroyer in which Shroyer claimed to have reviewed evidence showing it was impossible for Mr. Heslin to have held his son and seen his injury. This broadcast was meant to reinforce and support the underlying lie that the Sandy Hook parents are fakes.⁴⁸

368. Shroyer stated: “The statement [Heslin] made, fact-checkers on this have said cannot be accurate. He’s claiming that he held his son and saw the bullet hole in his head. That is his claim. Now, according to a timeline of events and a coroner’s testimony, that is not possible.”

369. Shroyer’s support for this statement was deceptively edited video footage in which the local medical examiner informed reporters that the slain students were initially identified using photographs rather than in person. However, the Sandy Hook parents were permitted to see and hold their children soon thereafter.

370. Shroyer also played as support for his statement a video clip of Sandy Hook parent Lynn McDonnell, which had been deceptively edited to imply that she was never allowed access to her child’s body. In the unedited interview, Mrs. McDonnell stated that she was in possession of her child’s body.

371. Shroyer stated: “You would remember if you held your dead kid in your hands with a bullet hole. That’s not something you would just misspeak on.” He continued, noting that Heslin was “making a pretty extreme claim that would be a very thing vivid in your memory, holding his dead child.”

⁴⁸ <https://www.infowars.com/zero-hedge-discovers-anomaly-in-alex-jones-hit-piece/>.

372. Shroyer then stated: “The conspiracy theorists on the internet out there have a lot of questions that are yet to be answered. You say whatever you want about the event, that’s just a fact.”

373. In concluding his report, Shroyer stated: “Will there be a clarification from Heslin or Megyn Kelly? I wouldn’t hold your breath. [Laugh]. So now they’re fueling the conspiracy theory claims. Unbelievable.”

374. A reasonable person would understand these statements, including the title of the embedded video, to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

375. These statements were outrageous, recklessly false, and malicious. Along with the original videos, contemporary news accounts stated that the victims’ bodies were released to their loved ones, and funerals where the victims’ bodies were in the custody of their loved ones were widely reported in the press.⁴⁹

376. These statements were heard by at least tens of thousands, if not millions, of people.

July 20, 2017

377. On July 20, 2017, Jones re-broadcast the Shroyer segment of June 26, 2017, as part of his Alex Jones Radio Show programming.⁵⁰

378. During his discussion of the Shroyer segment, Jones said, “Can I prove that New Haven [sic] didn’t happen? No. So I’ve said, for years, we’ve had debates about it, that I don’t know. . . . That was a month ago. He said, ‘I wouldn’t hold my breath looking for a response. We’ve not seen a clarification! I’m the one that called him up after I saw the show that night, and I said, ‘You know, Owen . . . could be that we need to get clarification on what’—‘Oh, I could never find out. The stuff I found was that they never let them see their bodies.’ That’s kind of what’s weird about this.” Then he said, in a tone clearly indicating sarcasm, “Maybe they did. So [making incredulous face] I’m sure it’s all real! But for some reason, they don’t want to let you see those clips together [Shroyer’s segment].”

379. On information and belief, these statements were heard by hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of people.

October 26, 2017

⁴⁹ <https://patch.com/connecticut/newtown/police-no-motive-emerging-in-newtown-school-shooting>;
<http://abcnews.go.com/US/photos/sandy-hook-moment-silence-18026580/image-18045101>;
https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/funerals-for-newtown-massacre-victims-begin/2012/12/17/ffd0a130-486d-11e2-820e-17eefac2f939_story.html?utm_term=.0ccb4af100.

⁵⁰ <https://www.mediamatters.org/blog/2017/07/21/alex-jones-sandy-hook-dad-needs-clarify-whether-he-actually-held-his-son-s-body-and-saw-bullet-hole/217333>.

380. In an October 26, 2017 video entitled “JFK Assassination Documents To DROP Tonight,” Mr. Jones claimed that the CIA visited Sandy Hook shooter Adam Lanza and recruited him. He claimed that the truth about Lanza is not known because “they bulldozed the house to get rid of it.” Mr. Jones told his audience that Sandy Hook was “as phony as a three-dollar bill, with CNN doing fake newscasts, with blue screens.”

381. A reasonable person would understand these statements to assert that the Sandy Hook massacre was staged, and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

April 20, 2018

382. Jones has accused the plaintiffs of lying not only about Sandy Hook, but about the pain and torment they have experienced at his hands. In a video on April 20, 2018 entitled, “MSM Continues to Demonize Alex Jones,” Jones mocked the plaintiffs, performing a cruel, false, malicious, and outrageous parody of their suffering: “I think they almost do this to mess with us or something. I’m serious, man... They go, ‘Oh, my gosh, why are you doing that? You hurt me.’ And we’re like, ‘No, no. We’re sorry.’ ‘You’ve hurt me.’ And like five years later, ‘You hurt me. Stop hurting me.’ And we’re like, ‘But we’re not bringing you up.’”

383. As shown by the previous allegations (and the statement itself), Jones and the other defendants have for years repeatedly and continually brought the plaintiffs up, and made cruel, outrageous, reckless, and false allegations about them and the tragedy that robbed them of their loved ones. Indeed, Jones made statements accusing the plaintiffs of fabricating the deaths of their loved ones and suggesting that Sandy Hook was a hoax just months before the first lawsuits were filed against him by Sandy Hook families.

384. In the same video, Jones continued to accuse the plaintiffs of lying about his conduct, falsely asserting that he had not discussed Sandy Hook in years. Performing another mocking imitation of the plaintiffs, Jones stated: “‘Oh, my gosh. Alex has no heart. He is—nothing is sacred. He brought it up again.’ No. You did and lied about it.”

385. Jones also falsely claimed he had never attacked the victims, stating, “I have never gone after the Sandy Hook parents . . . Who in the hell would try to go after people’s parents who have dead children?”

386. The answer to that question, as shown by the previous allegations, is Jones and the other defendants.

387. In that same broadcast, Jones also made additional recklessly false claims, rehearsing a number of his arguments that the Sandy Hook shooting was faked and the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones. For example, Mr. Jones stated, “You can look it up. They stood down in Sandy Hook. They stood down in Parkland. That’s a fact.” Later in the same video, Jones repeated his claim that there was a police “stand down” at Sandy Hook.

388. In the same broadcast, Jones rehearsed his bizarre allegations that Anderson Cooper’s interview of Veronique De La Rosa was faked, stating, “It’s just a background with the

flowers of the town hall and her and Anderson Cooper. And then he turns and his head is shimmery, and his nose disappears, which everybody knows is a chromakey.” Mr. Jones also repeated his claim that Anderson Cooper was working for the CIA, and he continued to assert that the interview was shot in front of a blue-screen rather than the result of digital compression.

Other Statements

389. On information and belief, the defendants have published other similar statements of which the plaintiffs do not know at this time, but would obtain with reasonable discovery. Numerous videos and other broadcasts published by the defendants have recently been removed from the public domain either by the defendants themselves or companies like Twitter, Facebook, and YouTube. Discovery, including documents and witness testimony, is required for the plaintiffs to present the full scope of the defendants’ campaign of outrageous lies and abuse.

THE BACKPEDALING AND ADMISSIONS

390. The Sandy Hook shooting and its aftermath were exhaustively documented by the local and national press; local, state, and federal law enforcement; other government entities; and other means. It is no exaggeration to say that the true and accepted story of Sandy Hook—that the plaintiffs lost their loved ones in Adam Lanza’s murderous rampage—is supported by a mountain of evidence. Most of these records and evidence are publicly available. This in itself is enough to show that the defendants made the outrageous, false, and malicious statements detailed in this complaint with knowledge that the statements were false, or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true. Added to this are the defendants’ deceptive edits to and contextualization of videos, documents, or other records to purportedly support their statements.

391. Above and beyond this, on numerous occasions, some listed in the allegations above, Jones and the other defendants have admitted either that they never believed the Sandy Hook shooting was faked or that they had serious doubts about the truth of their statements, that they made those statements with knowledge that the statements were false, or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true. Those admissions became more frequent after the plaintiffs and other Sandy Hook families filed lawsuits against the defendants related to the defendants’ statements about Sandy Hook, the plaintiffs, and the Sandy Hook families.

April 20, 2018

392. On April 20, 2018, the Alex Jones Show broadcast a video entitled “Watch As Megyn Kelly Opens Old Wounds Of Sandy Hook Victims.”⁵¹

393. In it, Jones made a number of statements indicating that he never actually believed, or else had serious reasons not to believe, his previous statements claiming that the Sandy Hook shooting was a hoax and that the plaintiffs fabricated the deaths of their loved ones.

394. Jones stated, “I can’t prove the shooting didn’t happen.”

⁵¹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uQkAJykqyeo>.

395. Jones stated, “It’s been years since I even played devil’s advocate in debates where I said it all happened like was said, or none of it happened. I could see how people would believe that [the Sandy Hook shooting was faked] because of all the media lying but we’ve investigated it and we think it happened.”

396. Jones acknowledged the power of his platform. “We have our own platform,” he said. “Millions are going to see this. Millions are watching.”

397. “And here’s the deal,” he said. “I know the parents know that I’ve been clear on this for at least four years.” While this statement is false—as this Complaint details, Jones repeatedly claimed that the Sandy Hook shooting was false and that the parents were actors during that period—it is an admission that by Jones that he believed Sandy Hook really happened and the families lost their loved ones during the time he made nearly all the statements in this complaint.

398. He continued, “I began to say that Sandy Hook happened years before and they took that as weakness.”

399. Then, Jones played a video of Neil Heslin’s April appearance on Megyn Kelly Today, alternately pausing it and interjecting his own commentary.

400. When, in the video, Heslin said that Jones’s lies about Sandy Hook were disrespectful to “the law enforcement, the first responders” who served at Sandy Hook the day of the shooting, Jones interjected, “Who stood down!”

401. Then Jones said, “Hit pause.” When the video stopped, Jones screamed into the camera, “You can look it up. They [law enforcement and first responders] stood down in Sandy Hook, they stood down in Parkland. That’s a fact!”

402. Then Jones read an email from Leonard Posner, and a responsive email that Jones stated that he himself dictated. In that response, Jones stated: “Thank you for contacting us to share your point of view. Alex has no doubt that this was a real tragedy.” According to Jones and the text of the email, this email was sent in January 29, 2013. Then, in real time, Jones remarked, “Paul Watson was like threatening to quit, and I wasn’t even saying it didn’t happen, I was just devil’s advocating.” In other words, from the beginning of his campaign of outrageous lies against the plaintiffs, Jones knew and believed that they and the other Sandy Hook families were not actors, had actually lost their loved ones, and that he was lying in making the statements recounted in this Complaint.

403. He continued: “And I’d have these families on right now . . . they don’t do it, because they don’t want to humanize me. They want to lie.” The most reasonable interpretation of this statement is that Jones is accusing the plaintiffs of lying about Jones’s statements about them.

May 23, 2018

404. On May 23, 2018, The Alex Jones Show broadcast a video entitled, on YouTube, “Alex Jones’ Statement On New Sandy Hook Lawsuit.”⁵² He described “the admitted goal” of lawsuits against him (like this one) as being to create the “Alex Jones statute” to “overturn[] the First Amendment.”

405. During the video, Jones made various statements about his state of mind at times relevant in this Complaint.

406. “I kept saying I believe it happened,” he stated. Later in the video, he said, “Before, I just questioned it. . . . Once it was personalized, once I saw the players, the people, I said ‘I think it’s real.’ I had the debate that was already going on.”

407. He also stated: “Three years ago, when the so-called Sandy Hook activists were on my butt saying I was covering it up because I said I thought it happened ‘cause I couldn’t prove it one way or the other. There were some anomalies. I genuinely was trying to research it. I just said ‘I’m done with this. It happened. I’m moving on. Don’t blame gun owners for it.’”

408. Jones was admitting that, at the times relevant to most if not all of the publications contained in this Complaint, he believed that the Sandy Hook shooting happened and that the families lost their loved ones.

June 4, 2018

409. In 2018, Alex Jones and unidentified Infowars personnel repeatedly accosted former Democratic presidential candidate Sen. Bernie Sanders and several of his staff in Los Angeles International Airport. Jones and Infowars videotaped the series of encounters, and later broadcast them on Infowars on June 4, 2018.

410. Later in the video, an unidentified man in the boarding line stepped between Jones and Sanders. He said to Jones: “You deny Sandy Hook, and you’re giving him [Sanders] a hard time?”

411. Jones did not deny that he had denied the Sandy Hook shooting, but instead started asking the unidentified man questions about Hillary Clinton.

412. Later in the conversation, the unidentified man stated to Jones: “You edited videos of Sandy Hook.”

413. Jones responded, “Yeah that’s right.”

414. The unidentified man to Jones said in response, while laughing: “You’re an idiot. You win.”

⁵² <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AfvhhcXPCps>.

415. The unidentified man laughed and made that remark because he realized that Jones had just admitted on camera to deceptively editing videos related to the Sandy Hook shooting that he used as purported evidence to question and deny what happened at Sandy Hook Elementary School on December 14, 2012.

COUNT ONE – Invasion of Privacy by False Light; Civil Conspiracy
(All Plaintiffs v. All Defendants)

416. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

417. The defendants, as part of a campaign of harassment and abuse, broadcast numerous outrageous lies about the plaintiffs that represented such major misrepresentations of the plaintiffs' character, history, activities or beliefs that serious offense may reasonably be expected to be taken by a reasonable person in their position.

418. The false light in which the defendants' statements placed the plaintiffs would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.

419. The defendants had knowledge that their statements were lies, or acted with reckless disregard as to the falsity of their statements and the false light in which the plaintiffs would be placed.

420. These false publications have caused the plaintiffs actual and substantial damages.

421. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of reckless and false statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

422. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

423. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

424. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

425. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

426. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

COUNT TWO – Defamation and Defamation *per se*; Civil Conspiracy
(All Plaintiffs v. All Defendants)

427. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

428. In repeatedly publishing false statements asserting or reasonably understood to be asserting that the plaintiffs' loved ones did not die; and/or that the episode in which they were killed was staged or their loved ones were still alive; and/or the plaintiffs were actors who faked their loved ones' death; the defendants published numerous defamatory statements.

429. These publications were not only individually defamatory, but part of a continuous campaign of statements, starting in 2013 and continuing through at least 2017, stating, asserting, implying and suggesting that the plaintiffs faked their loved ones' death and/or are actors lying about the deaths of their loved ones.

430. The statements contained in the defendants' campaign of harassment and abuse constitute defamation *per se*. The harmful nature of the defamatory statements is self-evident. The defamatory statements implicate the Plaintiffs in heinous criminal conduct. False implications of criminal conduct represent classic defamation *per se*.

431. The defendants' defamatory publications readily identified the plaintiffs to millions of people.

432. The defendants' defamatory publications were broadcast to millions of people.

433. The defendants' defamatory publications have injured the plaintiffs' reputations and images, and they have exposed the plaintiffs to public and private hatred, contempt, and ridicule. These false publications have caused the plaintiffs actual and substantial damages.

434. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of reckless and false statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

435. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

436. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

437. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

438. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

439. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

COUNT THREE – Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress; Civil Conspiracy
(All Plaintiffs v. All Defendants)

440. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

441. In broadcasting their campaign of outrageous and false statements about the plaintiffs, the defendants intended to inflict emotional distress or knew, or should have known, that emotional distress was the likely result of their conduct.

442. The defendants' conduct was extreme and outrageous.

443. The defendants' conduct was the cause of the plaintiffs' distress.

444. The emotional distress sustained by the plaintiffs was severe.

445. The defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

446. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of false and reckless statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

447. The plaintiffs have suffered actual and substantial damages.

448. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

449. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

450. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

451. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

452. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

COUNT FOUR – Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress; Civil Conspiracy
(All Plaintiffs v. All Defendants)

453. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth

herein.

454. The defendants' campaign of outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies created an unreasonable risk of causing the plaintiffs emotional distress.

455. The plaintiffs' distress was foreseeable.

456. The plaintiffs' emotional distress was severe enough that it might result in illness or bodily harm.

457. The defendants' outrageous, cruel, and malicious conduct was the cause of the plaintiff's distress.

458. The plaintiffs have suffered actual and substantial damages.

459. In light of their prior experience with similar sorts of reckless and false statements, the defendants knew that their publications could cause the plaintiffs to suffer harassment and potential violence.

460. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

461. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

462. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

463. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

464. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

**COUNT FIVE: Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act,
Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a et seq.; Civil Conspiracy
(All Plaintiffs v. All Defendants)**

465. All previous allegations in this complaint are incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

466. The defendants unethically, oppressively, immorally, and unscrupulously developed, propagated, and disseminated outrageous and malicious lies about the plaintiffs and their family members, and they did so for profit.

467. This campaign of lies, abuse, and harassment was a deceptive practice and offended

public policy.

468. The defendants' reprehensible conduct caused substantial injury to the plaintiffs and other consumers that is not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to anyone, and that the plaintiffs themselves could not have reasonably avoided.

469. The defendants' conduct was a foreseeable cause of and a substantial factor causing the plaintiffs' injury.

470. The plaintiffs are private individuals and are neither public officials nor public figures.

471. The defendants broadcast their outrageous, cruel, and malicious lies about the plaintiffs with knowledge that the statements were false or with reckless disregard as to whether or not they were true.

472. The defendants combined to conduct their campaign of harassment and abuse, which included numerous unlawful acts or lawful acts by unlawful means.

473. The defendants combined to perform these unlawful acts pursuant to their scheme to harass and abuse the plaintiffs and in furtherance of that scheme.

474. These acts of the defendants resulted in damage to the plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, THE PLAINTIFFS CLAIM DAMAGES IN EXCESS OF FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND THE FOLLOWING RELIEF AS FURTHER SET FORTH BELOW:

Plaintiffs seek relief as follows:

- A. Monetary damages;
- B. Punitive damages;
- C. Attorneys' fees;
- D. Costs;
- E. Declarative relief;

This matter is within the jurisdiction of this court.

Of this writ, with your doings thereon, make due service and return.

Dated at Bridgeport, Connecticut this 15th day of November, 2018.

THE PLAINTIFFS,

By



WILLIAM M. BLOSS
ALINOR C. STERLING
MATTHEW S. BLUMENTHAL
KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
PHONE: (203) 336-4421
FAX: (203) 368-3244
asterling@koskoff.com
wbloss@koskoff.com
mblumenthal@koskoff.com
JURIS #32250

PLEASE ENTER THE APPEARANCE OF:

KOSKOFF, KOSKOFF & BIEDER, P.C.
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT 06604
TELEPHONE: 203-336-4421
JURIS NO. 032250

FOR THE PLAINTIFF

Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6081366-S	:	SUPERIOR COURT
	:	
WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL.	:	J.D. OF FAIRFIELD
	:	
v.	:	AT BRIDGEPORT
	:	
ALEX JONES, ET AL.	:	DECEMBER 11, 2018

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE CASES

The plaintiffs hereby move under Conn. Practice Book § 9-5 to consolidate this case with *William Sherlach v. Alex Jones, et al*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6076475-S and *Erica Lafferty, et al, v. Alex Jones, et al*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6075078-S. Because all the requirements of Practice Book § 9-5 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52–104 are met, this Motion should be granted.

Under Connecticut law, “courts of general jurisdiction have inherent power to consolidate different causes, or order them tried together, when the circumstances authorize such course.” *DiBella v. Town of Greenwich*, 2012 WL 2899242, at *1 (Conn. Super. May 22, 2012) (Brazzel-Massaro, J.) (quoting *Rode v. Adley Express Co.*, 130 Conn. 274, 277 (1943) (collecting cases)). Cases may be consolidated where the plaintiffs have “any right of relief in respect to or arising out of the same transaction or series of transactions . . . when, if such persons brought separate actions, any common question of law or fact would arise.” Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52–104 (“Joinder of plaintiffs and consolidation of causes”).

These three cases meet all these requirements for consolidation. The claims in the three cases are nearly identical. They arise out of the same transactions and series of transactions, against the same defendants, and for the same conduct. They contain numerous common questions of fact and law. In addition, each case is just commencing and so consolidation is timely.

For all the foregoing reasons, the plaintiffs’ Motion to Consolidate should be granted.

**Respectfully submitted,
THE PLAINTIFFS**

By /s/ Alinor C. Sterling
WILLIAM M. BLOSS
ALINOR C. STERLING
MATTHEW S. BLUMENTHAL
KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
bbloss@koskoff.com
asterling@koskoff.com
mblumenthal@koskoff.com
Telephone: (203) 336-4421
Fax: (203) 368-3244
JURIS #32250

Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6081366-S	:	SUPERIOR COURT
	:	
WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL.	:	J.D. OF FAIRFIELD
	:	
v.	:	AT BRIDGEPORT
	:	
ALEX JONES, ET AL.	:	DECEMBER 11, 2018

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE CASES

The plaintiffs hereby move under Conn. Practice Book § 9-5 to consolidate this case with *William Sherlach v. Alex Jones, et al*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6076475-S and *Erica Lafferty, et al, v. Alex Jones, et al*, Docket No. FBT-CV-18-6075078-S. Because all the requirements of Practice Book § 9-5 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52–104 are met, this Motion should be granted.

Under Connecticut law, “courts of general jurisdiction have inherent power to consolidate different causes, or order them tried together, when the circumstances authorize such course.” *DiBella v. Town of Greenwich*, 2012 WL 2899242, at *1 (Conn. Super. May 22, 2012) (Brazzel-Massaro, J.) (quoting *Rode v. Adley Express Co.*, 130 Conn. 274, 277 (1943) (collecting cases)). Cases may be consolidated where the plaintiffs have “any right of relief in respect to or arising out of the same transaction or series of transactions . . . when, if such persons brought separate actions, any common question of law or fact would arise.” Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52–104 (“Joinder of plaintiffs and consolidation of causes”).

These three cases meet all these requirements for consolidation. The claims in the three cases are nearly identical. They arise out of the same transactions and series of transactions, against the same defendants, and for the same conduct. They contain numerous common questions of fact and law. In addition, each case is just commencing and so consolidation is timely.

For all the foregoing reasons, the plaintiffs’ Motion to Consolidate should be granted.

**Respectfully submitted,
THE PLAINTIFFS**

By /s/ Alinor C. Sterling
WILLIAM M. BLOSS
ALINOR C. STERLING
MATTHEW S. BLUMENTHAL
KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
bbloss@koskoff.com
asterling@koskoff.com
mblumenthal@koskoff.com
Telephone: (203) 336-4421
Fax: (203) 368-3244
JURIS #32250

DOCKET NO: FBTCV186081366S

SUPERIOR COURT

ORDER 421277

SHERLACH, WILLIAM Et Al
V.
JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et Al

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FAIRFIELD
AT BRIDGEPORT

1/2/2019

ORDER

ORDER REGARDING:
12/11/2018 104.00 MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

The foregoing, having been considered by the Court, is hereby:

ORDER: GRANTED

Short Calendar Results Automated Mailing (SCRAM) Notice was sent on the underlying motion.

421277

Judge: BARBARA N BELLIS

NO. X06-UWY-CV18-6046436-S : SUPERIOR COURT
ERICA LAFFERTY, ET AL. : COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET
V. : AT WATERBURY
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL. : MARCH 25, 2022

NO. X06-UWY-CV18-6046437-S : SUPERIOR COURT
WILLIAM SHERLACH : COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET
V. : AT WATERBURY
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL. : MARCH 25, 2022

NO. X06-UWY-CV18-6046438-S : SUPERIOR COURT
WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL. : COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET
V. : AT WATERBURY
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL. : MARCH 25, 2022

**MOTION FOR FINDING OF CIVIL CONTEMPT, ISSUANCE OF ORDERS TO
SECURE ALEX JONES ATTENDANCE AT DEPOSITION, AND ISSUANCE OF
FURTHER SANCTIONS ORDERS**

Alex Jones is in contempt of this Court. He is so afraid of being deposed in this case that he refused to attend his own deposition, even after the Court ordered him to do so. His invented excuses for his absence only confirm his contempt. Twice Mr. Jones sought “emergency” protective orders based on bogus argument that he was unable to attend his deposition due to health concerns. The Court appropriately rejected those efforts, finding, in part, that the Court

had been “deceived by the evidence and the argument Mr. Jones made” concerning his health restrictions. Ex. A, 3/23/22 Hrg. Tr. at 17:2-5.

By order of the Court, Mr. Jones was required to appear for his deposition on March 23, 2022. He did not. By a subsequent order of the Court and on pain of contempt, Mr. Jones was required to appear for his deposition on March 24, 2022. He did not. It is impossible to overstate the level of contempt that Mr. Jones has shown for the Court’s authority throughout this litigation. It is also impossible to overstate the contempt he has shown for the plaintiffs. With dignity and courage, the plaintiffs subjected themselves to hours and hours of painful questioning by Mr. Jones’s lawyers – and Mr. Jones plays sick when it is his turn to tell the truth under oath. He begs his audience to send him money to support his legal defense¹ and then ducks his deposition.

It is absolutely no surprise that today – the day after he skipped his deposition – Mr. Jones was back on the air from his studio, explaining to his audience that the emergent medical condition that supposedly manifested just days before his deposition turned out to be “a blockage in his sinus.”² Now that the blockage has cleared, he feels “like a new person.” *Id.* It is no coincidence that Mr. Jones’s sinus cleared as soon as plaintiffs’ counsel cleared Texas airspace.

The plaintiffs now move the Court to enter a finding of civil contempt and to issue orders to coerce Mr. Jones’s attendance at deposition, and to coerce that attendance immediately. More specifically, the plaintiffs move the Court to order *all* of the following:

¹ See Save Infowars Legal Defense Fund, <https://www.givesendgo.com/G2CK4> (last accessed March 25, 2022).

² See The Alex Jones Show, originally aired at <https://www.infowars.com/show/> (March 25, 2022).

- A) That Mr. Jones is adjudicated to be in contempt of court; and that such contempt may be purged when Mr. Jones sits for deposition at the offices of Koskoff, Koskoff & Bieder, PC; 350 Fairfield Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut and completes his deposition;
- B) That Mr. Jones's profit-motives for broadcasting lies about the plaintiffs and the Sandy Hook shooting, his intent to harm the plaintiffs through those lies, and his culpable and malicious subjective intent are all established, and he is precluded from offering evidence to the contrary, and that these findings and preclusions will become permanent if Mr. Jones does not complete his deposition by April 15, 2022;³
- C) That Mr. Jones is to pay conditional fines beginning at \$25,000 per day and escalating to \$50,000 per day to the Clerk of the Superior Court until he completes his deposition; and
- D) That Mr. Jones is to be incarcerated until he sits for deposition⁴; and
- E) That Mr. Jones is to pay to the plaintiffs' fees and costs incurred in connection with the deposition that Mr. Jones failed to attend, including, but not limited to time expended by plaintiffs' counsel and staff in the preparation, arrangement and travel to/from the deposition, lodging, transportation, and deposition costs associated with the court reporter, videographer and venue; and

³ Mr. Jones's deposition would cover a broad range of topics, of which subjective intent is the most important. Framing the exact wording of these findings and preclusions is beyond the scope of what can be accomplished under the time frame set for this brief, as is identifying all the findings and exclusions that would be necessary if he is not deposed. The plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement and develop these findings, both with supplemental briefing to support this Motion and at a later date, if Mr. Jones is not deposed.

⁴ The plaintiffs recognize that this penalty would need to be enforced in Texas. Nonetheless, the Court should issue them.

- F) That the plaintiffs are entitled to such scheduling accommodations as their counsel may require due to the time wasted by Mr. Jones's willful refusal to attend his deposition, with the understanding that any such accommodations will *not* be reason for Mr. Jones to seek an extension of the trial date; and
- G) Any other measures the Court deems appropriate to coerce Mr. Jones's attendance at his deposition, or to remedy the prejudice to the plaintiffs.

I. WILLFUL REFUSAL TO COMPLY WITH COURT-ORDERED DEPOSITION

Mr. Jones's deposition was noticed to be taken in Austin, Texas on March 23 and March 24. Ex. B, Jones 3/23/22-3/24/22 Dep. Notice.

Two days before his deposition was to commence, Mr. Jones's counsel sought an emergency protective order to prevent the deposition, which the Court denied. DN 730.10. The claimed basis was that a physician had advised Mr. Jones he should not attend his deposition. DN 730, Def. 3/21/22 Am. Mot. for Protective Order at 1. At oral argument the day before Mr. Jones's deposition, counsel stated that the physician directed Mr. Jones to stay at home pending the outcome of unspecified medical testing. *E.g.* DN 737, 3/22/22 Hrg. Tr. at 2:15-17. Confronted with Mr. Jones's own broadcasts, Mr. Jones's counsel then conceded that Mr. Jones was broadcasting live from his studio, which is not at his home, on both the day the emergency motion was filed and the day it was argued. DN 737, 3/22/22 Hrg. Tr. at 18:16-17 (conceding Mr. Jones was broadcasting on March 21); DN 733, Jones Defs.' 3/23/22 Notice (conceding Mr. Jones was broadcasting on March 22 from the studio, which is not at his home).

The Court denied the motion for protective order, and plaintiffs' counsel appeared for deposition in Austin on March 23. Mr. Jones did not attend. Ex. C, 3/23/22 Dep. Tr. A. Jones –

Not Appearing at 6:21-24, 8:3-6 (Attorney Mattei, noting Mr. Jones's absence; Attorney Pattis, indicating Mr. Jones "has no intention to appear here today").

At an emergency hearing held March 23, the Court ordered Mr. Jones to appear for his deposition March 24. Ex. A, 3/23/22 Hrg. Tr. at 30:26-27; 31:1-2 ("I am going to order [Mr. Jones] to appear for his deposition tomorrow ordered as a part of the official court file, so that order will be in writing and it's also on the record now."); DN 735, 3/23/22 Order.

Mr. Jones renewed his motion for protective order, again asserting medical issues. The Court found that

Mr. Jones has by all accounts broadcast live from his studio on Monday and Tuesday, in disregard of Dr. Marble's purported instructions to stay home and rest. Additionally, plaintiffs' counsel alleges that even today, Mr. Jones called into his show, speaking on the war in Ukraine, although the court has no evidence to confirm that. While the court has no details regarding Dr. Offutt's background or qualifications, it appears both from Dr. Marble's letter that the court reviewed yesterday in camera, and from Dr. Offutt's letter today, that the medical issues, while potentially serious, are not currently serious enough to either require his hospitalization, or convince him to stop engaging in his broadcasts. Mr. Jones cannot unilaterally decide to continue to engage in his broadcasts, but refuse to participate in a deposition. The motion is denied. Of course, if, as Dr. Offutt indicates, he develops escalating symptoms such that he is hospitalized, that change in circumstance would excuse his attendance at the court ordered deposition.

DN 744.10, 3/23/22 Order.

Mr. Jones did not attend his March 24 deposition. Ex. D, 3/24/22 Dep. Tr. A. Jones – Not Appearing at 4:18-21, 6:24-25; 7:1-3 (Attorney Mattei, noting Mr. Jones's absence; Attorney Pattis confirming Mr. Jones "will not be appearing here today").

I. CIVIL CONTEMPT

“Where ... the dispute is between private litigants and the purpose for judicial intervention is remedial, then the contempt is civil, and any sanctions imposed by the judicial authority shall be coercive and nonpunitive, including fines, to ensure compliance and compensate the complainant for losses.” Prac. Bk. § 1-21A.

“The court's authority to impose civil contempt penalties arises not from statutory provisions but from the common law. The penalties which may be imposed, therefore, arise from the inherent power of the court to coerce compliance with its orders. In Connecticut, the court has the authority in civil contempt to impose on the contemnor either incarceration or a fine or both.” *Papa v. New Haven Federation of Teachers*, 186 Conn. 725, 737-38 (1982); *Financial Holdings, LLC v. Lyons*, 129 Conn. App. 380, 385 (2011) (“Sanctions for civil contempt may be either a fine or imprisonment; the fine may be remedial or it may be the means of coercing compliance with the court's order and compensating the complainant for losses sustained.”)

“Judicial sanctions in civil contempt proceedings may, in a proper case, be employed for either or both of two purposes: to coerce the defendant into compliance with the court's order and to compensate the complainant for losses sustained.” *DeMartino v. Monroe Little League, Inc.*, 192 Conn. 271, 278-79 (1984). In civil contempt the [punishment] must be conditional and coercive and may not be absolute ... To effectuate the purpose of civil contempt, the contemnor should be able to obtain release from the sanction imposed by the court by compliance with the judicial decree.” *Connolly v. Connolly*, 191 Conn. 468, 482 (1983). It is important that the contempt order clearly define how the contemnor may purge the contempt: “[I]n civil contempt proceedings, the contemnor must be in a position to purge himself.”

Mays v. Mays, 193 Conn. 261, 266 (1984). Thus a coercive penalty imposed under the contempt power should “specify” what the contemnor “must do in order to purge himself of the contempt.” *Id.*

“The evaluation of civil contempt penalties depends to a great extent on whether the penalties are considered at the time they are first conditionally imposed for the purpose of coercing compliance or are considered after the contempt has been purged and the penalties are finalized.” *Papa*, 186 Conn. at 737-38. “When the penalties are first imposed, the propriety of the court's exercise of its discretion turns on the reasonableness of the amount of the coercion that the court deems necessary, keeping in mind the court's ultimate power to reduce the penalties once the contempt has been purged.” *Id.*

II. RELIEF REQUESTED

The Court must impose penalties to coerce Mr. Jones to attend and complete his deposition in Connecticut immediately, including findings of fact and exclusions of evidence, which will become permanent if Mr. Jones does not sit for deposition by April 15; escalating fines, which may be purged when Mr. Jones sits for deposition; and an order of incarceration or *capias*. It is appropriate to impose these penalties simultaneously, *see Papa*, 186 Conn. at 738 (trial court did not abuse its discretion in ordering simultaneous incarceration and fines), and the circumstances warrant doing so here.⁵

⁵ While affirming simultaneous incarceration and fines in *Papa*, the Supreme Court observed that “it may be a better practice ... for the court to impose civil contempt penalties in increasingly harsh stages so as to increase the pressure on the contemnor.” *Id.* Given how little time is left for fact discovery in the scheduling order, and Mr. Jones’s clear intent to delay trial as long as possible, simultaneous penalties are necessary and appropriate here.

The plaintiffs also request fees and costs incurred for travel expenses wasted and time lost due to Mr. Jones's non-appearance, such scheduling accommodations as their counsel may require due to the time wasted by Mr. Jones's willful refusal to attend his deposition, and any other penalties or relief that the Court deems appropriate.

A. FINDING OF CIVIL CONTEMPT

Civil contempt is proven by clear and convincing evidence. *Brody v. Brody*, 315 Conn. 300, 319 (2015). Mr. Jones's contempt of court is proven well beyond that standard. The Court gave notice that failure to attend the March 24 deposition would result in a finding of contempt. Ex. A, 3/23/22 Hrg. Tr. at 30:26-27; 31:1-2; DN 735, 3/23/22 Order. Mr. Jones did not attend the deposition. Ex. D, 3/24/22 Dep. Tr. A. Jones – Not Appearing at 4:18-21, 6:24-25; 7:1-3. Mr. Jones is in contempt of court, and the Court should so find. The Court should further order that the contempt may be purged when Mr. Jones has completed his deposition, to be held at the offices of Koskoff, Koskoff & Bieder PC, 350 Fairfield Ave., Bridgeport, Connecticut.

B. FINDINGS OF ESTABLISHED FACTS AND PRECLUSIONS OF EVIDENCE, WHICH WILL BECOME FINAL IF MR. JONES DOES NOT COMPLETE HIS DEPOSITION BY APRIL 15, 2022

In order to coerce Mr. Jones to attend his deposition, the Court should issue an order alerting Mr. Jones that it will order certain facts established and exclude certain evidence, and that these orders will become permanent if Mr. Jones does not appear for deposition by April 15 at the offices of Koskoff, Koskoff & Bieder in Bridgeport, Connecticut.⁶

⁶ Although we had previously accommodated Mr. Jones by agreeing to hold his deposition in Texas, he used that accommodation to waste counsel's time to his own advantage. If Mr. Jones is allowed to be deposed in Austin, there is nothing to stop him from doing that again – and absolutely no reason to believe any representation he may make to the contrary. Mr. Jones must be compelled to come to Connecticut for deposition. *See Sansone v. Haselden*, 1990 WL 271143 (Conn. Super. Ct. Apr. 18, 1990) (Berdon, J.) (court may exercise its discretion to order an out-of-state defendant to appear in Connecticut); *Antonios v. Farmers Ins.*, No. 117917, 1996 WL

Practice Book § 13-14, subsections (3) and (4) provide for the establishment of facts and the exclusion of evidence when a defendant engages in discovery misconduct:

(3) The entry of an order that the matters regarding which the discovery was sought or other designated facts shall be taken to be established for the purposes of the action in accordance with the claim of the party obtaining the order;

(4) The entry of an order prohibiting the party who has failed to comply from introducing designated matters in evidence....

Prac. Bk. § 13-14.

If Mr. Jones does not sit for deposition, it will be necessary for the Court to find multiple facts established and to preclude Mr. Jones from offering a range of evidence. The most significant directed factual findings will concern Mr. Jones' subjective intent, including his motives for broadcasting lies about the plaintiffs and the Sandy Hook shooting, his intent to harm the targets of those lies, and his malicious subjective intent.⁷ The Court would be required to concurrently preclude Mr. Jones from offering evidence contradicting those findings.

Ensuring these directed factual findings are appropriately framed will take more time than the Court has allotted under this briefing schedule, both because these findings and exclusions will be a dominant feature of the hearing in damages, if they become permanent, and because of the range of issues Mr Jones's deposition proposed to cover. The plaintiffs will

92207 (Conn. Super. Ct. Feb. 15, 1996) (Pellegrino, J.); Prac. Bk. § 13-29(c)(2) (non-resident defendant "may be compelled" to give a deposition "at any place within thirty miles of the defendant's residence or within the county of his or her residence *or at such other place as is fixed by order of the judicial authority.*") (emphasis supplied). For the Court's convenience, unpublished Superior Court cases are attached in alphabetical order as Exhibit E.

⁷ The imposition of such sanctions – which would effectively direct findings on punitive damages for the plaintiffs – is *not* what the plaintiffs want. What the plaintiffs want is for a jury to hear Mr. Jones's testimony and make its own determination of that issue, and for the Court then to make its own punitive findings based on that evidence. Nonetheless, such sanctions are the only path open to the plaintiffs and the Court at this point.

supplement this Motion with proposed findings of established fact, reserving the right to seek further findings of established fact as may be necessary. The plaintiffs request that the Court order those facts established and related evidence precluded, such order to be vacated if Mr. Jones purges his contempt by April 15.⁸

C. ESCALATING FINE

The plaintiffs request that the Court order a conditional fine, to be paid to the court clerks' office. The fine should increase as time passes. The plaintiffs request that the fine be set at \$25,000 per day, beginning two days after the issuance of the Court's order on this Motion, and continuing for seven days thereafter; then escalating to \$50,000 per day. The fine would be due every day until Mr. Jones completes his deposition, except that it should be suspended on the dates Mr. Jones is being deposed. As this fine is conditional, some or all of these amounts could be returned to Mr. Jones once he completes his deposition.⁹

For a coercive fine such as this, the consideration that informs the Court's exercise of its discretion is "the reasonableness of the amount of the coercion that the court deems necessary, keeping in mind the court's ultimate power to reduce the penalties once the contempt has been purged." *Papa v. New Haven Federation of Teachers*, 186 Conn. 725, 738 (1982). Applied to the

⁸ For an example of a case entering a conditional directed finding, see *Martucci v. Martucci*, 2011 WL 590736, at *5-6 (Conn. Super. Jan. 20, 2001) (Tierney, J.) (where defendant refused to provide tax returns, finding that the defendant's annual income was \$896,835 and this amount would be "used by this court and future courts as the defendant's current annual income for all purposes," but that this order could be modified if the defendant produced the returns as ordered within a short time frame).

⁹ An example of a case applying a graduated conditional fine, such as the one described above, is *Abandoned Angels Cocker Spaniel Rescue, Inc. v. Baity*, 2020 WL 6121354, at *3 (Conn. Super. Sept. 21, 2021) (Krumeich, JTR) (ordering conditional fines to be increased over time as long as non-compliance continued). An example of a case imposing a significant fine is *Papa v. New Haven Fed'n of Teachers*, 186 Conn. 725, 729 (1982), in which a \$5,000 per day fine was imposed.

circumstances presently before the Court, the test requires the imposition of heavy fines. Lesser amounts are unlikely to cause Mr. Jones to appear.

The plaintiffs are also greatly prejudiced by every day that Mr. Jones delays his deposition – but the result he hopes for, a postponement of the trial date, would be equally prejudicial to the plaintiffs. For this reason, the initial fine amount should be substantial, should increase in significant increments, and should be required to be paid daily.

D. CONDITIONAL ORDER OF INCARCERATION

“In Connecticut, the court has the authority in civil contempt to impose on the contemnor either incarceration or a fine or both.” *Woodbury Knoll, LLC v. Shipman & Goodwin, LLP*, 305 Conn. 750, 766 n.12 (2012). “Sanctions for civil contempt may be ... imprisonment.” *Financial Holdings, LLC v. Lyons*, 129 Conn. App. 380, 385 (2011). “[A] trial court has the power even to incarcerate contemnors in civil contempt cases until they purge themselves....” *Martocchio v. Savoir*, 130 Conn. App. 626, 631, *cert. denied*, 303 Conn. 901 (2011) (quoting *Johnson v. Johnson*, 111 Conn. App. 413, 427 (2008)). The plaintiffs request that the Court order that Mr. Jones be taken into custody and incarcerated until his deposition is completed.

It is the plaintiffs’ understanding that the Texas courts likely have the power to execute such an order. Tex. R. Civ. P. 201.2; *In re Seavall*, No. 03-13-00205-CV, 2013 WL 3013872, at *2 (Tex. App. June 11, 2013) (“[R]ule 201.2 authorizes Texas courts to enforce foreign discovery orders.”); *see also Ex parte Durham*, 921 S.W.2d 482 (Tex. App. 1996) (Texas courts may hold a party in civil or criminal contempt for failure to comply with discovery orders.); *Ex parte Barnett*, 594 S.W.2d 805, 808 (Tex. Civ. App. 1980) (“there is no inherent or constitutional limitation on the power of a court to use its contempt power to enforce the orders of another court”); *see, e.g. Guercia v. Guercia*, 239 S.W.2d 169 (Tex. Civ. App. 1951) (under its equitable

powers, Texas court may use contempt to enforce order issued by Ohio court). Because the enforcement of such an order would take time, the plaintiffs request that the Court order incarceration in combination with other penalties.

E. ADDITIONAL ORDERS NECESSARY TO REMEDY PREJUDICE TO THE PLAINTIFFS

The plaintiffs further request that Mr. Jones is to pay to the plaintiffs' fees and costs incurred in connection with the deposition that Mr. Jones failed to attend, including, but not limited to time expended by plaintiffs' counsel and staff in the preparation, arrangement and travel to/from the deposition, lodging, transportation, and deposition costs associated with the court reporter, videographer and venue. The plaintiffs will compile these expenses and submit them to the Court as a supplemental filing.

The plaintiffs are still determining what scheduling accommodations their counsel may require due to the time wasted by Mr. Jones's willful refusal to attend his deposition. To the extent the plaintiffs require such accommodations, they should be granted without affording Mr. Jones any extension of the trial date.

III. CONCLUSION

As our Supreme Court recognized in *Rizzuto v. Davidson Ladders, Inc.*, 280 Conn. 225, 239-41 (2006), sanctions are a poor substitute for evidence, and the plaintiff who is awarded sanctions in lieu of evidence is often still prejudiced. *See id.* (stating that "most of [the 13-14] sanctions are of no use to a plaintiff who is unable to fulfill his or her burden of production as a result of a defendant's intentional spoliation of evidence"). There is no substitute for Mr. Jones's testimony under oath. The plaintiffs request that the Court issue any and all orders reasonably likely to coerce Mr. Jones to attend his deposition, including all the orders outlined above and any additional orders that the Court deems appropriate. The plaintiffs further request that the

Court make all orders necessary to remedy the prejudice caused by Mr. Jones's willful contempt.

THE PLAINTIFFS,

By: /s/ Alinor C. Sterling
ALINOR C. STERLING
CHRISTOPHER M. MATTEI
MATTHEW S. BLUMENTHAL
KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
asterling@koskoff.com
cmattei@koskoff.com
mblumenthal@koskoff.com
Telephone: (203) 336-4421
Fax: (203) 368-3244
JURIS #32250

NO. X06-UWY-CV-18-6046436 S :	SUPERIOR COURT
ERICA LAFFERTY, ET AL :	COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET
V. :	AT WATERBURY
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL :	MARCH 28, 2022
NO. X06-UWY-CV-18-6046437 S :	SUPERIOR COURT
WILLIAM SHERLACH :	COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET
V. :	AT WATERBURY
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL :	MARCH 28, 2022
NO. X06-UWY-CV-18-6046438 S :	SUPERIOR COURT
WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL :	COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET
V. :	AT WATERBURY
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET AL :	MARCH 28, 2022

**OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINDING OF CIVIL CONTEMPT,
ISSUANCE OF ORDERS TO SECURES ALEX JONES' ATTENDANCE AT
DEPOSITION, AND ISSANCE OF FURTHER SANCTIONS ORDERS (DKT. 750.00)**

For the past year and a half, the world has given more deference to medical professionals than any time in human history. Even courts joined in granting this deference without question, and the world justified that deference as being necessary to protect human life and human health. Many of the recommendations made by doctors were precautionary, and they received the force of law in many instances.

Here, the Plaintiffs have blatantly asked the Court to substitute its judgment for that of Mr. Jones' doctors. They have publicly made a pseudo-macho challenge as to Mr. Jones' courage in the media that has sullied this litigation, publicly accusing him of cowardice for ultimately listening to his doctors: "Once again, Alex Jones failed to appear for his court-ordered deposition today. This cowardly attempt by Mr. Jones to escape

accountability for the years he spent spreading lies about Sandy Hook, shows contempt both for the law and the families.”¹

Had Attorney Mattei’s statements been made about anyone following a COVID-19 precaution – including any one of the countless venirepersons who disregarded this Court’s summons to appear for jury duty in cases as Connecticut courts reopened but went to the grocery store or work, they would have been deemed the height of irresponsibility. Indeed, the venirepersons would have undoubtedly been lauded as acting responsibly – a position that this Court undoubtedly took as the undersigned are unaware of any Superior Court judge directing the penalization of any venireperson for failing to answer their summons.

Mr. Jones has no desire or reason to evade a deposition in this case. He has produced tens of thousands of documents in response to discovery requests in this case. He has sat for three depositions in matters arising in Texas on the same subject – depositions that the Plaintiffs and their counsel are undoubtedly aware of. He has even sat for questions before a committee of the United States Congress pertaining to his various political activities. In other words, Mr. Jones has never evaded providing testimony in any forum.

That Mr. Jones has chosen to reveal one of his medical conditions – a sinus blockage – on his television show has no bearing on his other medical conditions, which he is well within his privacy rights not to reveal, and the Plaintiffs’ attempt to cast aspersions on his doctors’ recommendations is reckless in the extreme. Thus, Mr. Jones respectfully

¹ Statement of Christopher Mattei, *Alex Jones skips second deposition in Sandy Hook case*, The Hill (March 24, 2022) <https://thehill.com/regulation/court-battles/599605-alex-jones-skips-second-deposition-in-sandy-hook-case>

requests that the Court decline to hold him in contempt and to issue further sanctions orders.

Relevant Factual Background

As part of a rescheduling agreement between counsel, the deposition of Alex Jones in this case was rescheduled to occur on March 23, 2022 and March 24, 2022. On March 21, 2022, Mr. Jones' counsel sought an emergency protective order to temporarily delay the deposition on the advice of Mr. Jones' doctor. The Court denied the motion after a hearing on March 22, 2022.

On March 23, 2022, counsel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for Mr. Jones appeared at the place designated in Austin, Texas for his deposition. Mr. Jones did not appear for his deposition.

Mr. Jones' nonappearance came upon the advice of a physician, Dr. Benjamin Marble, who arrived in Austin to visit him on March 20, 2022. See **Exhibit A**, ¶ 6. On March 21, 2022, Dr. Marble's personal observations of Mr. Jones so alarmed him that he insisted on conducting a physical examination of Mr. Jones. *Id.* at ¶ 7. He immediately advised Mr. Jones to go to an emergency room or call 911. *Id.* at ¶ 8. After Mr. Jones refused, Dr. Marble advised him to stay home, which Mr. Jones did not do. *Id.* at ¶¶ 9-11. Dr. Marble subsequently arranged for a comprehensive medical workup to be conducted for Mr. Jones on March 23, 2022 by Dr. Amy Offutt. *Id.* at ¶ 12.

Dr. Marble remains firm in his initial recommendation that Mr. Jones neither attend a deposition nor return to work until the results of the comprehensive medical workup are returned, and he opines that Mr. Jones stands at serious risk of harm. *Id.* at ¶¶ 14-15.

Mr. Jones completed his testing with Dr. Offutt on March 23, 2022. **Exhibit B**. Dr. Offutt describes Mr. Jones' medical issues as time-sensitive and potentially serious, and

she advised him to avoid too much stress pending further testing. *Id.* Dr. Offutt also provided him with ER precautions, and she advised him not to attend court proceedings. *Id.*

On March 23, 2022, the Court issued an order after a hearing declining to issue a *capias*, but ordering Mr. Jones to appear for his second day of depositions on March 24, 2022. Dkt. 734.10. Mr. Jones did not appear for his deposition on March 24, 2022 on the advice of his physicians, who determined that it was an open question on whether he would or would not be hospitalized that same day pending the results of certain medical tests. See Dkt. 750.00, Exhibit D, p. 4.

ARGUMENT

I. The Court Must Hold An Evidentiary Hearing Before Determining Whether To Hold Mr. Jones In Contempt.

The circumstances that gave rise to the Court's March 23, 2022 order (Dkt. 734.10) were emergent, and the Court and counsel alike were not as precise in their language as they would ordinarily be. Thus, the Court mistakenly stated that, if Mr. Jones did not appear for his deposition on March 24, 2022, "he will be in direct contempt of the court's orders requiring him to appear for his deposition."

There is a distinct difference between direct contempt and indirect contempt. Direct contempt concerns conduct that occurs within the presence of the court while indirect contempt occurs outside the presence of the Court. See *Quaranta v. Cooley*, 130 Conn.App. 835, 841 (2011). This distinction makes an enormous difference in the procedures that the Court must follow.

"[T]here are constitutional safeguards that must be satisfied in indirect contempt cases. It is beyond question that due process of law ... requires that one charged with contempt of court be advised of the charges against him, have a reasonable opportunity to meet them by way of defense or

explanation, have the right to be represented by counsel, and have a chance to testify and call other witnesses in his behalf, either by way of defense or explanation.... Notice, to comply with due process requirements, must be given sufficiently in advance of scheduled court proceedings so that reasonable opportunity to prepare will be afforded, and it must set forth the alleged misconduct with particularity....”

Id. at 845-46.

Mr. Jones does not waive these rights.

The Plaintiffs filed their motion for contempt on March 25, 2022, which constitutes a new separate filing in addition to the motion that the Jones Defendants requested additional time to brief and which the Court set a briefing and hearing schedule for in its March 23, 2022 order (Dkt. 734.10). Thus, the scheduled March 30, 2022 hearing does not afford Mr. Jones and his counsel a meaningful opportunity to prepare his defenses at that hearing. Indeed, it is only as a matter of the utmost caution that the undersigned have made strenuous efforts to prepare this response to the Plaintiffs’ motion for contempt so as to not leave Mr. Jones undefended, and they would certainly require a more sufficient opportunity to prepare Mr. Jones’ defense than a weekend where witnesses are unavailable and their own working capacity is less than optimal.

II. The Court Should Not Hold Alex Jones In Civil Contempt.

The Court should not hold Mr. Jones in contempt of its orders. In its March 23, 2022 order directing Mr. Jones to attend his deposition on March 24, 2022 (Dkt. 744.10), the Court stated that, if Mr. Jones “develops escalating symptoms such that he is hospitalized, that change in circumstances would excuse his attendance at the court ordered deposition.”

A person commits civil contempt when he violates a court order requiring him “in specific and definite language to do or refrain from doing an act or series of acts.” *Puff v.*

Puff, 334 Conn. 341, 364 (2020). Because contempt is such a harsh remedy, it should not be based on implication or conjecture, but rather clear and unequivocal language. *Id.* Additionally, a party must willfully violate a court order to commit contempt, and the party seeking an order of contempt must prove, by clear and convincing evidence, “a clear and unambiguous directive to the alleged contemnor and the alleged contemnor’s wilfull noncompliance with that directive.” *Id.* at 365. Should the moving party carry this burden, the alleged contemnor receives an opportunity to demonstrate an inability to comply with the court order. *Id.*

“A judgment of contempt cannot be based on representations of counsel in a motion, but must be supported by evidence produced in court at a proper proceeding.” *Id.* at 366. Here, the Plaintiffs supply no evidence except the representations of counsel, which are insufficient to grant the motion on the basis of the moving papers only.

There is no dispute that Mr. Jones did not appear for his deposition on March 24, 2022 as ordered. Why he did not appear is a matter in dispute that can only be settled by the presentation of evidence in a contempt hearing.

With respect to the Court’s orders, Mr. Jones reasonably could have concluded that its directive that his attendance at his deposition would be excused if he was hospitalized due to escalating symptoms also encompassed the opportunity for a trained medical professional to assess and determine whether escalating symptoms required hospitalization. In other words, the carve-out supplied by the Court’s order left some leeway for Mr. Jones to safeguard his health and whether Mr. Jones properly used that carve-out is a question of fact that can only be determined after a hearing. The resolution of that same question will also resolve the wilfulness prong.

It is also of no small importance that the Court's orders created an unconscionable choice for Mr. Jones. He received his physicians' prognostications that his medical conditions were of such a serious nature that they were recommending that he pay a visit to the emergency room. When he refused, they relented only so far as he did not submit himself to stress, and they ordered him not to attend his deposition. Facing an all-day deposition conducted by a former federal prosecutor in a hotly contested case is no walk in Central Park for anyone in perfect health. It is a grueling ordeal made even more grueling when that former federal prosecutor is seeking to explore allegations that Mr. Jones maliciously weaponized a tragedy to inflict distress on those whom the prosecutor represents. Any one in Mr. Jones' position would have experienced significant stress in preparing for such a deposition, and no ordinary person would have felt at ease sitting for such a deposition when their doctors were actively engaged in making a decision on whether they should go to the emergency room for a condition that could prove disastrous if exacerbated.

With an active decisionmaking process ongoing on whether Mr. Jones should be sent to the emergency room, Mr. Jones fell comfortably into the Court's exception for his absence at his deposition, and the Court should decline to hold him in contempt.

III. If It Decides To Hold Mr. Jones In Civil Contempt, The Court Should Avoid Issuing Sanctions That Will Pose A Significant Risk Of Exacerbating Mr. Jones' Health Conditions And That Become Criminal Sanctions By Their Operation In This Context.

If the Court chooses to hold Mr. Jones in civil contempt, it should avoid issuing sanctions that will pose a significant risk of exacerbating Mr. Jones' health conditions. The Plaintiffs have repeatedly urged this Court to issue an arrest warrant for Mr. Jones and to incarcerate him until he provides deposition testimony. Their repeated requests show a

complete disregard for Mr. Jones' health and border, if not cross the border, on an attempt to exacerbate Mr. Jones' health conditions.

Rendering the Plaintiffs' request even more reckless is their acknowledgement that an order of incarceration would depend on Texas courts' enforcement of the order – a process that they acknowledge would take time and is no certain remedy. Dkt. 750, pp. 11-12. In other words, the Plaintiffs themselves acknowledge that the Court's ordering of Mr. Jones' arrest would simply be gratuitous at this point and would serve no practical purpose other than attempting to inflict punishment on him.

Incarceration in the context of compelling a deposition treads a very fine line between crossing into the land of criminal contempt. Civil contempt remedies carry the unique feature of allowing the person held in contempt to purge himself of contempt and be released immediately or very quickly. In this context, imprisoning Mr. Jones until he gives a deposition would leave him at the mercy of Plaintiffs' counsel, who could easily and reasonably claim that they need additional days to prepare for his deposition after he indicates his willingness to sit for a deposition. However reasonable their need for additional time may be, Mr. Jones would spend additional time incarcerated while awaiting to be deposed, which would render his incarceration a criminal sanction rather than the remedial one required by civil contempt.

The Plaintiffs' request for a daily escalating fine bears the same practical infirmity. They seek a \$25,000 per day fine that escalates to \$50,000 per day seven days until Mr. Jones completes his deposition. In this context, fining Mr. Jones until he gives a deposition would leave him at the mercy of Plaintiffs' counsel, who could easily and reasonably claim that they need additional days to prepare for his deposition after he indicates his willingness to sit for a deposition. However reasonable their need for additional time may

be, Mr. Jones would bear a tremendous financial burden while awaiting to be deposed, which would render his fining a criminal sanction rather than the remedial one required by civil contempt.

Thus, the Court should not impose incarceration as a sanction both in consideration of Mr. Jones' health and in light of the practical difficulties that would functionally convert it into a criminal sanction. If it chooses to impose a fine, it should order the return of the entirety of the fine upon Mr. Jones' completion of his deposition.

IV. The Plaintiffs Have Merely Alleged That Mr. Jones Has Acted In Bad Faith. Their Allegations Cannot Support The Award Of Their Attorneys' Fees.

To obtain attorneys' fees and costs, the Plaintiffs must show that Mr. Jones acted in bad faith. *Berzins v. Berzins*, 306 Conn. 651, 663 (2012). The uncontroverted record before the Court shows that Mr. Jones received a series of specific orders from his physicians: (1) go to the emergency room; (2) remain at home; (3) do not attend your deposition pending your medical tests. Concededly, Mr. Jones did not heed some of these orders initially by refusing to go to the emergency room or to remain home.

After he received further testing, he ultimately did remain home upon his doctors' advice after it was impressed upon him that he was on the verge of being sent to the emergency room. That included foregoing his deposition pending the results of further medical tests.

What has been lost in the Plaintiffs' rush to obtain this Court's orders and sanctions against Mr. Jones is that Mr. Jones has never sought to indefinitely postpone his deposition or to escape it entirely. Instead, he has sought to have it postponed until his doctors clear him to sit for it. To find that Mr. Jones has acted in bad faith by displaying the stubborn behavior that the average person's dad would display by shrugging off medical care until

it was impressed upon him just how serious his condition was would gratuitously stretch the definition of bad faith beyond its reasonable limits.

Mr. Jones ultimately heeded his doctors' advice. He did not appear for the second day of his deposition because his doctors were actively working to determine whether he should be in the emergency room instead of that deposition. That is not bad faith. That is the same cautious approach that every member of society has taken through the COVID-19 pandemic, just in a different context.

Thus, the Court should decline to order costs and attorneys' fees for the Plaintiffs.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Jones Defendants ask the Court to deny the Plaintiffs' motion for contempt. In the alternative, Mr. Jones does not waive his rights to a hearing as required by due process.

Dated: March 28, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

Alex Jones,
Infowars, LLC;
Free Speech Systems, LLC;
Infowars Health, LLC; and
Prison Planet TV, LLC

BY: /s/ Norman A. Pattis /s/
/s/ Cameron L. Atkinson /s/
Norman A. Pattis
Cameron L. Atkinson
PATTIS & SMITH, LLC
Juris No. 423934
383 Orange Street
New Haven, CT 06511
V: 203-393-3017 F: 203-393-9745
npattis@pattisandsmith.com
catkinson@pattisandsmith.com

UWY-X06-CV18-6046436-S : SUPERIOR COURT
ERICA LAFFERTY, ET ALS., : COMPLEX LITIGATION
v. : AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS. : MARCH 30, 2022

UWY-X06-CV18-6046437-S : SUPERIOR COURT
WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL., : COMPLEX LITIGATION
v. : AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS. : MARCH 30, 2022

UWY-X06-CV18-6046438-S : SUPERIOR COURT
WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL., : COMPLEX LITIGATION
v. : AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS. : MARCH 30, 2022

EXCERPT - THE COURT'S RULING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BARBARA N. BELLIS, JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S :

Representing the Plaintiffs:
ATTORNEY CHRISTOPHER MATTEI
ATTORNEY MATTHEW BLUMENTHAL
ATTORNEY ALINOR STERLING
Koskoff Koskoff & Bieder
350 Fairfield Avenue
Bridgeport, CT 06604

Representing the Defendants, Alex Emric Jones; Infowars,
LLC; Free Speech Systems, LLC; Infowars Health, LLC;
Prison Planet TV, LLC:
ATTORNEY CAMERON ATKINSON
Pattis & Smith, LLC
383 Orange Street, #1
New Haven, CT 06511

Representing the Defendants, Genesis Communications
Network, Inc.:
ATTORNEY MARIO CERAME
Brignole, Bush & Lewis
73 Wadsworth Street
Hartford, CT 06106

Recorded By:
Jocelyne Greguoli
Transcribed By:
Jocelyne Greguoli
Court Recording Monitor
400 Grand Street
Waterbury, Connecticut 06702

1 (The following is an excerpt of the
2 proceedings:)

3 THE COURT: Thank you.

4 All right. So I'm going to order a transcript
5 of the following remarks and when it is prepared, I
6 will sign it and place it in the file.

7 So with respect to depositions in general, under
8 our rules of practice, particularly Practice Book
9 Section 13-29 Subsection (c) Subsection (2), the
10 plaintiffs were not required to subpoena Mr. Jones.
11 The plaintiffs properly issued a notice of deposition
12 on Mr. Jones, a defendant, which notice compelled him
13 to appear for a deposition in the county he resides
14 or within 30 miles of his residence and that was done
15 properly.

16 On Tuesday, March 22nd, the Court, after
17 argument on the record, denied the Jones defendants'
18 motion for protective order that had been filed
19 earlier that day and that had asked the Court to
20 postpone Mr. Jones' depositions which were scheduled
21 to take place on Wednesday the 23rd and Thursday the
22 24th. The Jones defendants were given an immediate
23 opportunity to argue their motion the same day it was
24 filed and both the evidence that was submitted and
25 the argument that was made indicated that Mr. Jones
26 was remaining at home under his doctor's supervision
27 when, in fact, he was working at his studios and

1 broadcasting his show.

2 Additionally, the Court painstakingly explained
3 on the record that its in-camera review evaluating
4 the doctor's note submitted by the Jones defendants
5 revealed that the note fell far short. Despite that
6 ruling, Mr. Jones did not appear for his deposition
7 on Wednesday, March 23rd.

8 In denying the Jones defendants' motion, the
9 Court clearly stated that while the logistics of the
10 depositions were left to the parties, the parties
11 could consider having Mr. Jones' physician on the
12 premises during the deposition.

13 On Wednesday, March 23rd, following the filing
14 of the plaintiffs' motion for order, which was filed
15 that day, and the Jones defendants' objection, which
16 was also filed that day, the Court, again on the
17 record after a hearing from counsel, ordered Mr.
18 Jones to appear for his deposition on Thursday, March
19 24th.

20 Despite these rulings from the Court, Mr. Jones
21 did not appear for his deposition on Wednesday, March
22 23rd and he did not appear for his deposition on
23 Thursday, March 24th. Immediately following the
24 hearing on the record on March 23rd, the Court also
25 ordered Mr. Jones, in writing, to appear for his
26 March 24th deposition stating, "The defendant, Alex
27 Jones, is ordered to produce himself tomorrow for his

1 duly noticed deposition as he has not submitted
2 additional evidence for the Court to evaluate on the
3 issue of his alleged medical conditions."

4 Additionally, after the parties filed briefs
5 relating to the plaintiffs' request for a capias, the
6 Court issued a second written order on March 23rd
7 declining to issue a capias at that time, indicating
8 that Mr. Jones would be in contempt of the Court's
9 order should he not appear for his deposition on
10 March 24th and setting a briefing schedule with
11 respect to the other sanctions requested by the
12 plaintiff.

13 Furthermore, after an additional motion for
14 protective order was filed by the Jones defendants at
15 the end of the day on Wednesday, March 23rd, the
16 Court, after evaluating the motions and affidavits,
17 denied the motion in writing and made clear that the
18 Court-ordered deposition was to proceed the next day,
19 although he would be excused from the deposition if
20 he was hospitalized. No such evidence of
21 hospitalization or, in fact, any other evidence has
22 been submitted to the Court, although the motions
23 that have been filed are replete with references to
24 Mr. Jones either broadcasting live from his studio,
25 recording shows, or calling into shows during the
26 time period in question.

27 So while the parties and counsel abided by the

1 Court-ordered deadlines with respect to the filing of
2 their briefs, Mr. Jones, as I said, did not appear
3 for his deposition on Thursday, March 24th.

4 So this hearing today is dealing with the
5 plaintiffs' motions relating to Mr. Jones' failure to
6 appear for his depositions on March 23rd and March
7 24th despite all these Court orders and Jones
8 defendants' objections thereto.

9 Now, I have to note, at this point we're maybe
10 16 or 17 weeks away from jury selection and Mr. Jones
11 has not even been deposed. So we're four years into
12 this case and the Court has repeatedly entered new
13 deadlines for witness depositions and the newest
14 deadline, as far as I know, is April 8th in this long
15 series of modifying scheduling orders for
16 depositions.

17 I have to say that due to these repeated
18 extensions, the several prior trial dates, as well as
19 the age of the case, the existing trial date, which
20 is jury selection on August 2nd and evidence on
21 September 1st, is a firm trial date and parties and
22 counsel should plan accordingly.

23 The Court's authority here is rooted not only in
24 Practice Book Section 13-14, but the Court also has
25 inherent sanctioning power. With respect to the
26 issue of contempt, the Court finds by clear and
27 convincing evidence that the defendant, Alex Jones,

1 willfully and in bad faith violated without
2 justification several clear Court orders requiring
3 his attendance at his depositions on March 23rd and
4 March 24th. That is, the Court finds that Mr. Jones
5 intentionally failed to comply with the orders of the
6 Court and that there was no adequate factual basis to
7 explain his failures to obey the orders of the Court.

8 Now, while the Court has adjudicated Mr. Jones
9 in contempt, Mr. Jones himself has the ability to
10 purge the contempt and Mr. Jones is on notice that he
11 has the ability to purge the contempt and the Court
12 has the power to reduce the fines that it is going to
13 impose once the contempt has been purged as follows:
14 The contempt will be purged when Mr. Jones completes
15 two full days of depositions at the office of
16 plaintiffs' counsel in Bridgeport. Mr. Jones is to
17 pay conditional fines of \$25,000 each weekday
18 beginning on Friday, April 1st, increasing by \$25,000
19 per weekday payable to the Clerk of the Court in
20 Waterbury and it will be suspended on each day that
21 Mr. Jones successfully completes a full day's
22 deposition where Mr. Jones has given all counsel a
23 minimum of 24 hours' notice of his availability to
24 sit for that particular deposition.

25 So for example, if Mr. Jones' counsel this
26 afternoon informs counsel that Mr. Jones will sit for
27 his deposition on Friday -- that's sufficient notice

1 to the parties, that's 24 hours -- and if he
2 successfully appears and sits for his deposition on
3 Friday, there will be no fine.

4 Another example: If Mr. Jones' counsel this
5 afternoon informs counsel that Mr. Jones will sit for
6 his deposition on Tuesday, April 5th and he does so
7 successfully, the fine will be \$25,000 for this
8 Friday, April 1st. There will be no fine on Saturday
9 or Sunday and there will be a \$50,000 fine on Monday
10 for a total fine of \$75,000 to that point and so on.

11 The last day for the fines will be April 15th
12 and that then gives Mr. Jones an opportunity to purge
13 the contempt by producing himself for two full days
14 of deposition by April 15th. The Court recognizes
15 that this fine, while a conditional fine, is also
16 coercive, but finds that it is reasonable and
17 necessary in this matter and again points out that
18 Mr. Jones himself has the opportunity to complete his
19 deposition and then request reimbursement of the
20 fines that the Court has imposed.

21 The Court declines to issue a capias, although
22 it recognizes that the plaintiffs may pursue that
23 with the Texas Courts if they so desire..

24 The Court also finds that the plaintiffs are
25 entitled to fees and costs in connection with the
26 cancelled depositions that was requested in earlier
27 motions and the details of which were provided in the


1 briefs that were just filed today, so as I indicated
2 earlier, for that reason, the Court will address the
3 amount of the fees and costs that will be awarded at
4 the next hearing giving the Jones defendants adequate
5 time to respond.

6 It is clear, however, that the plaintiffs here
7 simply want and are entitled to the deposition of Mr.
8 Jones and that Mr. Jones has continued to attempt to
9 deliberately disregard the Court's orders and
10 attempts to manipulate the Court process. While
11 paying the fees and costs will reimburse the
12 plaintiffs for the costs incurred in attempting to
13 procure Mr. Jones' deposition, it is not a substitute
14 for his testimony. As such, should Mr. Jones not
15 complete his two full days of depositions by April
16 15, the Court finds that the preclusion of evidence,
17 that is, preventing Mr. Jones from offering evidence
18 which would include calling witnesses, cross-
19 examining witnesses, and the like, and adverse
20 inferences, that is, the establishment of certain
21 facts adverse to the Jones defendants, would be an
22 order as a remedy for non-compliance, the extent of
23 which is a very significant issue and would require
24 extensive briefing and argument from counsel.

25 That is not something, hopefully, that will have
26 to be addressed because Mr. Jones has the ability by
27 April 15th to purge himself of the contempt and avoid

1 any issue, preclusion, or adverse inferences. So if
2 and when that becomes an issue, if he has not
3 submitted to his two full days of deposition by April
4 15th, then the Court will set up a briefing schedule
5 to address issue preclusion and adverse inferences.
6 So really, it will be up to Mr. Jones.

7 (The matter continued.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27



Barbara N. Bellis, Judge

*

*

*

UWY-X06-CV18-6046436-S	:	SUPERIOR COURT
ERICA LAFFERTY, ET ALS.,	:	COMPLEX LITIGATION
v.	:	AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS.	:	MARCH 30, 2022

UWY-X06-CV18-6046437-S	:	SUPERIOR COURT
WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL.,	:	COMPLEX LITIGATION
v.	:	AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS.	:	MARCH 30, 2022

UWY-X06-CV18-6046438-S	:	SUPERIOR COURT
WILLIAM SHERLACH, ET AL.,	:	COMPLEX LITIGATION
v.	:	AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT
ALEX EMRIC JONES, ET ALS.	:	MARCH 30, 2022

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I hereby certify the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, Judicial District of Waterbury at Waterbury, Connecticut, before the Honorable Barbara N. Bellis, Judge, on the 30th day of March, 2022.

Dated this 30th day of March, 2022 in Waterbury, Connecticut.


 Jocelyne Greguoli
 Court Recording Monitor

☒ **APPEAL** ☐ **JOINT APPEAL** ☐ **CROSS APPEAL** ☐ **AMENDED APPEAL** ☐ **CORRECTED FORM**

JD-SC-33 Rev. 11-21

P.B. Sections 3-8, 60-7, 60-8, 62-7, 62-8, 63-3, 63-4, 63-10, 72-3

C.G.S. Sections 31-301b, 51-197f, 52-470

All appeals must be filed electronically unless an exemption from the requirements of electronic filing has been granted or you are an incarcerated self-represented party. For further information about e-filing or this form, see the Appeal Instructions, form JD-SC-34.

☐ To Supreme Court ☒ To Appellate Court

Name of case (State full name of case)

LAFFERTY, ERICA Et Al v. JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et Al

Type of appellate matter (If a writ of error, the writ and the signed marshal's return must be filed on the same business day as this form. See Practice Book Section 72-3.)

Appeal

Trial Court History	Tried to Court		Trial court location 300 GRAND STREET Waterbury CT 06702	
	Trial court judges being appealed HON. BARBARA N. BELLIS		List all trial court docket numbers, including location prefixes UWY-CV-18-6046436-S Continued	
	All other trial court judges who were involved with the case		Judgment for (Where there are multiple parties, specify those for whom judgment was rendered) DAVID WHEELER Continued	
	Date of judgment(s) or decision(s) being appealed 03/30/2022		Date of issuance of notice on any order on any motion that would render judgment ineffective	
	Case type Civil		For Juvenile Cases <input type="checkbox"/> Termination of Parental Rights <input type="checkbox"/> Order of Temporary Custody	
	For Civil/Family Case Types, Major/Minor code: T50		<input type="checkbox"/> Other	
Appeal	Appeal filed by (Party name(s)) ALEX EMRIC JONES Continued			
	From (the action that constitutes the appealable judgment or decision) Order holding Defendant Alex Jones in indirect civil contempt of Court and sanctioning him for the contempt.			
	If this appeal is taken by the State of Connecticut, provide the name of the judge who granted permission to appeal and the date of the order			
	Statutory Basis for Appeal to Supreme Court			
	By (Signature of counsel of record) ▶ 442289		Telephone number 203-393-3017	Fax number 203-393-9745
Appearance	Type name and address of counsel of record filing this appellate matter (This is your appearance; see Practice Book Section 62-8) PATTIS & SMITH LLC 383 ORANGE STREET 1ST FLOOR NEW HAVEN CT 06511			E-mail address npattis@pattisandsmith.com
	"X" one if applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Counsel or self-represented party who files this appeal will be deemed to have appeared in addition to counsel of record who appeared in the trial court.			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Counsel or self-represented party who files this appeal is appearing in place of:		Name of counsel of record	
Certification	I certify that a copy of the appeal form I am filing will immediately be delivered to each other counsel of record and I have included their names, addresses, e-mail addresses and telephone numbers; the appeal form has been redacted or does not contain any names or other personal identifying information that is prohibited from disclosure by rule, statute, court order or case law; and the appeal form complies with all applicable rules of appellate procedure in accordance with Practice Book Sections 62-7 and 63-3.			
	Date to be delivered 03/31/2022		If this appeal is a criminal or habeas corpus matter, I certify that a copy of this appeal form will immediately be delivered to the Office of the Chief State's Attorney Appellate Bureau. Date to be delivered	
	If you have an exemption from e-filing under Practice Book Section 60-8, attach a list with the name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of each counsel of record and the address where the copy was delivered.		Signed (Counsel of record) ▶ 442289	
			Date signed 03/31/2022	
Required Documents	To be filed with the Appellate Clerk within ten days of the filing of the appeal, if applicable. See Practice Book Section 63-4.			
	1. Preliminary Statement of the Issues 2. Designation of the Proposed Contents of the Clerk Appendix 3. Court Reporter's Acknowledgment or Certificate that no transcript is necessary 4. Docketing Statement 5. Statement for Preargument Conference (form JD-SC-28A) 6. Constitutionality Notice 7. Sealing Order form, if any			

☒ Entry Fee Paid ☐ No Fees Required ☐ Fees, Costs, and Security waived by Judge (enter Judge's name below)

Court Use Only
Date and time filed

Judge

Date waived

Appeal Form (continued)

CASE NAME:

LAFFERTY, ERICA Et Al v. JONES, ALEX EMRIC Et Al

Parties & Appearances

PARTY/PARTIES INITIATING THE APPEAL
ALEX EMRIC JONES

Juris: 408681 NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS
 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: npattis@pattisandsmith.com

Juris: 423934 PATTIS & SMITH LLC
 383 ORANGE STREET
 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: npattis@pattisandsmith.com

Juris: 442289 CAMERON L ATKINSON
 383 ORANGE ST
 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: CATKINSON@PATTISANDSMITH.COM

INFOWARS, LLC

Juris: 442289 CAMERON L ATKINSON
 383 ORANGE ST
 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: CATKINSON@PATTISANDSMITH.COM

Juris: 423934 PATTIS & SMITH LLC
 383 ORANGE STREET
 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: npattis@pattisandsmith.com

Juris: 408681 NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS
 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: npattis@pattisandsmith.com

FREE SPEECH SYSTEMS, LLC

Juris: 408681 NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS
 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: npattis@pattisandsmith.com

Juris: 423934 PATTIS & SMITH LLC
 383 ORANGE STREET
 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: npattis@pattisandsmith.com

Juris: 442289 CAMERON L ATKINSON
 383 ORANGE ST
 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: CATKINSON@PATTISANDSMITH.COM

Appeal Form (continued)**INFOWARS HEALTH LLC**

Juris: 442289 CAMERON L ATKINSON
 383 ORANGE ST
 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: CATKINSON@PATTISANDSMITH.COM

Juris: 423934 PATTIS & SMITH LLC
 383 ORANGE STREET
 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: npattis@pattisandsmith.com

Juris: 408681 NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS
 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: npattis@pattisandsmith.com

PRISON PLANET TV LLC

Juris: 408681 NORMAN ALEXANDER PATTIS
 383 ORANGE ST, 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: npattis@pattisandsmith.com

Juris: 423934 PATTIS & SMITH LLC
 383 ORANGE STREET
 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: npattis@pattisandsmith.com

Juris: 442289 CAMERON L ATKINSON
 383 ORANGE ST
 1ST FLOOR
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
 Phone: (203) 393-3017 Fax: (203) 393-9745
 Email: CATKINSON@PATTISANDSMITH.COM

ALL OTHER PARTIES AND APPEARANCES

ERICA LAFFERTY - **Removed on 10/20/2021**

DAVID WHEELER - **Judgment For**

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
 BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
 Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
 Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

FRANCINE WHEELER - **Judgment For**

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
 BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
 Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
 Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

Appeal Form (continued)**JACQUELINE BARDEN - Judgment For**

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
 BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
 Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
 Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

MARK BARDEN - Judgment For

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
 BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
 Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
 Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

NICOLE HOCKLEY - Judgment For

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
 BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
 Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
 Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

IAN HOCKLEY - Judgment For

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
 BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
 Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
 Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

JENNIFER HENSEL - Judgment For

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
 BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
 Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
 Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

JEREMY RICHMAN - Removed on 06/07/2021**DONNA SOTO - Judgment For**

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
 BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
 Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
 Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

CARLEE SOTO-PARISI - Judgment For

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
 BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
 Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
 Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

CARLOS M. SOTO - Judgment For

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
 350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
 BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
 Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
 Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

Appeal Form (continued)

JILLIAN SOTO - Judgment For

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

WILLIAM ALDENBERG - Judgment For

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

WOLFGANG HALBIG - Removed on 04/06/2021

CORY T. SKLANKA - Removed on 10/26/2020

GENESIS COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK, INC.

Juris: 419073 BRIGNOLE BUSH & LEWIS
73 WADSWORTH STREET
HARTFORD, CT 06106
Phone: (860) 527-9973 Fax: (860) 527-5929
Email: ATTORNEYS@BRIGNOLE.COM

MIDAS RESOURCES, INC. - Removed on 07/03/2018

MIDAS RESOURCES, INC. - Removed on 04/06/2021

JENNIFER HENSEL EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF JEREMY RICHMAN - Removed on 06/08/2021

RICHARD M COAN TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF ERICA GARBATINI - Judgment For

Juris: 069625 ZEISLER & ZEISLER P.C.
10 MIDDLE STREET
15TH FLOOR
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
Phone: (203) 368-5464 Fax: (203) 362-3397
Email: daaccurso@zeislaw.com

JAY WOLMAN, ESQ.

Juris: 038478 HORTON DOWD BARTSCHI & LEVESQUE PC
90 GILLET STREET
HARTFORD, CT 06105
Phone: (860) 522-8338 Fax: (860) 728-0401
Email: MMASSARO@HDBLFIRM.COM

RICHARD M COAN TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF ERICA GARBATINI - Judgment For

Juris: 032250 KOSKOFF KOSKOFF & BIEDER PC
350 FAIRFIELD AVENUE
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
Phone: (203) 336-4421 Fax: (203) 368-3244
Email: KKB@KOSKOFF.COM

JAMES H. FETZER PHD - Removed on 10/28/2021

OFFICE OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Juris: 422382 OFFICE OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL
100 WASHINGTON STREET
HARTFORD, CT 06106
Phone: (860) 706-5055 Fax: (860) 706-5063
Email: brian.staines@jud.ct.gov

Additional Trial Court Docket Numbers Appealed

UWY-CV-18-6046438-S

William Sherlach, et al. v. Alex Emric Jones, et al.

Tried to: Court

Trial judge(s) decisions being appealed: HON. BARBARA N. BELLIS

Judgment Date(s): 03/30/2022

Appeal Form (continued)

UWY-CV-18-6046437-S

William Sherlach v. Alex Emric Jones, et al.

Tried to: Court

Trial judge(s) decisions being appealed: HON. BARBARA N. BELLIS

Judgment Date(s): 03/30/2022

A.C. 45401	:	
	:	
ERICA LAFFERTY, et al.	:	APPELLATE COURT
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
ALEX EMRIC JONES, et al.	:	June 14, 2022

APPELLANT'S DOCKETING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Practice Book § 63-4, the Appellant submits the following docketing statement.

A. Names and Addresses of All Parties To Appeal:

Defendants-Appellants:

Alex Jones
 Infowars, LLC
 Free Speech Systems, LLC
 Infowars Health, LLC
 Prison Planet TV, LLC
 c/o Pattis & Smith, LLC
 383 Orange Street
 New Haven, CT 06511

Attorney: Norman A. Pattis
 Attorney: Cameron L. Atkinson
 Pattis & Smith, LLC (423934)
 383 Orange Street, First Floor
 New Haven, CT 06511
 203-393-3017
npattis@pattisandsmith.com
catkinson@pattisandsmith.com

Appearing Appellees:

David Wheeler
 Francine Wheeler
 Jacqueline Barden
 Mark Barden
 Nicole Hockley
 Ian Hockley
 Jennifer Hensel

Donna Soto
Carlee Soto-Parisi
Carlos M. Soto
Jillian Soto
William Aldenberg
c/o Koskoff, Koskoff & Bieder PC
350 Fairfield Avenue
Bridgeport, CT 06604

Attorney: Alinor C. Sterling
Attorney: Christopher Mattei
Attorney: Matthew S. Blumenthal
Attorney: Colin S. Antaya
Koskoff, Koskoff, & Beider, P.C.
350 Fairfield Avenue
Bridgeport, CT 06604
203-336-4421
cmattei@koskoff.com
asterling@koskoff.com
mblumenthal@koskoff.com
cantaya@koskoff.com

Richard M. Coan – Trustee of the Bankruptcy Estate of Erica Garbatini
c/o ZEISLER & ZEISLER P.C.
10 MIDDLE STREET
15TH FLOOR
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604

Eric Henzy,
ZEISLER & ZEISLER P.C.
10 MIDDLE STREET
15TH FLOOR
BRIDGEPORT, CT 06604
Tel: 203-368-4234
ehenzy@zeislaw.com

and

Attorney: Alinor C. Sterling
Attorney: Christopher Mattei
Attorney: Matthew S. Blumenthal
Attorney: Colin S. Antaya
Koskoff, Koskoff, & Beider, P.C.
350 Fairfield Avenue
Bridgeport, CT 06604
203-336-4421

cmattei@koskoff.com
asterling@koskoff.com
mblumenthal@koskoff.com
cantaya@koskoff.com

Non-Participating Appellee:

Genesis Communications Network, Inc.
c/o Brignole Bush & Lewis
73 Wadsworth Street
Hartford, CT 06106

Attorney: Mario Kenneth Cerame
Brignole Bush & Lewis, LLC
73 Wadsworth Street
Hartford, CT 06106
Tel: 860-527-9973
mcerame@brignole.com

- B. There are no related appeals currently pending.
- C. There were exhibits appended to the pleadings. They were electronic.
- D. The Appellants requested civil protective orders in the underlying proceedings. Their requests were denied.

Dated: June 14, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

Alex Jones,
Infowars, LLC;
Free Speech Systems, LLC;
Infowars Health, LLC; and
Prison Planet TV, LLC

BY: /s/ Norman A. Pattis /s/
/s/ Cameron L. Atkinson /s/
Norman A. Pattis
Cameron L. Atkinson
PATTIS & SMITH, LLC
Juris No. 423934

Order On Motion to Dismiss AC 213703

Docket Number: AC45401
Issue Date: 6/16/2022
Sent By: Supreme/Appellate

Order On Motion to Dismiss AC 213703

AC45401 ERICA LAFFERTY ET AL. v. ALEX EMRIC JONES ET AL.

Notice Issued: 6/16/2022 12:25:11 PM

Notice Content:

Motion Filed: 5/31/2022
Motion Filed By: Court
Order Date: 06/16/2022

Order: Other

Having reviewed the memoranda filed by the parties giving reasons, if any, why this appeal from the March 30, 2022 "order holding defendant Alex Jones in indirect civil contempt of court and sanctioning him for contempt": (1) should not be dismissed for lack of aggravement insofar as Infowars, LLC; Free Speech Systems, LLC; Infowars Health, LLC; and Prison Planet TV, LLC, are included as appellants on the appeal form; and (2) should be stayed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362 where nothing in the "notice and suggestion of bankruptcy," filed in this Court on May 27, 2022, indicates that the defendant Alex Jones has filed for bankruptcy protection, and the parties who have filed for bankruptcy were not the subject of the contempt order at issue in this appeal, it is hereby ordered that the appeal by Infowars, LLC; Free Speech Systems, LLC; Infowars Health, LLC; and Prison Planet TV, LLC, is dismissed. The appeal by the defendant Alex Jones may proceed.

By the Court
Robertson, Rene L.

Notice sent to Counsel of Record

Hon. Barbara N. Bellis

Clerk, Superior Court, UWYCV186046437S, UWYCV186046438S, UWYCV186046436S